Portuguese recommendations for the use of ultrasound in rheumatology

Polido-Pereira J¹, Serra S², Teixeira F³, Ponte C¹, Cerqueira M⁴, Cruz M⁵, Araújo F⁶, Barros R¹, Costa T⁷, Santos-Faria D³, Lopes C⁸, Madruga-Dias J⁹, Oliveira M¹⁰, Teixeira R¹, Vilar A¹¹, Falcão S¹², Saraiva F¹³, Figueiredo G¹⁴

ACTA REUMATOL PORT. 2019;44:7-28

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Ultrasound (US) is a relatively cheap, easily available and reliable method to improve the care of rheumatic patients. However, its use in rheumatology practice is very heterogeneous and needs to be standardized.

Objectives: To develop recommendations for the use of US in rheumatic diseases endorsed by the Portuguese Society of Rheumatology.

Methods: A systematic literature review of the available recommendations on the use of ultrasound in rheumatic diseases was performed and presented in a Portuguese Society of Rheumatology meeting to a sub-

group of rheumatologists and rheumatology trainees with special interest in the subject. The most important topics to be addressed were selected and assigned to subgroups for literature review and draft recommendations. Following an iterative process of consensus, the final recommendations were developed, and their level of agreement voted anonymously online. A recommendation was approved when the average level of agreement was \geq 7.5 in a 10-point Likert scale.

Results: Fourteen recommendations were produced regarding nine rheumatology topics: rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthritis, connective tissue diseases, polymyalgia rheumatica, vasculitis, crystal-deposition diseases, soft tissue rheumatism, osteoarthritis and ultrasound-guided procedures.

Conclusion: We developed an up-to-date guidance in the form of recommendations for the use of US in nine different areas of rheumatology. As US is an important imaging modality with increasing use in the rheumatology setting, and there are frequent technological advances in the US machines and probes, in parallel with continuous associated research, these recommendations should be regularly updated.

Keywords: Ultrasound-guided procedures; recommendations; ultrasound.

INTRODUCTION

The use of ultrasound (US) for the diagnosis and management of rheumatic diseases is relatively recent, when compared with other areas of medicine, but its use is of undoubtful usefulness in the diagnosis, disease activity monitoring, prognosis and treatment of this group of pathologies. US is a relatively cheap, easily available and, in many settings, reliable method to improve the care of rheumatic patients. The use of US in rheumatology clinical practice is very heterogeneous and needs to be standardized. Recommendations are helpful to

Serviço de Reumatologia e Doenças Ósseas Metabólicas and Rheumatology Research Unit, Hospital de Santa Maria, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário Lisboa Norte, Lisbon Academic Medical Centre, Lisboa, Portugal and Instituto de Medicina Molecular, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal 2. Serviço de Reumatologia, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

^{3.} Serviço de Reumatologia, Unidade Local de Saúde do Alto Minho, Ponte de Lima, Portugal

^{4.} Serviço de Reumatologia, Hospital de Braga, Braga, Portugal 5. Serviço de Reumatologia, Centro Hospitalar S. Francisco, Leiria, Portugal

^{6.} Unidade de Reumatologia e Osteoporose, Hospital de Sant'Ana, SCML, Parede, Portugal

^{7.} Serviço de Reumatologia, Hospital de Egas Moniz, Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Ocidental, Lisboa, Portugal

^{8.} Serviço de Reumatologia, Hospital de Egas Moniz, Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Ocidental, Lisboa, Portugal

^{9.} Serviço de Reumatologia, Cento Hospitalar Médio Tejo, Portugal 10. Serviço de Reumatologia and Faculdade de Ciências da Saúde, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário da Cova da Beira, Covilhã, Portugal and Universidade da Beira Interior, Portugal

^{11.} Unidade de Reumatologia, Hospital dos Lusíadas, Lisboa, Portugal

^{12.} Serviço de Reumatologia and Nova Medical School, Hospital de Egas Moniz, Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Ocidental, Lisboa, Portugal and Faculdade de Ciência Médicas, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

Serviço de Reumatologia e Doenças Ósseas Metabólicas,
Hospital de Santa Maria, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário Lisboa
Norte, Lisbon Academic Medical Centre, Lisboa, Portugal
Serviço de Reumatologia, Hospital do Divino Espírito Santo,
Ponta Delgada, Portugal

accomplish this goal. This paper aims to develop the Portuguese recommendations for the use of US by rheumatologists.

