### Portuguese recommendations for the use of biological therapies in patients with rheumatoid arthritis – 2016 update

Duarte C<sup>1</sup>, Sousa-Neves J<sup>2\*</sup>, Águeda A<sup>3\*</sup>, Ribeiro P<sup>4\*</sup>, Daniel A<sup>1\*</sup>, Eugénio G<sup>1\*</sup>, Serra S<sup>5\*</sup>, Araújo F<sup>6</sup>, Barcelos A<sup>3</sup>, Filipe B<sup>7</sup>, Bernardes M<sup>8</sup>, Canhão H<sup>9</sup>, Cerqueira M<sup>10</sup>, Capela S<sup>4</sup>, Cordeiro A<sup>11</sup>, Costa F<sup>12</sup>, Costa L<sup>8</sup>, Cruz M<sup>13</sup>, Cunha-Miranda L<sup>14</sup>, Duarte C<sup>11</sup>, Falcão S<sup>5</sup>, Faria D<sup>2</sup>, Figueira R<sup>15</sup>, Freitas JP<sup>1</sup>, Gonçalves MJ<sup>4</sup>, Madruga Dias J<sup>16</sup>, Melo Gomes J<sup>13</sup>, Mourão AF<sup>5</sup>, Neto A<sup>7</sup>, Oliveira Ramos F<sup>4</sup>, Pimenta S<sup>8</sup>, Pinto P<sup>17</sup>, Polido-Pereira J<sup>4</sup>, Ponte C<sup>4</sup>, Ramos J<sup>13</sup>, Rodrigues A<sup>18</sup>, Santos H<sup>14</sup>, Santos MJ<sup>11</sup>, Sepriano A<sup>5</sup>, Silva C<sup>14</sup>, Tavares Costa J<sup>19</sup>, Teixeira F<sup>19</sup>, Teixeira V<sup>4</sup>, Valente P<sup>20</sup>, Vieira-Sousa E<sup>4</sup>, Barros R<sup>4</sup>, Abreu P<sup>21</sup>, Fonseca JE<sup>4</sup>

– ACTA REUMATOL PORT. 2018;43:21-35 (SUP) -

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To update the recommendations for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) with biological therapies, endorsed by the Portuguese Society of Rheumatology (SPR).

**Methods:** These treatment recommendations were formulated by Portuguese rheumatologists based on literature evidence and consensus opinion. At a national meeting the 11 recommendations were discussed and updated. The document resulting from this meeting circulated to all Portuguese rheumatologists, who anonymously voted online on the level of agreement with the recommendations.

**Results:** These recommendations cover general aspects as shared decision, prospective registry in Reuma.pt, assessment of activity and RA impact and treatment objective. Consensus was also achieved regarding specific aspects as initiation of biologic therapy, assessment of response, switching and definition of persistent remission.

**Conclusion:** These recommendations may be used for guidance of treatment with biological therapies in patients with RA. As more evidence becomes available

1. Reumatologia, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Coimbra

- 2. Reumatologia, Unidade Local de Saúde Alto Minho
- 3. Reumatologia, Centro Hospitalar Baixo Vouga
- 4. Reumatologia, Hospital de Santa Maria

5. Reumatologia, Hospital Egas Moniz

12. Reumatologia, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra

and more therapies are licensed, these recommendations will be updated

Keywords: Guidelines; Biologics; Rheumatoid arthritis.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic systemic inflammatory disease, with an estimated prevalence of 0.7% in adult Portuguese population<sup>1</sup>.

The management of RA rests primarily on the use of disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs). These drugs reduce or reverse signs and symptoms, disability, impairment of quality of life, inability to work, and progression of joint damage and thus interfere with the entire disease process. DMARDs include synthetic chemical compounds (csDMARDs) and biological agents (bDMARDs)<sup>2</sup>.

The appropriate use of anti-rheumatic drugs is critical and should be initiated as soon as possible, since its delay is associated with increasing joint damage and less drug-free remission<sup>3</sup>. The treatment objective should be to reach remission at the earliest possible

- 15. Reumatologia, Hospital Dr Nelio Mendonça
- 16. Reumatologia, Centro Hospitalar do Médio Tejo
- 17. Reumatologia, Hospital de Vila Nova de Caia
- 18. Reumatologia, Hospital Espirito Santo de Angra do Heroismo
- 19. Reumatologia, Unidade Local de Saude Alto Minho
- 20. Reumatologia, Hospital de São Sebastião
- 21. Reumatologia, Hospital Amato Lusitano

\*These authors contributed equally to this work

<sup>6.</sup> Reumatologia, Hospital Ortopédico de Sant'Ana

<sup>7.</sup> Reumatologia, Instituto Portugês de Reumatologia

<sup>8.</sup> Reumatologia, Hospital de São João

<sup>9.</sup> CEDOC, EpiDoC Unit, NOVA Medical School, Lisbon

<sup>10.</sup> Reumatologia, Unidade Local de Saúde de Alto Minho

<sup>11.</sup> Reumatologia, Hospital Garcia de Orta

<sup>13.</sup> Reumatologia, Centro Hospitalar S. Francisco, Leiria

<sup>14.</sup> Reumatologia, Instituto Português de Reumatologia

time point, based on a Treat-to-Target (T2T) strategy. T2T epitomizes the consensual concept that disease treatment should aim at achieving, as early as possible, and consistently maintaining a target level of disease activity<sup>4,5</sup>. Clinical disease remission, or at least low disease activity, has become a possible and virtually mandatory target of treatment in recent treatment recommendations<sup>2,6</sup>.

Biological therapies with different mechanisms of action are currently approved for RA. In Portugal, five original tumour necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi) (infliximab, adalimumab, etanercept, golimumab and certolizumab pegol), one interleukin (IL)-6 receptor (IL-6R) blocking monoclonal antibody (tocilizumab), a T cell stimulation inhibitor (abatacept) and one B cell depleting agent (rituximab) are available. Currently biosimilar (bs) of infliximab and of etanercept (bs-infliximab, bs-etanercept) are also available. Other bs will soon enter the Portuguese market.

In 2003, the first version of the Portuguese Recommendations for the treatment of RA with biological therapy was developed by the Rheumatoid Arthritis Study Group (GEAR – Grupo de Estudos de Artrite Reumatóide) of the Portuguese Society of Rheumatology (SPR – Sociedade Portuguesa de Reumatologia) and published in Acta Reumatológica Portuguesa<sup>7</sup>. These guidelines have been regularly updated, as new evidence is published and the experience on their use increase, with the latest recommendations published in 2011<sup>8-11</sup>.

These recommendations are based on the standardized use of validated assessment tools of RA activity and impact: the disease activity score 28-joint count (DAS 28)<sup>12</sup>, the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ)<sup>13</sup> and the radiological assessment of Sharp score modified by van der Heijde (SvdH)<sup>14</sup>. A structured national registry of rheumatic patients, (Reuma.pt) incorporating disease assessment tools for RA has been created by the SPR and is available online<sup>15</sup>.

This article presents the 2016 update of the Portuguese recommendations for the use of biological therapies in RA. Although these recommendations contain some original concepts, their general structure follows the pattern of other international recommendations<sup>2</sup>.

These recommendations were formulated by Portuguese Rheumatologists based on literature evidence and consensus opinion. A draft of the recommendations and supporting evidence was first circulated to all Portuguese Rheumatologists. Secondly, at a national meeting, the recommendations were presented, discussed and revised. Finally, the document resulting from this meeting was again circulated to all Portuguese Rheumatologists, who anonymously voted online on the level of agreement with the recommendations. Agreement was measured on a 10-point numerical rating scale (1=no agreement, 10=full agreement).

These recommendations may be used for guidance in deciding which patients with RA should be treated with biological therapies. The use of biological therapies in RA is a rapidly evolving field and as more evidence becomes available and more therapies are licensed, these recommendations will be updated.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 1**

#### Rheumatologists are the specialists who should primarily care for RA patients. Treatment of RA patients with bDMARDs must be based on a shared decision between patient and rheumatologist.

The rheumatologist is the specialist who should treat and monitor patients with RA. There is current evidence that patients with RA followed up by rheumatologists, in comparison with other doctors, are diagnosed earlier, receive DMARD treatment earlier and more frequently have better outcomes in all major characteristics of RA<sup>2,16-22</sup>. Since patients with RA have high risk not only for disabilities related to their joint disease but also for comorbidities, such as infections, cardiovascular disease or malignancies, sometimes a multidisciplinary approach is required.

Sharing medical decisions is the foundation of the partnership between physicians and patients. It involves agreeing on the problem at hand, laying out the available options with their benefits and risks, eliciting the patient's views and preferences on these options, and agreeing on a course of action. Shared decision making not only increases patient and physician satisfaction with healthcare, but also may improve health outcomes<sup>23,24</sup>. This recommendation focuses on the need for information of the patient regarding the risks and benefits of the treatment. Due to the complexity, high cost, and potential toxicity of therapies for RA, patient information is central to safety and quality of care.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 2**

## All RA patients receiving bDMARDs should be prospectively registered in the Reuma.pt.

Registries of patients with rheumatic diseases, especially under biological therapy, allow monitoring the efficacy and safety of the treatment. These registries have contributed to the increasing knowledge on the performance of these drugs in real world. All instruments required to monitoring RA patients under biological therapy are available in Reuma.pt<sup>15</sup>.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 3**

Monitoring RA patients under bDMARD is mandatory. These patients should be evaluated at closely spaced intervals, no longer than 3-4 months, to assess disease activity and safety issues. Function, quality of life and damage should be also evaluated during follow-up.

Follow-up should be provided at closely spaced intervals (no longer than 3-4 months) in order to monitor the efficacy of bDMARDs and to identify potential side effects.

