

EDITORIAL

Embracing fibromyalgia amongst spondyloarthritis – what's hidden behind extreme patient reported outcomes

Parente H¹, Santos-Faria D¹

Fibromyalgia (FM) is an intricate disease in which the pain profile, being nociplastic in nature, carries most frequently hyperbolic descriptions by patients. This widespread pain syndrome is commonly surrounded by other characteristic traits as sleep disturbances, fatigue, headaches, depression and/or anxiety states, memory and concentration disruptions, and so on¹. Estimates on the global FM prevalence circle 2-7%², and diagnosing it requires a clinically qualified physician. While some cases present themselves as undoubtedly FM, others might (at least initially) truly defy alternative differential hypothesis such as inflammatory myopathies, hyperparathyroidism or osteomalacia. Thus, some diagnostic/classification criteria have been proposed like the 1990 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) ones³ or the Fibromyalgia Rapid Screening Tool (FiRST), the latter with sensitivity and specificity reports of 90.5% and 85.7%, respectively, for the detection of FM⁴. Further convoluting this interaction, FM may very well coexist with inflammatory rheumatic diseases as rheumatoid arthritis or spondyloarthritis (SpA), where a higher FM prevalence has been reported comparing to that of the general population⁵.

SpA are a group of joint inflammatory diseases that might encompass axial and peripheral joint involvement, along with features of enthesitis, dactylitis, psoriasis, inflammatory bowel disease and uveitis⁶, to name the most common ones. Especially on the axial disease, inflammatory back pain poses as the hallmark symptom, mainly due to sacroiliac joint or spine inflammation, but other conditions may superimpose such as vertebral fractures (in a higher osteoporosis risk disease) and degenerative musculoskeletal entities (either primary or secondary to the structural changes of a refractory/untreated SpA). Likewise, FM might emerge, and it has been projected to have a prevalence ranging from 11-25%^{7,8} in these patients. In fact, FM tender points might overlap with enthesitis sites in around 10% of patients⁹, and musculoskeletal morning stiffness

might coexist as well, contributing to a disguised SpA diagnosis. Hence, it has been created a consensus-based definition of ultrasound-detected enthesitis in SpA and psoriatic arthritis, discerning it from non-inflammatory enthesitis¹⁰. Moreover, FM in SpA patients appears to associate with high baseline scores for Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) and Widespread Pain Index (WPI)¹¹. One might conclude that high levels of disease activity may expedite FM's display, since a greater index of nociceptive pain (as in active SpA), mostly if unattended to, links in the long run to central sensitization, a peculiar element to FM. Nevertheless, clinicians must not belittle the uncertainty surrounding patient reported outcomes (PRO) when measuring disease activity. PRO imply a patient-centered report of a specific aspect of his health/disease status, and thus rely on the patient's level of education about his own condition, and whether channeling a specific event to it is a correct link. This is one of the reasons why patient's education on the rheumatic disease is of surmount value. Patients with both SpA and FM knowingly report higher BASDAI scores⁵. This instrument covers fatigue, morning stiffness, axial and enthesal pain, while the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI) comprises everyday physical functioning. Both these scores, BASDAI and BASFI, have been significantly associated with WPI and Symptom Severity Scale (SSS) scores, but care should be taken since there is a fair overlap between questions under the BASDAI, SSS and WPI scores⁸, while BASFI also relates to functions impacted by fatigue. Ergo, a definition of "extreme PRO" has been proposed¹², settled on a score ≥ 8 (on a 0-10 scale) on three out of the first five BASDAI questions: fatigue, spinal pain, peripheral arthritis, enthesitis and intensity of morning stiffness. The DESIR cohort¹² data amounted an "extreme PRO" prevalence of 13.4% among SpA patients, while others have stretched this number to 23.4%¹³, and these patients were more likely to be female, with a lower educational level and a higher intake of antidepressants, a portrait that mimics some idiosyncrasies of most FM patients. These "extreme PRO"-stating subjects also receive TNF α -inhibitors more frequently, with lower response rates¹², undergo more biologic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) switches

¹ Rheumatology, ULSAM - Unidade Local de Saúde do Alto Minho, Viana do Castelo

Submitted: 05/09/2023

Accepted: 07/09/2023

Correspondence to: Daniela Santos Faria
E-mail: danielasantosfaria@gmail.com

and have lower retention rates for the first bDMARD¹⁴. So, recognizing concomitant FM is imperative to avoid overemphasizing the disease activity, which leads to unmatched therapeutic escalation. In this regard, “extreme PRO” has been validated, against FM’s 1990 ACR classification criteria and the FiRST, as a highly specific surrogate marker of FM, in SpA patients¹³. When comparing a population of SpA patients going for a first-time use of TNF α -inhibitor at baseline and 12 weeks after, “extreme PRO” performed with a higher sensitivity and lower specificity at baseline (capturing some false FM diagnosis), progressing to a lower sensitivity but a higher specificity at the 12-week mark¹³. Initial high levels of pain and fatigue might indeed associate with SpA’s high disease activity but, following treatment, those symptoms are more likely to be FM-related. On account of the established repercussion of this comorbidity on perceiving the treatment effect of anti-rheumatic drugs in SpA¹⁵, appraising “extreme PRO” as plausible exclusion criteria in organized trials might be a prudent approach.