METHODS

Firstly, the authors reviewed which recommendations had been already published regarding the use of US in the setting of rheumatic diseases, particularly focused on musculoskeletal diseases. SS, FT and JP, with the help of HD performed a systematic literature review in PUBMED using the following code ("Musculoskeletal Diseases/ultrasonography"[Mesh]) OR (("Arthritis/ultrasonography"[Mesh]) OR "Tendinopathy/ultrasonography"[Mesh])) Filters: Consensus Development Conference; Guideline; Practice Guideline; Systematic Reviews; Meta-Analysis; Recommendations; Humans; English; Portuguese; Spanish. From the one hundred and sixty (160) manuscripts resulting from this, 147 were excluded after abstract review and one was excluded after full paper review. Exclusions were mostly because those papers were not recommendations nor guidelines. The resulting 12 manuscripts were then presented in a meeting of the Portuguese Society of Rheumatology (October 2016) to a sub-group of rheumatologists and rheumatology trainees with special interest in US1-12. It was decided that the development of recommendations should follow the main areas of rheumatology in which US had shown greater importance: rheumatoid arthritis (RA), spondyloarthritis (SpA), connective tissue diseases, polymyalgia rheumatica, vasculitis, crystal-deposition diseases, soft tissue rheumatism, osteoarthritis and ultrasound-guided (USG) procedures. All these topics were assigned to different subgroups of rheumatologists and rheumatology trainees to perform literature review and draft recommendations.

In a meeting, on May 2017, the published evidence was presented for each topic to all co-authors for consensus agreement on how the recommendations should be written. In a final phase, the recommendations were anonymously voted online to define the agreement rate among the Portuguese Society of Rheumatology. For each recommendation voting 0 means total disagreement and 10 total agreement. A recommendation was approved when the average level of agreement was \geq 7.5 in a 0 to 10-point Likert scale. Due to the broad nature of these recommendations, the level of evidence was not defined.

RESULTS

RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS

Recommendation 1 - In rheumatoid arthritis, ultrasound is superior to clinical examination in the detection of joint inflammation and should be used when there is clinical doubt. Ultrasound may be used for differential diagnosis between rheumatoid arthritis and other arthritides.

US provides added value for the detection of synovitis and can be highly useful in patients with questionable findings on joint examination or in cases requiring a more accurate assessment of inflammatory activity.

We identified 42 studies comparing US and clinical examination in the detection of inflammation in various joints. In general, US detected joint inflammation more frequently than clinical examination; the mean detection rate for synovitis at the hand and wrist was 2.18-fold higher for US, regardless of the duration of RA^{1, 13-23}.

The presence of synovitis and erosions in US is a valuable finding for the diagnosis of RA (to differentiate from healthy individuals), as is tenosynovitis, although, in the latter, the number of studies is much smaller^{24,25}. On the other hand, the utility of US for the diagnosis of early undifferentiated arthritis has also been demonstrated²⁵. However, the results concerning the ability to discriminate between RA from other inflammatory arthritis are inconsistent^{23,26,27}. Nevertheless, based on clinical experience, the members of the panel considered that US may be useful in establishing the differential diagnosis with other arthritis.

Recommendation 2 - In rheumatoid arthritis, ultrasound can detect synovitis even when the disease is in clinical remission. Ultrasound may be used to assess subclinical inflammation and response to treatment.

US can provide added value to physical examination in patients with RA in remission.

Subclinical synovitis detected in Doppler mode, even when the disease is in clinical remission, may predict the development of relapses or new flares over the short-to-medium term, as well as progression of structural damage²⁷⁻²⁹.

There is a good correlation between different models of US evaluation, including comprehensive and reduced joint counts, in patients with RA in clinical remission³⁰.

US is more sensitive than clinical examination to

monitor therapeutic response, regardless of the firstline therapeutic modalities (synthetic or biological disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs [DMARD]; disease activity; disease duration or the presence of factors associated with a good or poor prognosis^{22, 31-36}.

Recommendation 3 - In rheumatoid arthritis, the presence of synovitis, tenosynovitis and erosions detected by ultrasound predicts joint damage and may be used to assess prognosis.

Baseline synovitis or tenosynovitis detected by US seems to be predictive of erosive progression at 1 year (OR 7.18) and 3 years (OR 3.4)^{37,38}. Baseline erosions on ultrasound appear to be predictive of further erosions at 6 months³⁸⁻⁴¹.

Apart from being superior to physical examination to detect synovitis and tenosynovitis, US is comparable with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and radiography to detect erosions and all these findings predict development and/or progression of structural damage, which is even more evident when there is Doppler signal^{41,43-45}.