Tender and swollen joint counts, inflammatory markers [(erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP)], patient global assessment of disease activity (PGA) and physician global assessment (PhGA) should be collected at each evaluation. Patients should be evaluated using composite activity indexes (Table I). The most commonly used index is the DAS28 ESR, which has validated cut-offs for different activity levels<sup>12,25</sup>. Other composite measures using joint counts, with validated cut-offs for disease activity, can be used, such as the Simplified Disease Activity Index (SDAI)<sup>26</sup> or the Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI)<sup>27</sup>. The DAS28 CRP has no validated cut-offs for remission or

# TABLE I. INSTRUMENTS TO MEASURE RHEUMATOIDARTHRITIS DISEASE ACTIVITY AND TO DEFINEREMISSION (ADAPTED FROM 6)

Instrument	Thresholds of disease activity	
DAS28-ESR <sup>25</sup>	Remission	<2.6
	Low Activity	≥2.6 to <3.2
	Moderate Activity	≥3.2 to ≤5.1
	High Activity	>5.1
SDAI <sup>26</sup>	Remission	≤3.3
	Low Activity	>3.3 to ≤11
	Moderate Activity	>11 to ≤26
	High Activity	>26
CDAI <sup>27</sup>	Remission	≤2.8
	Low Activity	>2.8 to ≤10
	Moderate Activity	>10 to ≤22
	High Activity	>22

DAS 28-ESR: 28-joint Disease Activity Score Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate; SDAI: Simplified Disease Activity Index; CDAI: Clinical Disease Activity Index low disease activity. All these variables and indexes are available in Reuma.pt.

The global impact of the disease should also be evaluated. Functional impact using the HAQ, a validated tool available in Portuguese<sup>28</sup>, should be performed at the beginning of bDMARDs and every six months. Physical Function not only provides information about the impact of RA but also predicts future outcomes. Quality of life (QoL) should also be periodically evaluated. Generic tools, as the Medical Outcome Study Short Form 36-item (MOS-SF36)<sup>29,30</sup> and the EuroQol five dimensions questionnaire (EQ5D)<sup>31-33</sup> are validated in Portuguese and available in Reuma.pt.

Structural disease progression should be evaluated, on radiographs of hands and feet, at the start of bDMARDs and latter repeated to support future treatment decisions.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 4**

### The treatment target is remission or at least, low disease activity.

Besides clinical benefit, remission status has a significant impact on joint damage and deformities, physical function, QoL, comorbidities and mortality<sup>34,35</sup>.

Remission is considered as the absence of symptoms and signs of inflammation. The several available disease activity indexes define differently "remission status" (Table I)<sup>25-27</sup>. Observational studies have shown that remission does not mean the same in all these indexes, being the DAS28-ESR the least stringent criteria<sup>36-38</sup>.

In 2011, a collaborative research of American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/ European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) defined remission as tender joints, swollen joints, CRP and patient global assessment of disease activity all being  $\leq 1^{39}$ . These new criteria are associated with less risk of radiographic progression and better outcomes<sup>40</sup>,<sup>41</sup>. The proportion of patients reaching remission in clinical trials and clinical practice is sufficiently large to warrant its preferential use in clinical practice<sup>2</sup>.

However, some studies have shown that many patients without clinical and laboratory findings of inflammation cannot be classified has being in remission due to the inclusion of PGA<sup>42</sup>. It makes the ACR/EULAR remission difficult to apply in daily clinical practice, mainly in some clinical settings (eg. chronic pain syndrome, depression). In these difficult cases, more relevance can be given to the objective measures, like the inflammatory markers and swollen joints, since only those have been shown consistently to be associated

Domain	Recommendation	Agreement Mean (SD)
General	Rheumatologists are the specialists who should primarily care for RA patients.	8.9 (2)
Recommendation		
Recommendation	Treatment of RA patients with biologic therapies (bDMARDs) must be based on	
	a shared decision between patient and rheumatologist	0.2 (1.5)
	All RA patients receiving bDMARDs should be prospectively registered in	9.2 (1.5)
	the Rheumatic Diseases Portuguese Register (Reuma.pt)	- (2)
Monitoring	Monitoring RA patients under bDMARD therapy is mandatory. These patients	7 (2.5)
	should be evaluated at closely spaced intervals, no longer than 3 -4 months, to	
	assess disease activity and safety issues. Function, quality of life and damage	
	should be also evaluated during follow-up	
Treatment Target	The treatment target is remission or at least, low disease activity	
Treatment	RA patients with inadequate response to methotrexate (MTX) at an optimal dose	
Indication	and for an adequate period of time, or to at least one other csDMARD in case of	
	contraindication or intolerance to MTX, should be considered for bDMARD therapy	
First Line	Biological therapy should be started with any of the following drugs:	8.9 (1.4)
Treatment	TNF inhibitor (infliximab, etanercept, adalimumab, golimumab, certolizumab	
	or approved biosimilars), tocilizumab or abatacept. Rituximab can be	
	considered in some circumstances (see recommendation 7).	
	bDMARDs should be administered in combination with MTX. If MTX is not	
	tolerated or contraindicated, a bDMARD approved in monotherapy should be used	
Specific	Rituximab can be considered as first line biological treatment in case of patients	8.8 (2)
Comorbidities	with other conditions: hematologic neoplasms (B-cell-lymphomas, acute	
Comorbidities		
	lymphoblastic leukaemia or monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined	
	significance (MGUS)), latent tuberculosis in patients with contraindication to	
	chemoprophylaxis, demyelinating diseases or specific manifestations of RA.	
	The evidence to use rituximab in recent history of other neoplasms (and the	
	required period) doesn't allow to state any recommendation, thus a decision	
	should be made case by case.	
Inadequate	Patients who failed a first bDMARD should be treated with another biological	8.9 (1.5)
Response	agent. If the first biological treatment was a TNF inhibitor, the patient may	
	receive another TNF inhibitor or another biological agent with a different mode	
	of action (tocilizumab, rituximab or abatacept).	
	After two TNF inhibitor failures, switch to a biological agent with a different	
	mode of action should be preferred.	
	The choice of the following biological agent, should consider the reason for	
	treatment discontinuation.	
Sustained	In case of sustained remission, tapering biological therapy can be considered	8.9 (1.5)
Remission	especially in patients with concomitant csDMARDs treatment. No specific	
	recommendations about tapering regimens can be made at the moment	
Pregnancy and	Biological therapy should be avoided in pregnant and breastfeeding women.	
Breastfeeding	If pregnancy occurs, it is advisable to stop biological therapy. In some cases, based	
Lieusticeanig	on shared decision between patient and physicians (rheumatologist and	
	obstetrician), TNF inhibitor therapy can be considered in early stages of pregnancy.	

#### TABLE II. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE USE OF BIOLOGICAL THERAPIES IN RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS

Agreement was voted on a scale 1 to 10 (fully disagreement to fully agreement) by 54 Rheumatologists.

RA, Rheumatoid Arthritis. bDMARDs, biologic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic Drugs. TNFi, Tumor Necrosis Factor inhibitor. MGUS, monoclonal gammopathy undetermined significance. csDMARDs, conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic Drugs. HIV, human Immunodeficiency Virus. HCV, hepatitis C Virus. HBV, hepatitis B Virus. NYHA, New York heart

with radiographic progression<sup>43</sup>.

In some cases, like patients with long-standing or destructive disease, in whom remission is not achievable, low disease activity is acceptable<sup>2</sup>.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 5**

RA patients with inadequate response to methotrexate (MTX) at an optimal dose and for an adequate period of time, or to at least one other csDMARD or in case of contraindication or intolerance to MTX, should be considered for bDMARD therapy.

MTX is the anchor treatment of RA patients, used in monotherapy or in combined therapy and should be part of the first line treatment of RA<sup>2</sup>. In case of contraindication or intolerance to MTX, leflunomide and sulfasalazine should be started. Optimal dosage of MTX is 25-30 mg/week for at least 8 weeks. The optimal dosage of leflunomide is 20 mg/day and of sulfasalazine is 3-4 g/day, and may require longer period to achieve optimal benefit<sup>2</sup>. All patients with no clinical improvement after 3 months, or who fail to achieve at least low disease activity (DAS <3.2) at 6 months after starting csDMARD therapy, should be considered as inadequate responders.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 6**

bDMARDs should be started with any of the following drugs: TNFi (infliximab, etanercept, adalimumab, golimumab, certolizumab or approved bs), tocilizumab or abatacept. Rituximab can be considered in some circumstances (see recommendation 7). bDMARDs should be administered in combination with MTX. If MTX is not tolerated or contraindicated, a bDMARD approved in monotherapy should be used.

Therapy with bDMARDs should be initiated with one of the following drugs authorized for first line use<sup>44</sup>: TNFi (infliximab, etanercept, adalimumab, golimumab, certolizumab pegol or approved bs), tocilizumab or abatacept.

In contrast to 2011 recommendations, anakinra is not considered for RA treatment since indirect comparisons with TNFi drugs showed a trend towards less efficacy for IL-1 inhibition<sup>45-47</sup>.

All mentioned drugs have been proven to be effective in controlling disease activity, improving different patient reported outcomes (PROs) and slowing structural disease progression<sup>48-74</sup>. Indirect comparison between the different bDMARDs<sup>47,75,76</sup> and data from few head-to-head studies<sup>69,70,77</sup> did not show statistically significant differences in efficacy and safety between them. Since no factors are available for guiding drug selection, no preference of one over another agent is recommended.

Rituximab combined with MTX has proven efficacy in treating RA after TNFi failure78-88 and is currently approved as second line therapy. Pivotal trials for Rituximab approval were done in TNF naïve patients and showed its efficacy in this context<sup>89</sup>. Moreover, rituximab has also been studied in patients with active RA that have not been previously exposed to MTX. In the IMAGE trial, rituximab plus MTX was effective in reducing signs and symptoms of the disease as well as preventing radiographic damage in patients with early RA, MTX-naïve90. Another study also showed improvement of physical function and quality of life in a similar population<sup>91</sup>. However, rituximab is not licensed for use as a first-line biological agent therapy; it can be used under specific conditions (see recommendation 7).

There is no published evidence that TNFi, abatacept or rituximab alone are superior over MTX alone, whereas combination with at least 10mg/week of MTX, increases the efficacy and retention rate of biological treatment<sup>2,92-95</sup>.