Physicians must not overlook that “extreme PRO” might be FM’s manifestation of its native catastrophization, and thusly one has to integrate it in the overall clinical picture, counterbalancing it with the available biological and imaging markers, to most accurately assess SpA’s circumstances. Due to its prevalence in SpA, FM must be screened, with a more vigorous intention if: we are inclined to initiate a bDMARD, we are evaluating a treatment’s efficacy, the patient has a present or past history of peripheral joint symptoms or enthesitis, and in the presence of “extreme PRO”. When firmly adamant of a coeval FM diagnosis, one should act upon it as well, exploring the benefits of long-term exercise (and other non-pharmacological options), supported by few symptomatic medications. Mitigating FM’s distress will arguably decrease the “extreme PRO” construct and enhance the patient’s quality of life.

Respecting the many virtues of Metrology applied to Medicine, and chiefly, to Rheumatology, one also has to esteem the physician (and his medical sense), who detains the required awareness to articulate that which is not integrable: the quantity with the quality, the symptoms with the scores, the biological markers with the array of its possible causative agents. A conscientious practice should be wary of the “extreme PRO”.

REFERENCES

1. Wolfe F, Ross K, Anderson J, Russell IJ, Hebert L. The prevalence and characteristics of fibromyalgia in the general population. *Arthritis Rheum* 1995; 38(1):19–28.
2. Branco JC, Bannwarth B, Failde I et al. Prevalence of fibromyalgia: a survey in five European countries. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2010; 39(6):448–453.
3. Wolfe F, Smythe HA, Yunus MB et al. The American College of Rheumatology 1990 criteria for the classification of fibromyalgia. Report of the multicenter criteria committee. *Arthritis Rheum* 1990; 33(2):160–172.
4. Perrot S, Bouhassira D, Fermanian J. Development and validation of the fibromyalgia rapid screening tool (FiRST). *Pain* 2010; 150(2):250–256.
5. Haliloglu S, Carlioglu A, Akdeniz D, Karaaslan Y, Kosar A. Fibromyalgia in patients with other rheumatic diseases: prevalence and relationship with disease activity. *Rheumatol Int* 2014; 34(9):1275–1280.
6. Sieper J, Rudwaleit M, Baraliakos X et al. The Assessment of Spondylo Arthritis international Society (ASAS) handbook: a guide to assess spondyloarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009; 68(Suppl 2):ii1–ii44.
7. Fan A, Pereira B, Tournadre A et al. Frequency of concomitant fibromyalgia in rheumatic diseases: Monocentric study of 691 patients. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2017; 47(1):129–132.
8. Zhao SS, Duffield SJ, Goodson NJ et al. The prevalence and impact of comorbid fibromyalgia in inflammatory arthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2019; 33: 101423.
9. Fitzgerald GE, Maguire S, Lopez-Medina C, Dougados M, O’Shea FD, Haroon N. Tender to touch-Prevalence and impact of concomitant fibromyalgia and enthesitis in spondyloarthritis: An ancillary analysis of the ASAS PerSpA study. *Joint Bone Spine* 2022; 89(6):105420. doi: 10.1016/j.jbspin.2022.105420. Epub 2022 May 27. PMID: 35636706.
10. Balint PV, Terslev L, Aegerter P et al. Reliability of a consensus-based ultrasound definition and scoring for enthesitis in spondyloarthritis and psoriatic arthritis: an OMERACT US initiative. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018; 77: 1730–1735.
11. Provan SA, Dean LE, Jones GT, Macfarlane GJ. The changing states of fibromyalgia in patients with axial spondyloarthritis: results from the British Society of Rheumatology Biologics Register for Ankylosing Spondylitis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2021; Sep 1;60(9):4121–4129. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keaa888. PMID: 34469570; PMCID: PMC8409995.
12. Meghnathi B, Etcheto A, Claudepierre P, Dougados M, Moltó A. SAT0386 Extreme patient reported outcome (PRO) in early spondyloarthritis: a surrogate for fibromyalgia? Its impact on TNF-ALPHA blockers treatment effect? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017; 76(Suppl 2):917–918.
13. Santos-Faria D, Dougados M, Gossec L et al. Evaluation of the performance of extreme patient-reported outcomes as surrogate markers for fibromyalgia in axial spondyloarthritis. *Rheumatol Int* 2019; 39: 141–146.
14. Bello N, Etcheto A, Béal C et al. Evaluation of the impact of fibromyalgia in disease activity and treatment effect in spondyloarthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2016; 18: 42.
15. Moltó A, Etcheto A, Gossec L et al. Evaluation of the impact of concomitant fibromyalgia on TNF alpha blockers’ effectiveness in axial spondyloarthritis: results of a prospective, multicentre study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018; 77(4):533.