SPONDYLOARTHRITIS

Recommendation 4 - In spondyloarthritis, ultrasound may be used for the diagnosis and monitoring of arthritis, bursitis, tenosynovitis and enthesitis. There is currently no evidence to recommend Ultrasound in the assessment of axial disease involvement.

Enthesitis is a major feature of SpA, and US can improve its diagnosis.

Gray scale (GS) findings consist of loss of normal fibrillar echogenicity of the tendon insertion, with an increased thickness of the insertion, or intralesional focal changes of the tendon insertion, such as calcific deposits, fibrous scars and periosteal changes. These are often nonspecific and can be found in several causes of enthesopathy such as mechanic, metabolic and inflammatory⁴⁶⁻⁵⁵. Nevertheless, power Doppler (PD) US⁵⁶⁻⁶², and its proximity to cortical bone profile (2mm), are the most discriminative feature distinguishing enthesitis of SpA from other inflammatory and noninflammatory joint diseases, according to OMERACT consensus⁶³.

In 9 studies regarding the diagnosis of enthesitis in SpA, 4 of them in psoriatic arthritis(PsA)/Psoriasis, sensitivity and specificity ranged from 76% to 98%, and 48% to 90%, respectively^{59,60,62,64-69}. The discrepancies in methods, the lack of comparison with a gold

standard, such as biopsy, and the lack of evaluation of a real prognostic value of entheseal lesions detected by ultrasound, makes it difficult to compare several studies efficiently. Currently, there is an absence of consensus on the best enthesitis score to use, and whether different methods should be applied for diagnostic and monitoring purposes^{46,59,65,69-72}. However, it is well known that lower limb enthesis are most commonly affected, and the best diagnostic performance is achieved by using combined entheseal GS and PD US modalities^{73,74}.

Regarding the monitoring of disease activity, there are several literature reports supporting the use of US in monitoring SpA, namely enthesitis. Many of these studies showed correlation between GS and PD findings with various aspects used in disease monitoring such as painful or tender enthesis, Bath Ankilosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI), Bath Ankilosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP)^{61,75-79}. Regarding treatment response two studies showed a significant reduction of PD and GS enthesis abnormalities (tendon hypoechogenicity and/or thickening and bursitis) in SpA patients treated with anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) drugs. These studies have the limitation for a relative short time period of follow-up (2 and 6 months, respectively)73,80.

The evidence regarding the assessment of synovitis is mostly limited to PsA patients⁸¹⁻⁸³. The SOLAR score, sonography of large joints in Rheumatology, validated for rheumatoid arthritis, includes the evaluation of the shoulder, elbow, hip and knee, can be used for monitoring AS and PsA patients with peripheral involvement of medium or large joints⁸⁴.

Although there is some scarce evidence on the potential use of US for diagnosing active sacroiliitis, namely through the use of contrast-enhanced US, the panel decided that it was not robust enough to recommend its use in axial disease⁸⁵⁻⁸⁸.

Recommendation 5 – Musculoskeletal ultrasound may be used for the diagnosis and monitoring of arthritis, bursitis, tenosynovitis or enthesitis in patients with psoriatic arthritis. It is not recommended to evaluate axial involvement or structural damage.

Although PsA is a subtype of SpA, the panel found useful to produce a recommendation on PsA, taking its individual features into account.

As previously mentioned, four studies demonstrat-

ed the usefulness of US in the diagnosis of enthesitis in PsA patients $^{63, 67-69}$.

Regarding arthritis, Milosavljevic J *et al.* showed that US was effective in demonstrating PsA involvement of the hands and wrists and more sensitive than clinical examination in detecting pathology⁸⁰. Other authors have shown that US can differentiate RA from PsA in early arthritis patients, mainly at the metacarpophalangeal joint level – PsA patients presented more evidence of extensor peritenon inflammation⁸². Lin Z *et al.* also showed that US proved valuable in detecting soft tissue inflammation and enthesitis in the fingers of PsA patients that were distinctive from RA patients⁸³.

SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS, SJOGREN'S SYNDROME, SYSTEMIC SCLEROSIS AND INFLAMMATORY MYOPATHIES

Recommendation 6 - In systemic lupus erythematosus, Sjögren's syndrome and systemic sclerosis patients, ultrasound may be used to assess musculoskeletal involvement, being more sensitive in the detection of inflammatory findings than physical examination.