Etanercept, adalimumab, golimumab and certolizumab can be used in monotherapy if patients have intolerance or contraindication to MTX<sup>44</sup>. This exception is based on some evidence suggesting that the drugs mentioned above may be effective in monotherapy, however study results have not been entirely coherent<sup>59,96-101</sup>.

Tocilizumab is the only biological therapy that has demonstrated consistent evidence of its efficacy in monotherapy both for symptomatic control and inhibition of radiographic progression throughout several studies<sup>77,102-108</sup>.

If MTX is not tolerated or contraindicated, a bDMARD approved in monotherapy<sup>44</sup> should be used, with preference to tocilizumab.

With respect to bsDMARDs, the SPR position has been discussed in a separated article<sup>109</sup>.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 7**

Rituximab can be considered as first line biological treatment in case of patients with other conditions: hematologic neoplasms [B-cell-lymphomas, acute lymphoblastic

25

leukaemia or monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS)], suspected latent tuberculosis in patients with contraindication to chemoprophylaxis, demyelinating diseases or specific manifestations of RA. The evidence of rituximab use in patients with recent solid neoplasms does not allow to state any recommendation, thus a decision should be made case by case.

Rituximab has been used in patients with RA, but the largest experience comes from its use in the treatment of some hematologic neoplasms like B-cell-lymphomas and acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Based on this, it seems reasonable that in patients with active or recent history of these cancers, in association with active RA, where other biological treatments are contra-indicated, rituximab could be used.

There is no evidence to support the recommendation of rituximab use in case of recently cured neoplasms<sup>110</sup>. However, the absence of an increased risk of cancer in patients treated with rituximab support that some rheumatologists prioritize rituximab in this setting<sup>2,6</sup>. This measure should be carefully decided, based on individual risk benefit and involving the oncology team.

Cases of tuberculosis have not been identified in patients receiving rituximab<sup>111</sup>. Although rituximab therapy remains contra-indicated in active tuberculosis, its use can be considered in patients with suspected latent tuberculosis or living in endemic regions of tuberculosis who have contra-indication for chemoprophylaxis.

bDMARDs are contra-indicated in patients with demyelinating diseases. Nevertheless, rituximab has been successfully used in patients with optic neuropathy and in patients with other demyelinating diseases such as multiple sclerosis<sup>112-115</sup>. In patients suffering of both diseases (RA and a demyelinating diseases) rituximab could be considered.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 8**

Patients who failed a first bDMARD should be treated with another biological agent. If the first biological treatment was a TNFi, the patient may receive another TNFi or another bDMARD with a different mode of action (tocilizumab, rituximab or abatacept).

After two TNFi failures, switch to a bDMARD with a different mode of action should be preferred.

The choice of the following bDMARDs, should consider the reason for treatment discontinuation. The treatment goal of remission should be assessed at 6 months<sup>2</sup>. However, at 3 months after the start of biological therapy it is expected a minimal clinical improvement (change in DAS >1.2 or change from high to moderate disease activity). If there is no clinical improvement at 3 months, it is unlikely that the treatment goal will be achieved even in one year<sup>116</sup> and changes in treatment strategy should be performed. If there is any clinical improvement at 3 months, maximum benefit with achievement of treatment goal (remission) will not be seen before 6 months of therapy in the majority of patients<sup>117,118</sup>. Non-improvement at 3 months, or failing to achieve remission, or at least low disease activity, at 6 months is considered treatment failure.

All biological agents proved to be efficacious in case of TNFi non-response in clinical trials and are approved for this indication. After failing a first TNFi agent, there is no clear evidence that one bDMARD provides better efficacy than the others<sup>119</sup>. Most the evidence comes from observational studies and from a few head-to--head clinical trials.

Different studies have shown efficacy of switching to a second TNFi. Data from clinical trials and registries have shown that switchers responded to a second TNFi with significant reduction in disease activity<sup>120-128</sup>. The probability of response to a switch from a monoclonal antibody (mAb) (infliximab/adalimumab) to the soluble receptor (SR) (etanercept) may be greater than viceversa etanercept a mAb according to some studies<sup>120-122</sup>, but it is still controversial. The probability of response to a second TNFi may be greater when the first TNFi is stopped due to other reason than primary failure<sup>121,122,127,129</sup>.

After failing a TNFi, patients may be also given a non--TNFi agent, such as rituximab, tocilizumab or abatacept.

Switching to rituximab proved to be beneficial<sup>80,86</sup>. Several studies showed significantly greater clinical effectiveness compared to an alternative TNFi therapy after failing the first TNFi therapy, particularly in seropositive patients who switched due of ineffica-cy<sup>81,83,87,130-132</sup>.

In the ARRIVE trial the switch from infliximab to abatacept proved to be safe and effective, with similar safety results between those that had a washout and those without a washout period after infliximab<sup>133,134</sup>.

Switching to tocilizumab is effective in achieving rapid and sustained improvements in signs and symptoms of RA<sup>73,135,136</sup>.

Data from some observational studies have shown that patients with inadequate response to a TNFi who switched to a non-TNFi agent have significantly higher drug retention rates, comparing to those that remain on TNFi treatment  $^{\rm 81,83,129,131}.$ 

All bDMARds have proved to be effective in patients failing a first TNFi agent. In the absence of a clear advantage of any of the available bDMRDs in this setting, the choice of the second bDMARD is made on an individual basis and influenced by several reasons, including the cause of discontinuation of the first TNFi, previous drugs, concomitant therapy and comorbidities.

In case of non-response to an original biological, switching to its biosimilar should not be done.

The existence of limited data regarding switching from a non-TNFi agent to another bDMARD (TNFi or another), does not allow for a specific recommendation.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 9**

#### In case of sustained remission, tapering bDMARD can be considered, especially in patients with concomitant csDMARDs treatment. No specific recommendations about tapering regimens can be made at the moment.

Since 2011, several studies have demonstrated that bDMARDs can be tapered or even stopped without causing flares in a considerable percentage of patients<sup>137-143</sup>. In established RA, the available data suggest that many patients flare upon withdrawal of a TNFi, while those who tapered bDMARD more frequently maintain low disease activity and present less radiographic progression<sup>142,144-146</sup>. In the PRESERVE trial, patients assigned to receive etanercept (25 mg/wk) continued to have low disease activity in the double-blind period whereas those who received placebo (maintenance of csDMARDs) had a mean disease activity in the moderate range. The groups given etanercept (50mg/wk or 25mg/wk) kept similar patterns of response, and maintained a better efficacy than the group given placebo<sup>145</sup>. Similar findings were obtained in other studies<sup>144,146</sup>.

Contradictory results were observed in early arthritis. In the PRIZE trial, after attainment of sustained remission in early RA, dose reduction of etanercept, but not the withdrawal of the biologic, was accompanied by maintenance of response, with 63.5 % of patients remaining in remission (DAS28<2.6 at week 76 and 91 visits)<sup>143</sup> while in the open label extensions of OPTI-MA<sup>147</sup> and HIT HARD<sup>138</sup> studies, patients who withdraw the biologic agentbDMARD plus methotrexate, maintained good clinica<sup>138,147</sup>, radiographic<sup>138,147</sup> and functional response<sup>147</sup>.

Even though most studies on dose reduction or

withdrawal have been performed with TNFi, data on other bDMARDs (abatacept and tocilizumab) are emerging with similar overall results. However, the percentage of patients in remission at the end of the withdrawal studies has been small, ranging from 9 to 44%<sup>68,104,148-151</sup>. Only one observational cohort study<sup>152</sup> evaluated dose reduction of tocilizumab, yielding at the end of the 24-week study, 55% of patients in low disease activity.

In early arthritis, more profound and persistent response increases the likelihood of maintenance of a good outcome after withdrawal of a bDMARD, maintaining therapy with csDMARDs<sup>153</sup>.

Gradual bDMARD dose reduction may be a better strategy than abrupt discontinuation<sup>143-146,154</sup>. In case of relapse, reintroduction of the bDMARD appears to allow the return to a favorable outcome<sup>148,153,155,156</sup>. Importantly, before bDMARDs tapering, glucocorticoids should be withdrawn<sup>2</sup>.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 10**

bDMARD should be avoided in pregnant and breastfeeding women.

If pregnancy occurs, it is advisable to stop bDMARD.

In some cases, based on shared decision between patient and physicians (rheumatologist and obstetrician), TNFi therapy can be considered in early stages of pregnancy.

There is no indication to stop bDMARD in males who wish to become parents.

Based on animal and human tests, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) established pregnancy risk categories of drugs<sup>137</sup>. TNFi are considered category B. Tocilizumab, rituximab and abatacept are considered category C<sup>157,158</sup>. The majority of evidence comes from observational studies. Randomized clinical trials are difficult to implement in this field due to ethical aspects. The use of all these drugs in pregnancy is contraindicated by the manufacturer and contraception is indicated in women receiving them.

TNFI agents are neither teratogenic in animals nor mutagenic in pre-clinical tests<sup>158</sup>. Results from several observational studies over the last years, mainly in women with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) but also in inflammatory rheumatic diseases (IRD), showed that TNFi exposure before or during pregnancy was not associated with increased risk of miscarriage or congenital malformations<sup>159-168</sup>.

Nevertheless, it is advisable to stop TNFi when

pregnancy is confirmed; its continuation can be considered in patients with active disease. The benefits of TNFi in controlling disease and achieving remission seem at current knowledge to outweigh the theoretical risk of fetus exposition to the drug. The decision should be shared between patient and physicians (rheumatologist and obstetrician), balancing risks and benefits.

Difference in placental transfer related to molecule structure, half-life and monotherapy indication should be considered regarding the different TNFi drugs. Infliximab and adalimumab, are both IgG1 antibodies, being transported across placenta (minimal during first trimester and increasing during the remaining pregnancy). Etanercept, a dimeric fusion protein linked to IgG1Fc portion, has very low trans-placental passage. Certolizumab pegol, a PEGylated, humanized antigenbinding fragment of an anti-TNF antibody is not actively transported across placenta; however the Fab fragment can cross placenta in very low levels<sup>158</sup>. Based on these data, certolizumab pegol can be maintained until the end of the second trimester, if necessary, while other TNFi should be stopped until the end of the first trimester. More data regarding golimumab is required to state any recommendation.

The administration of TNFi should be avoided in the third pregnancy trimester, but more studies are needed to evaluate the effects of using biologics throughout pregnancy.

Data for other bDMARDs (golimumab, rituximab, abatacept and tocilizumab) are scarce. It is advisable to stop the drug before conception, respecting the washout period between drug discontinuation and pregnancy, which will vary according the drug<sup>169,170</sup>.

Data regarding male exposure to TNFi at conception is limited. Small observational studies did not show any negative impact regarding live births or congenital abnormalities<sup>161,164,171</sup>.

bDMARDs should be avoided during lactation due limited or absent data regarding its safety.

#### **GENERAL SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS**

### BDMARD THERAPY ARE CONTRA INDICATED IN THE FOLLOWING SITUATIONS:

- 1) Active infection (including opportunistic infection, active tuberculosis, HIV, HCV and HBV infections)
- 2) Malignancy:
  - Current or recent history of cancer (≤5 years), except basal and squamous cell skin cancer after com -

#### plete excision

- No recommendations are possible at this moment regarding pre-malignant conditions
- In some cases, Rituximab can be considered (see recommendation 7). The use of other non-anti--TNF agents can be considered in individual cases based on benefit/risk assessment
- 3) Concurrent administration of live vaccines
- 4) Heart Failure (NYHA Class III or IV), in case of anti--TNF treatment
- 5) **Demyelinating disease**, except rituximab that can be used in some situations

#### TUBERCULOSIS SCREENING BEFORE INTRODUCTION OF BIOLOGICAL THERAPIES

Evaluation for latent and active tuberculosis should be performed in all patients with joint inflammatory diseases before starting bDMARDs in accordance with the recommendations developed by SPR and the Portuguese Society of Pneumology<sup>172</sup>.

#### CRITERIA FOR TEMPORARY SUSPENSION/ /POSTPONEMENT OF INTRODUCTION OF BIOLOGICAL THERAPIES

This issue is detailed in the practical guide for prescribing biological therapies published by SPR<sup>173</sup>.

#### CONCLUSION

bDMARDs reflects an advance in the approach of RA patients. Its use plays an important role in RA treatment, leading to better outcomes. These updated recommendations reflect the new evidence on efficacy and safety published since 2011.

The use of bDMARDs should be monitored regularly, regarding clinical efficacy and safety. Remission or at least low disease activity should be the treatment target. Precautions related to adverse events and contra-indications should be considered when these drugs are used.

New drugs are being developed [Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors, IL-6 antagonists, and others], thus these recommendations should be updated when new evidence become available.

CORRESPONDENCE TO

Cátia Duarte Serviço de Reumatologia, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Coimbra, 3000 Coimbra, Portugal E-mail: catiacmduarte@gmail.com This article was originally published in Acta Reumatol Port. 2017; 42:112-126.

#### REFERENCES

- Branco JC, Rodrigues AM, Gouveia N, Eusebio M, Ramiro S, Machado PM, et al. Prevalence of rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases and their impact on health-related quality of life, physical function and mental health in Portugal: results from EpiReumaPt- a national health survey. RMD open. 2016;2(1): e000166.
- 2. Smolen JS, Landewe R, Breedveld FC, Buch M, Burmester G, Dougados M, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2013 update. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2014;73(3):492-509.
- 3. van Nies JA, Krabben A, Schoones JW, Huizinga TW, Kloppenburg M, van der Helm-van Mil AH. What is the evidence for the presence of a therapeutic window of opportunity in rheumatoid arthritis? A systematic literature review. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2014;73(5):861-870.
- 4. Smolen JS, Aletaha D, Bijlsma JW, Breedveld FC, Boumpas D, Burmester G, et al. Treating rheumatoid arthritis to target: recommendations of an international task force. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2010;69(4):631-637.
- Smolen JS, Breedveld FC, Burmester GR, Bykerk V, Dougados M, Emery P, et al. Treating rheumatoid arthritis to target: 2014 update of the recommendations of an international task force. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2016;75(1):3-15.
- Singh JA, Saag KG, Bridges SL, Jr., Akl EA, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, et al. 2015 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. Arthritis & rheumatology (Hoboken, NJ). 2016;68(1):1-26.
- Grupo de Estudos de Artrite Reumatóide da Sociedade Portuguesa de Reumatologia. Consensos GEAR/SPR para utilização de DMARD biológicos. Acta Reumatol Port 2003; 187-189.
- 8. [Guidelines for the use of biologic therapies in rheumatoid arthritis—December 2006 update]. Acta Reumatol Port. 2007;32(1):37-41.
- Portuguese guidelines for the use of biological agents in rheumatoid arthritis—December 2007 update. Acta Reumatol Port. 2007;32(4):363-366.
- Fonseca JE, Canhao H, Reis P, Santos MJ, Branco J, Quintal A, et al. Portuguese guidelines for the use of biological agents in rheumatoid arthritis - March 2010 update. Acta Reumatol Port. 2010;35(1):95-98.
- 11. Fonseca JE, Bernardes M, Canhao H, Santos MJ, Quintal A, Malcata A, et al. Portuguese guidelines for the use of biological agents in rheumatoid arthritis - October 2011 update. Acta Reumatol Port. 2011;36(4):385-288.
- 12. Smolen JS, Breedveld FC, Eberl G, Jones I, Leeming M, Wylie GL, et al. Validity and reliability of the twenty-eight-joint count for the assessment of rheumatoid arthritis activity. Arthritis and rheumatism. 1995;38(1):38-43.
- Bruce B, Fries JF. The Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ). Clinical and experimental rheumatology. 2005;23(5 Suppl 39):S14-18.
- van der Heijde D. How to read radiographs according to the Sharp/van der Heijde method. The Journal of rheumatology. 2000;27(1):261-263.
- 15. Canhao H, Faustino A, Martins F, Fonseca JE. Reuma.pt the rheumatic diseases portuguese register. Acta Reumatol Port.

2011;36(1):45-56.

- Ward MM, Leigh JP, Fries JF Progression of functional disability in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Associations with rheumatology subspecialty care. Archives of internal medicine. 1993;153(19):2229-2237.
- Criswell LA, Such CL, Yelin EH. Differences in the use of second-line agents and prednisone for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis by rheumatologists and non-rheumatologists. The Journal of rheumatology. 1997;24(12):2283-2290.
- 18. Rat AC, Henegariu V, Boissier MC. Do primary care physicians have a place in the management of rheumatoid arthritis? Joint, bone, spine : revue du rhumatisme. 2004;71(3):190-197.
- 19. Lacaille D, Anis AH, Guh DP, Esdaile JM. Gaps in care for rheumatoid arthritis: a population study. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2005;53(2):241-248.
- 20. Solomon DH, Bates DW, Panush RS, Katz JN. Costs, outcomes, and patient satisfaction by provider type for patients with rheumatic and musculoskeletal conditions: a critical review of the literature and proposed methodologic standards. Annals of internal medicine. 1997;127(1):52-60.
- 21. Widdifield J, Bernatsky S, Paterson JM, Thorne JC, Cividino A, Pope J, et al. Quality care in seniors with new-onset rheumatoid arthritis: a Canadian perspective. Arthritis care & research. 2011;63(1):53-57.
- 22. Bonafede MM, Fox KM, Johnson BH, Watson C, Gandra SR. Factors associated with the initiation of disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs in newly diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis: a retrospective claims database study. Clinical therapeutics. 2012;34(2):457-467.
- 23. Voshaar MJ, Nota I, van de Laar MA, van den Bemt BJ. Patient--centred care in established rheumatoid arthritis. Best practice & research Clinical rheumatology. 2015;29(4-5):643-663.
- 24. Dilla T, Rentero ML, Comellas M, Lizan L, Sacristan JA. Patients' Preferences for Rheumatoid Arthritis Treatments and their Participation in the Treatment Decision-Making Process. A Systematic Review of the Literature. Value in health : the journal of the International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research. 2015;18(7):A652.
- Fransen J, van Riel PL. The Disease Activity Score and the EU-LAR response criteria. Rheumatic diseases clinics of North America. 2009;35(4):745-57, vii-viii.
- 26. Smolen JS, Breedveld FC, Schiff MH, Kalden JR, Emery P, Eberl G, et al. A simplified disease activity index for rheumatoid arthritis for use in clinical practice. Rheumatology (Oxford, England). 2003;42(2):244-257.
- Aletaha D, Nell VP, Stamm T, Uffmann M, Pflugbeil S, Machold K, et al. Acute phase reactants add little to composite disease activity indices for rheumatoid arthritis: validation of a clinical activity score. Arthritis research & therapy. 2005;7(4):R796-806.
- 28. André Santos R, Reis P, Rebelo L, Costa Dias F, Miranda Rosa C, Viana de Queiroz M. Health assessment questionnaire" adaptação para língua portuguesa e estudo da sua aplicabilidade. Acta Reumatol Port. 1996;21(76):15-20.
- 29. Ferreira PL. [Development of the Portuguese version of MOS SF-36. Part I. Cultural and linguistic adaptation]. Acta medica portuguesa. 2000;13(1-2):55-66.
- Ferreira PL. [Development of the Portuguese version of MOS SF-36. Part II —Validation tests]. Acta medica portuguesa. 2000;13(3):119-127.
- Ferreira LN, Ferreira PL, Pereira LN, Oppe M. The valuation of the EQ-5D in Portugal. Quality of life research : an internatio-

nal journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation. 2014;23(2):413-423.