Regarding systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), three systematic reviews, collected evidence on joint and tendon involvement⁸⁹⁻⁹¹. In one review including 610 SLE patients, effusion was identified in 602 (53,5%) joints, synovial hypertrophy in 150 (13,3%), tenosynovitis in 210 (18,7%) and bone erosions in 73 (6,5%)cases⁸⁹. In another review including 459 patients, mostly asymptomatic, wrist and hands were the most frequent joints studied, and synovitis and tenosynovitis reported in 25-94% and 28-65%, respectively; PD in 10-82% and erosions in 2-41% of patients⁹⁰. This evidence suggests a potential role of US in identifying subclinical disease. Additionally, two studies showed that US abnormalities depended on the SLE arthropathy subtype (non-deforming, x-ray non-erosive arthropathy, Jaccoud s arthropathy or Rhupus syndrome), with a higher incidence of inflammatory changes and erosions in the Rhupus sub-group⁹¹. US has also been used to assess efficacy of therapy in controlling arthritis in patients with SLE under biologic DMARDs92,93.

Musculoskeletal involvement in Systemic Sclerosis (SSc) patients may be underestimated by the concomitant skin disease, which can make the clinical examination difficult⁹⁴. Three reviews on the use of US in SSc have shown that: 1) US is superior to conventional x-ray in identifying digital calcifications and erosions; 2) US is more sensitive in detecting hand and wrist inflammation than clinical examination; 3) inflammatory joint and tendon disease in SSc patients can be persistent, as showed in a 6-month prospective study; 4) SSc patients frequently have thicker A1 pulley and thicker wrist, knee and ankle retinaculae thickness than healthy subjects^{91,95,96}. The potential role of US in the multi-target assessment of SSc, regarding skin and lung involvement, has been explored recently⁹⁶.

According to a review of five papers, which included 16 to 60 patients with Sjögren's Syndrome (SjS), US detected synovitis in 5-76% of patients, significantly more prevalent than in healthy controls. The distribution of joint involvement was similar to RA, frequently polyarticular and symmetrical, and erosions were also detected^{91,97-101}. US can also identify subclinical synovitis in 16% of joints of SjS patients, 2% with PD¹⁰¹. Not surprisingly, patients with secondary SjS with RA are more prone to have synovitis detected by US than those with primary SjS^{100,102}. In addition, patients with SjS and fibromyalgia usually have normal enthesis and tendons in typical fibromyalgia tendon tender points⁹⁹.

Recommendation 7 - Ultrasound can be used to assess salivary glands' involvement in Sjögren's Syndrome and may be performed to support the diagnosis.

The use of US in the study of salivary glands (SGUS) has attracted considerable attention given it is an accessible, safe, noninvasive and reliable technique for detecting morphological abnormalities in patients with primary SjS¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁵. SGUS may evaluate parenchyma heterogeneity/inhomogeneity, gland size, hypoechogenic areas, hyperechogenic bands, borders definition, blood flow changes and the presence of periglandular or intraglandular lymph nodes. Of these, inhomogeneity has the best diagnostic accuracy and was correlated with disease duration¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁸.

Different SGUS scoring systems, which include one or more of the US findings described above, have been developed, but none is validated for use in clinical practice.

Comparing with other imaging methods, SGUS showed good correlation to sialography, scintigraphy and MRI, in terms of diagnostic accuracy^{103,109}. When compared to biopsy, US showed lower sensitivity and similar specificity¹⁰⁷. In a recent meta-analysis, including 29 studies, the pooled specificity of SGUS in

distinguishing SjS patients from controls was high (92%), and the pooled sensitivity only moderate (69%)^{110,111}. Some studies were also performed in secondary SjS, with similar diagnostic sensitivity¹⁰⁸.

Cornec *et al.* have shown that the addition of a SGUS score based on glandular echostructure to the 2012 ACR classification criteria notably improved the diagnostic performance^{112,113}. There are also some reports on the role of SGUS in prognosis (lymphoma risk) and response to treatment (rituximab)¹¹⁴⁻¹¹⁶.

In conclusion, the SGUS is apparently useful in detecting structural abnormalities of salivary glands in SjS patients, but we need an international consensual scoring system to standardize the method; the intraand inter-rater reliability must be evaluated in larger studies; and its role in the follow-up and monitoring response to therapy is far from established^{105,107,111,117,118}.

Recommendation 8 - In inflammatory myopathies, ultrasound may be useful to detect muscle changes and identify biopsy site, despite the lack of strong evidence.

Although muscle biopsy is the gold standard to confirm the diagnosis of inflammatory myopathies, it can lead to false-negatives because inflammation may be spotty¹¹⁹. US, as other imaging techniques (e.g. MRI), can detect muscle changes in the acute and chronic phases of the disease, assess the extension and severity of muscle damage, and assist in directing the biopsy site. MRI is still considered more sensitive than US in detecting muscle edema and in guiding muscle biopsy, but it is expensive, less accessible and contraindicated in some patients¹¹⁹⁻¹²².