- 32. Ferreira LN, Ferreira PL, Pereira LN, Oppe M. EQ-5D Portuguese population norms. Quality of life research : an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation. 2014;23(2):425-430.
- Ferreira PL, Ferreira LN, Pereira LN. [Contribution for the validation of the Portuguese version of EQ-5D]. Acta medica portuguesa. 2013;26(6):664-675.
- 34. Combe B, Logeart I, Belkacemi MC, Dadoun S, Schaeverbeke T, Daures JP, et al. Comparison of the long-term outcome for patients with rheumatoid arthritis with persistent moderate disease activity or disease remission during the first year after diagnosis: data from the ESPOIR cohort. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2015;74(4):724-729.
- 35. Listing J, Kekow J, Manger B, Burmester GR, Pattloch D, Zink A, et al. Mortality in rheumatoid arthritis: the impact of disease activity, treatment with glucocorticoids, TNFalpha inhibitors and rituximab. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2015;74(2): 415-421.
- 36. Gaujoux-Viala C, Mouterde G, Baillet A, Claudepierre P, Fautrel B, Le Loet X, et al. Evaluating disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis: which composite index is best? A systematic literature analysis of studies comparing the psychometric properties of the DAS, DAS28, SDAI and CDAI. Joint, bone, spine : revue du rhumatisme. 2012;79(2):149-155.
- 37. Fleischmann R, van der Heijde D, Koenig AS, Pedersen R, Szumski A, Marshall L, et al. How much does Disease Activity Score in 28 joints ESR and CRP calculations underestimate disease activity compared with the Simplified Disease Activity Index? Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2015;74(6):1132-1137.
- Martins FM, da Silva JA, Santos MJ, Vieira-Sousa E, Duarte C, Santos H, et al. DAS28, CDAI and SDAI cut-offs do not translate the same information: results from the Rheumatic Diseases Portuguese Register Reuma.pt. Rheumatology (Oxford, England). 2015;54(2):286-291.
- 39. Felson DT, Smolen JS, Wells G, Zhang B, van Tuyl LH, Funovits J, et al. American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism provisional definition of remission in rheumatoid arthritis for clinical trials. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2011;63(3):573-586.
- 40. Lillegraven S, Prince FH, Shadick NA, Bykerk VP, Lu B, Frits ML, et al. Remission and radiographic outcome in rheumatoid arthritis: application of the 2011 ACR/EULAR remission criteria in an observational cohort. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2012;71(5):681-686.
- 41. Contreras-Yanez I, Rull-Gabayet M, Pascual-Ramos V. Early disease activity suppression and younger age predict excellent outcome of recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with conventional disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs. Clinical and experimental rheumatology. 2012;30(3):402-408.
- 42. Studenic P, Smolen JS, Aletaha D. Near misses of ACR/EULAR criteria for remission: effects of patient global assessment in Boolean and index-based definitions. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2012;71(10):1702-1705.
- 43. Navarro-Compan V, Gherghe AM, Smolen JS, Aletaha D, Landewe R, van der Heijde D. Relationship between disease activity indices and their individual components and radiographic progression in RA: a systematic literature review. Rheumatology (Oxford, England). 2015;54(6):994-1007.
- 44. Utilização dos medicamentos biológicos em doenças reumáti-

cas, psoríase e doença inflamatória intestinal (2015).

- 45. Nixon R, Bansback N, Brennan A. The efficacy of inhibiting tumour necrosis factor alpha and interleukin 1 in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis and adjusted indirect comparisons. Rheumatology (Oxford, England). 2007;46(7):1140--1147.
- 46. Thaler K, Chandiramani DV, Hansen RA, Gartlehner G. Efficacy and safety of anakinra for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: an update of the Oregon Drug Effectiveness Review Project. Biologics : Targets & Therapy. 2009;3:485-498.
- 47. Singh JA, Christensen R, Wells GA, Suarez-Almazor ME, Buchbinder R, Lopez-Olivo MA, et al. Biologics for rheumatoid arthritis: an overview of Cochrane reviews. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2009(4):Cd007848.
- 48. St Clair EW, van der Heijde DM, Smolen JS, Maini RN, Bathon JM, Emery P, et al. Combination of infliximab and methotrexate therapy for early rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized, controlled trial. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2004;50(11):3432-3443.
- 49. Smolen JS, Emery P. Infliximab: 12 years of experience. Arthritis research & therapy. 2011;13 Suppl 1:S2.
- 50. Lipsky PE, van der Heijde DM, St Clair EW, Furst DE, Breedveld FC, Kalden JR, et al. Infliximab and methotrexate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor Trial in Rheumatoid Arthritis with Concomitant Therapy Study Group. The New England journal of medicine. 2000;343(22):1594-1602.
- Pavelka K, Jarosova K, Suchy D, Senolt L, Chroust K, Dusek L, et al. Increasing the infliximab dose in rheumatoid arthritis patients: a randomised, double blind study failed to confirm its efficacy. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2009;68(8):1285--1289.
- 52. Abe T, Takeuchi T, Miyasaka N, Hashimoto H, Kondo H, Ichikawa Y, et al. A multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo controlled trial of infliximab combined with low dose methotrexate in Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis. The Journal of rheumatology. 2006;33(1):37-44.
- 53. Maini R, St Clair EW, Breedveld F, Furst D, Kalden J, Weisman M, et al. Infliximab (chimeric anti-tumour necrosis factor alpha monoclonal antibody) versus placebo in rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving concomitant methotrexate: a randomised phase III trial. ATTRACT Study Group. Lancet (London, England). 1999;354(9194):1932-1939.
- van Vollenhoven RF, Ernestam S, Geborek P, Petersson IF, Coster L, Waltbrand E, et al. Addition of infliximab compared with addition of sulfasalazine and hydroxychloroquine to methotrexate in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis (Swefot trial): 1-year results of a randomised trial. Lancet (London, England). 2009;374(9688):459-466.
- 55. van Vollenhoven RF, Geborek P, Forslind K, Albertsson K, Ernestam S, Petersson IF, et al. Conventional combination treatment versus biological treatment in methotrexate-refractory early rheumatoid arthritis: 2 year follow-up of the randomised, non-blinded, parallel-group Swefot trial. Lancet (London, England). 2012;379(9827):1712-1720.
- 56. Keystone EC, van der Heijde D, Kavanaugh A, Kupper H, Liu S, Guerette B, et al. Clinical, functional, and radiographic benefits of longterm adalimumab plus methotrexate: final 10-year data in longstanding rheumatoid arthritis. The Journal of rheumatology. 2013;40(9):1487-1497.
- 57. Furst DE, Kavanaugh A, Florentinus S, Kupper H, Karunarat-

ne M, Birbara CA. Final 10-year effectiveness and safety results from study DE020: adalimumab treatment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and an inadequate response to standard therapy. Rheumatology (Oxford, England). 2015;54(12):2188--2197.

- Genovese MC, Bathon JM, Fleischmann RM, Moreland LW, Martin RW, Whitmore JB, et al. Longterm safety, efficacy, and radiographic outcome with etanercept treatment in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. The Journal of rheumatology. 2005;32(7):1232-1242.
- 59. Breedveld FC, Weisman MH, Kavanaugh AF, Cohen SB, Pavelka K, van Vollenhoven R, et al. The PREMIER study: A multicenter, randomized, double-blind clinical trial of combination therapy with adalimumab plus methotrexate versus methotrexate alone or adalimumab alone in patients with early, aggressive rheumatoid arthritis who had not had previous methotrexate treatment. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2006;54(1):26-37.
- 60. Emery P, Fleischmann RM, Moreland LW, Hsia EC, Strusberg I, Durez P, et al. Golimumab, a human anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha monoclonal antibody, injected subcutaneously every four weeks in methotrexate-naive patients with active rheumatoid arthritis: twenty-four-week results of a phase III, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of golimumab before methotrexate as first-line therapy for early-onset rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2009;60(8):2272-2283.
- 61. Atsumi T, Yamamoto K, Takeuchi T, Yamanaka H, Ishiguro N, Tanaka Y, et al. The first double-blind, randomised, parallelgroup certolizumab pegol study in methotrexate-naive early rheumatoid arthritis patients with poor prognostic factors, C--OPERA, shows inhibition of radiographic progression. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2016;75(1):75-83.
- 62. Keystone EC, Breedveld FC, van der Heijde D, Landewe R, Florentinus S, Arulmani U, et al. Longterm effect of delaying combination therapy with tumor necrosis factor inhibitor in patients with aggressive early rheumatoid arthritis: 10-year efficacy and safety of adalimumab from the randomized controlled PREMIER trial with open-label extension. The Journal of rheumatology. 2014;41(1):5-14.
- 63. Combe B, Dasgupta B, Louw I, Pal S, Wollenhaupt J, Zerbini CA, et al. Efficacy and safety of golimumab as add-on therapy to disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: results of the GO-MORE study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2014;73(8):1477-1486.
- 64. Genovese MC, Han C, Keystone EC, Hsia EC, Buchanan J, Gathany T, et al. Effect of golimumab on patient-reported outcomes in rheumatoid arthritis: results from the GO-FORWARD study. The Journal of rheumatology. 2012;39(6):1185-1191.
- 65. Keystone EC, Genovese MC, Hall S, Miranda PC, Bae SC, Palmer W, et al. Golimumab in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis despite methotrexate therapy: results through 2 years of the GO-FORWARD study extension. The Journal of rheumatology. 2013;40(7):1097-1103.
- 66. Keystone E, Landewe R, van Vollenhoven R, Combe B, Strand V, Mease P, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of certolizumab pegol in combination with methotrexate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: 5-year results from the RAPID 1 trial and open-label extension. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2014;73(12):2094-2100.
- 67. Smolen JS, van Vollenhoven R, Kavanaugh A, Strand V, Vencovsky J, Schiff M, et al. Certolizumab pegol plus methotrexate 5-year results from the rheumatoid arthritis prevention of

structural damage (RAPID) 2 randomized controlled trial and long-term extension in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Arthritis research & therapy. 2015;17:245.

- 68. Smolen JS, Emery P, Ferraccioli GF, Samborski W, Berenbaum F, Davies OR, et al. Certolizumab pegol in rheumatoid arthritis patients with low to moderate activity: the CERTAIN double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2015;74(5):843-850.
- 69. Schiff M, Weinblatt ME, Valente R, van der Heijde D, Citera G, Elegbe A, et al. Head-to-head comparison of subcutaneous abatacept versus adalimumab for rheumatoid arthritis: two-year efficacy and safety findings from AMPLE trial. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2014;73(1):86-94.
- 70. Weinblatt ME, Schiff M, Valente R, van der Heijde D, Citera G, Zhao C, et al. Head-to-head comparison of subcutaneous abatacept versus adalimumab for rheumatoid arthritis: findings of a phase IIIb, multinational, prospective, randomized study. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2013;65(1):28-38.
- 71. Genovese MC, McKay JD, Nasonov EL, Mysler EF, da Silva NA, Alecock E, et al. Interleukin-6 receptor inhibition with tocilizumab reduces disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis with inadequate response to disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: the tocilizumab in combination with traditional disease-modifying antirheumatic drug therapy study. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2008;58(10):2968-2980.
- 72. Bay-Jensen AC, Platt A, Byrjalsen I, Vergnoud P, Christiansen C, Karsdal MA. Effect of tocilizumab combined with methotrexate on circulating biomarkers of synovium, cartilage, and bone in the LITHE study. Seminars in arthritis and rheumatism. 2014;43(4):470-478.
- 73. Tanaka Y, Takeuchi T, Amano K, Saito K, Hanami K, Nawata M, et al. Effect of interleukin-6 receptor inhibitor, tocilizumab, in preventing joint destruction in patients with rheumatoid arthritis showing inadequate response to TNF inhibitors. Modern rheumatology/the Japan Rheumatism Association. 2014;24(3): 399-404.
- 74. Yazici Y, Curtis JR, Ince A, Baraf H, Malamet RL, Teng LL, et al. Efficacy of tocilizumab in patients with moderate to severe active rheumatoid arthritis and a previous inadequate response to disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: the ROSE study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2012;71(2):198-205.
- 75. Gallego-Galisteo M, Villa-Rubio A, Alegre-del Rey E, Marquez--Fernandez E, Ramos-Baez JJ. Indirect comparison of biological treatments in refractory rheumatoid arthritis. Journal of clinical pharmacy and therapeutics. 2012;37(3):301-307.
- 76. Aaltonen KJ, Virkki LM, Malmivaara A, Konttinen YT, Nordstrom DC, Blom M. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety of existing TNF blocking agents in treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. PloS one. 2012;7(1):e30275.
- 77. Gabay C, Emery P, van Vollenhoven R, Dikranian A, Alten R, Pavelka K, et al. Tocilizumab monotherapy versus adalimumab monotherapy for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (ADACTA): a randomised, double-blind, controlled phase 4 trial. Lancet (London, England). 2013;381(9877):1541-1550.
- 78. Cohen SB, Keystone E, Genovese MC, Emery P, Peterfy C, Tak PP, et al. Continued inhibition of structural damage over 2 years in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with rituximab in combination with methotrexate. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2010;69(6):1158-1161.
- 79. Keystone E, Burmester GR, Furie R, Loveless JE, Emery P, Kremer J, et al. Improvement in patient-reported outcomes in a ri-

tuximab trial in patients with severe rheumatoid arthritis refractory to anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2008;59(6):785-793.

- 80. Cohen SB, Emery P, Greenwald MW, Dougados M, Furie RA, Genovese MC, et al. Rituximab for rheumatoid arthritis refractory to anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy: Results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial evaluating primary efficacy and safety at twenty-four weeks. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2006;54(9):2793-2806.
- 81. Emery P, Gottenberg JE, Rubbert-Roth A, Sarzi-Puttini P, Choquette D, Taboada VM, et al. Rituximab versus an alternative TNF inhibitor in patients with rheumatoid arthritis who failed to respond to a single previous TNF inhibitor: SWITCH-RA, a global, observational, comparative effectiveness study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2015;74(6):979-984.
- 82. Keystone EC, Cohen SB, Emery P, Kremer JM, Dougados M, Loveless JE, et al. Multiple courses of rituximab produce sustained clinical and radiographic efficacy and safety in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and an inadequate response to 1 or more tumor necrosis factor inhibitors: 5-year data from the REFLEX study. The Journal of rheumatology. 2012;39(12):2238-2246.
- 83. Gomez-Reino JJ, Maneiro JR, Ruiz J, Rosello R, Sanmarti R, Romero AB. Comparative effectiveness of switching to alternative tumour necrosis factor (TNF) antagonists versus switching to rituximab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis who failed previous TNF antagonists: the MIRAR Study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2012;71(11):1861-1864.
- 84. Narvaez J, Diaz-Torne C, Ruiz JM, Hernandez MV, Torrente-Segarra V, Ros S, et al. Predictors of response to rituximab in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis and inadequate response to anti-TNF agents or traditional DMARDs. Clinical and experimental rheumatology. 2011;29(6):991-997.
- 85. Haraoui B, Bokarewa M, Kallmeyer I, Bykerk VP. Safety and effectiveness of rituximab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis following an inadequate response to 1 prior tumor necrosis factor inhibitor: the RESET Trial. The Journal of rheumatology. 2011;38(12):2548-2556.
- 86. Harrold LR, Reed GW, Shewade A, Magner R, Saunders KC, John A, et al. Effectiveness of Rituximab for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis in Patients with Prior Exposure to Anti--TNF: Results from the CORRONA Registry. The Journal of rheumatology. 2015;42(7):1090-1098.
- 87. Soliman MM, Hyrich KL, Lunt M, Watson KD, Symmons DP, Ashcroft DM. Rituximab or a second anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy for rheumatoid arthritis patients who have failed their first anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy? Comparative analysis from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register. Arthritis care & research. 2012;64(8):1108-1115.
- 88. Chatzidionysiou K, Lie E, Nasonov E, Lukina G, Hetland ML, Tarp U, et al. Highest clinical effectiveness of rituximab in autoantibody-positive patients with rheumatoid arthritis and in those for whom no more than one previous TNF antagonist has failed: pooled data from 10 European registries. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2011;70(9):1575-1580.
- Edwards JC, Szczepanski L, Szechinski J, Filipowicz-Sosnowska A, Emery P, Close DR, et al. Efficacy of B-cell-targeted therapy with rituximab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. The New England journal of medicine. 2004;350(25):2572-2581.
- 90. Tak PP, Rigby WF, Rubbert-Roth A, Peterfy CG, van Vollenhoven RF, Stohl W, et al. Inhibition of joint damage and improved clinical outcomes with rituximab plus methotrexate in early ac-

tive rheumatoid arthritis: the IMAGE trial. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2011;70(1):39-46.

- 91. Rigby W, Ferraccioli G, Greenwald M, Zazueta-Montiel B, Fleischmann R, Wassenberg S, et al. Effect of rituximab on physical function and quality of life in patients with rheumatoid arthritis previously untreated with methotrexate. Arthritis care & research. 2011;63(5):711-720.
- 92. Favalli EG, Pregnolato F, Biggioggero M, Becciolini A, Penatti AE, Marchesoni A, et al. Twelve-Year Retention Rate of First-Line Tumor Necrosis Factor Inhibitors in Rheumatoid Arthritis: Real-Life Data From a Local Registry. Arthritis care & research. 2016;68(4):432-439.
- 93. Gaujoux-Viala C, Gossec L, Cantagrel A, Dougados M, Fautrel B, Mariette X, et al. Recommendations of the French Society for Rheumatology for managing rheumatoid arthritis. Joint, bone, spine : revue du rhumatisme. 2014;81(4):287-297.
- 94. Burmester GR, Kivitz AJ, Kupper H, Arulmani U, Florentinus S, Goss SL, et al. Efficacy and safety of ascending methotrexate dose in combination with adalimumab: the randomised CON-CERTO trial. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2015;74(6): 1037-1044.
- 95. Krieckaert CL, Nurmohamed MT, Wolbink GJ. Methotrexate reduces immunogenicity in adalimumab treated rheumatoid arthritis patients in a dose dependent manner. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2012;71(11):1914-1915.
- 96. Emery P, Breedveld FC, Hall S, Durez P, Chang DJ, Robertson D, et al. Comparison of methotrexate monotherapy with a combination of methotrexate and etanercept in active, early, moderate to severe rheumatoid arthritis (COMET): a randomised, double-blind, parallel treatment trial. Lancet (London, England). 2008;372(9636):375-382.
- 97. van Riel PL, Taggart AJ, Sany J, Gaubitz M, Nab HW, Pedersen R, et al. Efficacy and safety of combination etanercept and methotrexate versus etanercept alone in patients with rheumatoid arthritis with an inadequate response to methotrexate: the ADO-RE study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2006;65(11):1478--1483.
- 98. Kameda H, Ueki Y, Saito K, Nagaoka S, Hidaka T, Atsumi T, et al. Etanercept (ETN) with methotrexate (MTX) is better than ETN monotherapy in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis despite MTX therapy: a randomized trial. Modern rheumatology / the Japan Rheumatism Association. 2010;20(6):531-538.
- 99. van de Putte LB, Atkins C, Malaise M, Sany J, Russell AS, van Riel PL, et al. Efficacy and safety of adalimumab as monotherapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis for whom previous disease modifying antirheumatic drug treatment has failed. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2004;63(5):508-516.
- 100. Fleischmann R, Vencovsky J, van Vollenhoven RF, Borenstein D, Box J, Coteur G, et al. Efficacy and safety of certolizumab pegol monotherapy every 4 weeks in patients with rheumatoid arthritis failing previous disease-modifying antirheumatic therapy: the FAST4WARD study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2009;68(6):805-811.
- 101. Weinblatt ME, Fleischmann R, Huizinga TW, Emery P, Pope J, Massarotti EM, et al. Efficacy and safety of certolizumab pegol in a broad population of patients with active rheumatoid arthritis: results from the REALISTIC phase IIIb study. Rheumatology (Oxford, England). 2012;51(12):2204-2214.
- 102.Nishimoto N, Miyasaka N, Yamamoto K, Kawai S, Takeuchi T, Azuma J, et al. Study of active controlled tocilizumab monotherapy for rheumatoid arthritis patients with an inadequate

response to methotrexate (SATORI): significant reduction in disease activity and serum vascular endothelial growth factor by IL-6 receptor inhibition therapy. Modern rheumatology / the Japan Rheumatism Association. 2009;19(1):12-19.

- 103.Nishimoto N, Hashimoto J, Miyasaka N, Yamamoto K, Kawai S, Takeuchi T, et al. Study of active controlled monotherapy used for rheumatoid arthritis, an IL-6 inhibitor (SAMURAI): evidence of clinical and radiographic benefit from an x ray reader-blinded randomised controlled trial of tocilizumab. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2007;66(9):1162-1167.
- 104.Dougados M, Kissel K, Sheeran T, Tak PP, Conaghan PG, Mola EM, et al. Adding tocilizumab or switching to tocilizumab monotherapy in methotrexate inadequate responders: 24-week symptomatic and structural results of a 2-year randomised controlled strategy trial in rheumatoid arthritis (ACT-RAY). Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2013;72(1):43-50.
- 105. Dougados M, Kissel K, Conaghan PG, Mola EM, Schett G, Gerli R, et al. Clinical, radiographic and immunogenic effects after 1 year of tocilizumab-based treatment strategies in rheumatoid arthritis: the ACT-RAY study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2014;73(5):803-809.
- 106.Burmester GR, Rigby WF, van Vollenhoven RF, Kay J, Rubbert--Roth A, Kelman A, et al. Tocilizumab in early progressive rheumatoid arthritis: FUNCTION, a randomised controlled trial. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2015.
- 107.Kaufmann J, Feist E, Roske AE, Schmidt WA. Monotherapy with tocilizumab or TNF-alpha inhibitors in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: efficacy, treatment satisfaction, and persistence in routine clinical practice. Clinical rheumatology. 2013;32(9):1347-1355.
- 108.Gabay C, Riek M, Hetland ML, Hauge EM, Pavelka K, Tomsic M, et al. Effectiveness of tocilizumab with and without synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs in rheumatoid arthritis: results from a European collaborative study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2016;75(7):1336-1342.
- 109.Fonseca JE, Goncalves J, Araujo F, Cordeiro I, Teixeira F, Canhão H, et al. The Portuguese Society of Rheumatology position paper on the use of biosimilars. Acta Reumatol Port. 2014;39(1):60-71.
- 110.Buch MH, Smolen JS, Betteridge N, Breedveld FC, Burmester G, Dorner T, et al. Updated consensus statement on the use of rituximab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2011;70(6):909-920.
- 111.van Vollenhoven RF, Fleischmann RM, Furst DE, Lacey S, Lehane PB. Longterm Safety of Rituximab: Final Report of the Rheumatoid Arthritis Global Clinical Trial Program over 11 Years. The Journal of rheumatology. 2015;42(10):1761-1766.
- 112.Castillo-Trivino T, Braithwaite D, Bacchetti P, Waubant E. Rituximab in relapsing and progressive forms of multiple sclerosis: a systematic review. PloS one. 2013;8(7):e66308.
- 113. Kim SH, Huh SY, Lee SJ, Joung A, Kim HJ. A 5-year follow-up of rituximab treatment in patients with neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. JAMA neurology. 2013;70(9):1110-1117.
- 114.Parfenov V, Du Pasquier R, Schluep M. Management of Fulminant Multiple Sclerosis With Rituximab: A Case Report. The neurologist. 2015;19(6):155-157.
- 115.Zephir H, Bernard-Valnet R, Lebrun C, Outteryck O, Audoin B, Bourre B, et al. Rituximab as first-line therapy in neuromyelitis optica: efficiency and tolerability. Journal of neurology. 2015;262(10):2329-2335.
- 116. Aletaha D, Funovits J, Keystone EC, Smolen JS. Disease activi-

ty early in the course of treatment predicts response to therapy after one year in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2007;56(10):3226-3235.

- 117. Aletaha D, Martinez-Avila J, Kvien TK, Smolen JS. Definition of treatment response in rheumatoid arthritis based on the simplified and the clinical disease activity index. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2012;71(7):1190-1196.
- 118.van Gestel AM, Haagsma CJ, van Riel PL. Validation of rheumatoid arthritis improvement criteria that include simplified joint counts. Arthritis and rheumatism. 1998;41(10):1845--1850.
- 119.Salliot C, Finckh A, Katchamart W, Lu Y, Sun Y, Bombardier C, et al. Indirect comparisons of the efficacy of biological antirheumatic agents in rheumatoid arthritis in patients with an inadequate response to conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs or to an anti-tumour necrosis factor agent: a meta-analysis. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2011;70(2):266-271.
- 120.Lequerre T, Farran E, Menard JF, Kozyreff-Meurice M, Vandhuick T, Tharasse C, et al. Switching from an anti-TNF monoclonal antibody to soluble TNF-receptor yields better results than vice versa: An observational retrospective study of 72 rheumatoid arthritis switchers. Joint, bone, spine : revue du rhumatisme. 2015;82(5):330-337.
- 121. Chatzidionysiou K, Askling J, Eriksson J, Kristensen LE, van Vollenhoven R. Effectiveness of TNF inhibitor switch in RA: results from the national Swedish register. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2015;74(5):890-896.
- 122. Caporali R, Sarzi-Puttini P, Atzeni F, Gorla R, Filippini M, Marchesoni A, et al. Switching TNF-alpha antagonists in rheumatoid arthritis: the experience of the LORHEN registry. Autoimmunity reviews. 2010;9(6):465-469.
- 123. Hyrich KL, Lunt M, Watson KD, Symmons DP, Silman AJ. Outcomes after switching from one anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha agent to a second anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha agent in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results from a large UK national cohort study. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2007;56(1):13--20.
- 124. Smolen JS, Kay J, Doyle M, Landewe R, Matteson EL, Gaylis N, et al. Golimumab in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis after treatment with tumor necrosis factor alpha inhibitors: findings with up to five years of treatment in the multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 GO-AF-TER study. Arthritis research & therapy. 2015;17:14.
- 125.Smolen JS, Kay J, Doyle MK, Landewe R, Matteson EL, Wollenhaupt J, et al. Golimumab in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis after treatment with tumour necrosis factor alpha inhibitors (GO-AFTER study): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial. Lancet (London, England). 2009;374(9685):210-221.
- 126.Schiff MH, von Kempis J, Goldblum R, Tesser JR, Mueller RB. Rheumatoid arthritis secondary non-responders to TNF can attain an efficacious and safe response by switching to certolizumab pegol: a phase IV, randomised, multicentre, double-blind, 12-week study, followed by a 12-week open-label phase. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2014;73(12):2174-2177.
- 127. Virkki LM, Valleala H, Takakubo Y, Vuotila J, Relas H, Komulainen R, et al. Outcomes of switching anti-TNF drugs in rheumatoid arthritis—a study based on observational data from the Finnish Register of Biological Treatment (ROB-FIN). Clinical rheumatology. 2011;30(11):1447-1454.

- 128.Bingham CO, 3rd, Ince A, Haraoui B, Keystone EC, Chon Y, Baumgartner S. Effectiveness and safety of etanercept in subjects with RA who have failed infliximab therapy: 16-week, open-label, observational study. Current medical research and opinion. 2009;25(5):1131-1142.
- 129. Du Pan SM, Scherer A, Gabay C, Finckh A. Differential drug retention between anti-TNF agents and alternative biological agents after inadequate response to an anti-TNF agent in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2012;71(6):997-999.
- 130.Finckh A, Ciurea A, Brulhart L, Moller B, Walker UA, Courvoisier D, et al. Which subgroup of patients with rheumatoid arthritis benefits from switching to rituximab versus alternative anti-tumour necrosis factor (TNF) agents after previous failure of an anti-TNF agent? Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2010;69(2):387-393.
- 131.Kekow J, Mueller-Ladner U, Schulze-Koops H. Rituximab is more effective than second anti-TNF therapy in rheumatoid arthritis patients and previous TNFalpha blocker failure. Biologics. 2012;6:191-199.
- 132.Harrold LR, Reed GW, Magner R, Shewade A, John A, Greenberg JD, et al. Comparative effectiveness and safety of rituximab versus subsequent anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis with prior exposure to anti-tumor necrosis factor therapies in the United States Corrona registry. Arthritis research & therapy. 2015;17:256.
- 133.Harrold LR, Reed GW, Kremer JM, Curtis JR, Solomon DH, Hochberg MC, et al. The comparative effectiveness of abatacept versus anti-tumour necrosis factor switching for rheumatoid arthritis patients previously treated with an anti-tumour necrosis factor. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2015;74(2):430-436.
- 134. Schiff M, Pritchard C, Huffstutter JE, Rodriguez-Valverde V, Durez P, Zhou X, et al. The 6-month safety and efficacy of abatacept in patients with rheumatoid arthritis who underwent a washout after anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy or were directly switched to abatacept: the ARRIVE trial. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2009;68(11):1708-1714.
- 135.Emery P, Keystone E, Tony HP, Cantagrel A, van Vollenhoven R, Sanchez A, et al. IL-6 receptor inhibition with tocilizumab improves treatment outcomes in patients with rheumatoid arthritis refractory to anti-tumour necrosis factor biologicals: results from a 24-week multicentre randomised placebo-controlled trial. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2008;67(11): 1516-1523.
- 136.Strand V, Burmester GR, Ogale S, Devenport J, John A, Emery P. Improvements in health-related quality of life after treatment with tocilizumab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis refractory to tumour necrosis factor inhibitors: results from the 24--week randomized controlled RADIATE study. Rheumatology (Oxford, England). 2012;51(10):1860-1869.
- 137.van Herwaarden N, den Broeder AA, Jacobs W, van der Maas A, Bijlsma JW, van Vollenhoven RF, et al. Down-titration and discontinuation strategies of tumor necrosis factor-blocking agents for rheumatoid arthritis in patients with low disease activity. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2014(9):Cd010455.
- 138.Detert J, Bastian H, Listing J, Weiss A, Wassenberg S, Liebhaber A, et al. Induction therapy with adalimumab plus methotrexate for 24 weeks followed by methotrexate monotherapy up to week 48 versus methotrexate therapy alone for DMARD-nai-

ve patients with early rheumatoid arthritis: HIT HARD, an investigator-initiated study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2013;72(6):844-850.

- 139. Harigai M, Takeuchi T, Tanaka Y, Matsubara T, Yamanaka H, Miyasaka N. Discontinuation of adalimumab treatment in rheumatoid arthritis patients after achieving low disease activity. Modern rheumatology / the Japan Rheumatism Association. 2012;22(6):814-822.
- 140. Tanaka Y, Hirata S, Kubo S, Fukuyo S, Hanami K, Sawamukai N, et al. Discontinuation of adalimumab after achieving remission in patients with established rheumatoid arthritis: 1-year outcome of the HONOR study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2015;74(2):389-395.
- 141. Tanaka Y, Yamanaka H, Ishiguro N, Miyasaka N, Kawana K, Hiramatsu K, et al. Adalimumab discontinuation in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis who were initially treated with methotrexate alone or in combination with adalimumab: 1 year outcomes of the HOPEFUL-2 study. RMD open. 2016;2(1): e000189.
- 142.Nam JL, Villeneuve E, Hensor EM, Conaghan PG, Keen HI, Buch MH, et al. Remission induction comparing infliximab and high-dose intravenous steroid, followed by treat-to-target: a double-blind, randomised, controlled trial in new-onset, treatment-naive, rheumatoid arthritis (the IDEA study). Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2014;73(1):75-85.
- 143.P. Emery MH, O. FitzGerald, B. Combe, E. Martin Mola, J. Bukowski, R. Pedersen, T. Williams, S. Gaylord, B. Vlahos. Assessing maintenance of remission with reduced dose etanercept plus methotrexate, methotrexate alone, or placebo in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis who achieved remission with etanercept and methotrexate: the PRIZE study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2013;72(Suppl 3):399.
- 144.C. Botsios AF, P. Ostuni, P. Sfriso, S. Todesco, L. Punzi. Effects of low-dose etanercept in maintaining das-remission previously achieved with standard-dose in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2007;66(SupII):54.
- 145.Smolen JS, Nash P, Durez P, Hall S, Ilivanova E, Irazoque-Palazuelos F, et al. Maintenance, reduction, or withdrawal of etanercept after treatment with etanercept and methotrexate in patients with moderate rheumatoid arthritis (PRESERVE): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet (London, England). 2013;381(9870):918-29.
- 146.van Vollenhoven R ØM, Leirisalo-Repo M, Uhlig T, Jansson M, Klackenberg A, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis patients with stable low disease activity on methotrexate plus etanercept, continuation of etanercept 50 mg weekly or 25 mg weekly are both clinically superior to discontinuation: results from a randomized, 3-armed, double-blind clinical trial. Arthritis and Rheumatism 2012;64(12):4171.
- 147.Kavanaugh A, Fleischmann RM, Emery P, Kupper H, Redden L, Guerette B, et al. Clinical, functional and radiographic consequences of achieving stable low disease activity and remission with adalimumab plus methotrexate or methotrexate alone in early rheumatoid arthritis: 26-week results from the randomised, controlled OPTIMA study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2013;72(1):64-71.
- 148. Takeuchi T, Matsubara T, Ohta S, Mukai M, Amano K, Tohma S, et al. Biologic-free remission of established rheumatoid arthritis after discontinuation of abatacept: a prospective, multicentre, observational study in Japan. Rheumatology (Oxford, England). 2015;54(4):683-691.

- 149.Emery P, Burmester GR, Bykerk VP, Combe BG, Furst DE, Barre E, et al. Evaluating drug-free remission with abatacept in early rheumatoid arthritis: results from the phase 3b, multicentre, randomised, active-controlled AVERT study of 24 months, with a 12-month, double-blind treatment period. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2015;74(1):19-26.
- 150. Nishimoto N, Amano K, Hirabayashi Y, Horiuchi T, Ishii T, Iwahashi M, et al. Drug free REmission/low disease activity after cessation of tocilizumab (Actemra) Monotherapy (DREAM) study. Modern rheumatology / the Japan Rheumatism Association. 2014;24(1):17-25.
- 151.Aguilar-Lozano L, Castillo-Ortiz JD, Vargas-Serafin C, Morales-Torres J, Sanchez-Ortiz A, Sandoval-Castro C, et al. Sustained clinical remission and rate of relapse after tocilizumab withdrawal in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. The Journal of rheumatology. 2013;40(7):1069-1073.
- 152.van Herwaarden N, Herfkens-Hol S, van der Maas A, van den Bemt BJ, van Vollenhoven RF, Bijlsma JW, et al. Dose reduction of tocilizumab in rheumatoid arthritis patients with low disease activity. Clinical and experimental rheumatology. 2014;32(3):390-394.
- 153. Tanaka Y, Takeuchi T, Mimori T, Saito K, Nawata M, Kameda H, et al. Discontinuation of infliximab after attaining low disease activity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: RRR (remission induction by Remicade in RA) study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2010;69(7):1286-1291.
- 154.Fautrel B, Pham T, Alfaiate T, Gandjbakhch F, Foltz V, Morel J, et al. Step-down strategy of spacing TNF-blocker injections for established rheumatoid arthritis in remission: results of the multicentre non-inferiority randomised open-label controlled trial (STRASS: Spacing of TNF-blocker injections in Rheumatoid ArthritiS Study). Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2016;75(1):59-67.
- 155.Brocq O, Millasseau E, Albert C, Grisot C, Flory P, Roux CH, et al. Effect of discontinuing TNFalpha antagonist therapy in patients with remission of rheumatoid arthritis. Joint, bone, spine : revue du rhumatisme. 2009;76(4):350-355.
- 156.Allaart CF, Lems WF, Huizinga TW. The BeSt way of withdrawing biologic agents. Clinical and experimental rheumatology. 2013;31(4 Suppl 78):S14-18.
- 157.Pregnancy and Lactation Labeling (Drugs) Final Rule [Internet]. 2015 [cited 28th December 2015.]. Available from: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/cfrsear ch.cfm?fr=201.57.
- 158.Krause ML, Amin S, Makol A. Use of DMARDs and biologics during pregnancy and lactation in rheumatoid arthritis: what the rheumatologist needs to know. Therapeutic advances in musculoskeletal disease. 2014;6(5):169-184.
- 159.Broms G, Granath F, Ekbom A, Hellgren K, Pedersen L, Sorensen HT, et al. Low Risk of Birth Defects for Infants Whose Mothers Are Treated With Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor Agents During Pregnancy. Clinical gastroenterology and hepatology : the official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association. 2016;14(2):234-41.e1-5.
- 160. Winger EE, Reed JL, Ashoush S, El-Toukhy T, Ahuja S, Taranissi M. Birth defect rates in women using Adalimumab (Humira®) to treat immunologic-based infertility in IVF patients. American journal of reproductive immunology (New York, NY: 1989). 2011;66(3):237-241.
- 161.Viktil KK, Engeland A, Furu K. Use of antirheumatic drugs in mothers and fathers before and during pregnancy-a popula-

tion-based cohort study. Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety. 2009;18(8):737-742.

- 162. Chakravarty EF, Sanchez-Yamamoto D, Bush TM. The use of disease modifying antirheumatic drugs in women with rheumatoid arthritis of childbearing age: a survey of practice patterns and pregnancy outcomes. The Journal of rheumatology. 2003;30(2):241-246.
- 163.Marchioni RM, Lichtenstein GR. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha inhibitor therapy and fetal risk: a systematic literature review. World journal of gastroenterology. 2013;19(17):2591-2602.
- 164. Lichtenstein GR, Feagan BG, Cohen RD, Salzberg BA, Diamond RH, Price S, et al. Serious infection and mortality in patients with Crohn's disease: more than 5 years of follow-up in the TREAT registry. The American journal of gastroenterology. 2012;107(9):1409-1422.
- 165.de Lima A, Zelinkova Z, van der Ent C, Steegers EA, van der Woude CJ. Tailored anti-TNF therapy during pregnancy in patients with IBD: maternal and fetal safety. Gut. 2016;65(8):1261-1268.
- 166. Deepak P, Stobaugh DJ. Maternal and foetal adverse events with tumour necrosis factor-alpha inhibitors in inflammatory bowel disease. Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics. 2014;40(9):1035-1043.
- 167.Bortlik M, Machkova N, Duricova D, Malickova K, Hrdlicka L, Lukas M, et al. Pregnancy and newborn outcome of mothers with inflammatory bowel diseases exposed to anti-TNF-alpha therapy during pregnancy: three-center study. Scandinavian journal of gastroenterology. 2013;48(8):951-958.
- 168.Bortlik M, Duricova D, Machkova N, Kozeluhova J, Kohout P, Hrdlicka L, et al. Impact of anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha antibodies administered to pregnant women with inflammatory bowel disease on long-term outcome of exposed children. Inflammatory bowel diseases. 2014;20(3):495-501.
- 169.Chakravarty EF, Murray ER, Kelman A, Farmer P. Pregnancy outcomes after maternal exposure to rituximab. Blood. 2011;117(5):1499-1506.
- 170.Kumar M, Ray L, Vemuri S, Simon TA. Pregnancy outcomes following exposure to abatacept during pregnancy. Seminars in arthritis and rheumatism. 2015;45(3):351-356.
- 171.Paschou S, Voulgari PV, Vrabie IG, Saougou IG, Drosos AA. Fertility and reproduction in male patients with ankylosing spondylitis treated with infliximab. The Journal of rheumatology. 2009;36(2):351-354.
- 172. Duarte R, Campainha S, Cotter J, Rosa B, Varela P, Correia A, et al. Position paper on tuberculosis screening in patients with immune mediated inflammatory diseases candidates for biological therapy. Acta Reumatol Port. 2012;37(3):253-259.
- 173. Mourao AF, Fonseca JE, Canhao H, Santos MJ, Bernardo A, Cordeiro A, et al. [Practical guide for the use of biological agents in rheumatoid arthritis - December 2011 update]. Acta Reumatol Port. 2011;36(4):389-395.