There are few controlled studies reporting the usefulness of US in inflammatory myopathies, but some non-controlled studies have shown that, in the acute phase, muscles (focally or diffusely) can appear thickened, and with areas of hypoechogenicity. PD signal is more common in early disease and correlates with disease activity. Higher echogenicity and more pronounced atrophy are more common findings in the chronic stages of myositis^{91,123,124}.

Contrast-enhanced US allows more accuracy for muscle perfusion. Two controlled studies showed that patients with myositis had higher blood velocity, blood flow and blood volume than healthy controls. The blood flow was the best measure for diagnosis of dermatomyositis (DM) and polymyositis (PM), with a sensitivity of 73% and specificity of 91%^{119,125}.

In 2016, Yoshida et al. determined in 14 patients

with inflammatory myopathies that PD US was useful for the detection of fasciitis in most of the DM patients (6/7 patients) and in none of the PM patients. Positive PD US findings in DM patients were confirmed by histology in all 6 patients and by MRI in 4. In one patient, PD US was helpful in monitoring response to therapy. Larger studies are still needed to confirm these findings and to address whether PD US can replace MRI or biopsy¹²⁶.

POLYMYALGIA RHEUMATICA

Recommendation 9 - Ultrasound can be used to confirm the diagnosis of polymyalgia rheumatica and to differentiate it from other inflammatory arthropathies or periarticular diseases.

Three main reviews evaluated the prevalence of US abnormalities in patients with polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR) and their diagnostic value¹²⁷⁻¹²⁹. Heterogeneity among the included studies was large (numbers varied from 13 to 57 patients) and the most frequent US findings were subacromial-subdeltoid (SAD) bursitis, long head of biceps (LHB) tenosynovitis and glenohumeral synovitis, in the shoulder, and hip synovitis, trochanteric bursitis, iliopsoas and ischiogluteal bursitis, in the hip¹²⁶⁻¹³⁰.

Regarding the shoulder findings, SAD bursitis is the US abnormality more commonly found, with prevalence varying from 65 to 100% and it is considered the hallmark of PMR, providing the best diagnostic accuracy (if bilateral, it is the most specific finding)¹³⁰⁻¹³³]. Lower frequencies found in older studies might be explained by steroid treatment¹³⁴⁻¹³⁶. LHB tenosynovitis and glenohumeral synovitis were less frequent (60--85% of untreated PMR patients)^{137,138}.

Regarding hip involvement, US detected hip synovitis in 25-52% PMR patients^{130,133,137,138}. One study found trochanteric bursitis in 100% of untreated PMR patients (90% bilateral), but these results were never replicated. Iliopsoas bursitis appeared in 30%, and ischiogluteal bursitis in 20% of cases¹³⁹. Peripheral arthritis is less often found (18-38%)¹³⁰.

Establishing the clinical diagnosis as the gold-standard, a meta-analysis has shown that SAD bursitis had 80% sensitivity and 68% specificity for the diagnosis of PMR; the values for bilateral SAD bursitis were 66% and 89%, for glenohumeral synovitis 62% and 58%, and for hip synovitis 33% and 78%¹²⁹.

US is comparable to MRI in the detection of SAD bursitis, LHB tenosynovitis, and trochanteric bursitis, but has lower accuracy for glenohumeral synovitis, hip

synovitis and iliopsoas bursitis^{132,133,139}.

US also seems to be useful in detecting inflammatory findings in PMR patients with low ESR, and in detecting subclinical findings in patients in clinical remission, therefore it may be superior for monitoring disease activity when compared with clinical and laboratory markers^{132,137,140}.

The addiction of US to the PMR classification criteria improves its performance in terms of specificity. US findings are useful in discriminating PMR patients from patients with non-RA shoulder conditions, but less so in discriminating PMR from RA^{128,141}.

VASCULITIS

Recommendation 10 - In giant cell arteritis a noncompressible 'halo' sign is the most important ultrasound finding for diagnosis. It is recommended that patients with suspected giant cell arteritis, or giant cell arteritis flare, undergo rapid access ultrasound of at least the temporal and axillary arteries, performed in a high-quality equipment by sonographers with expertise in vascular ultrasound.

US is a valuable imaging modality for patients with suspected giant cell arteritis (GCA) or GCA flare¹⁴². Three meta-analyses have reported a high sensitivity and specificity for its diagnosis, when compared to temporal artery biopsy (TAB) or the 1990 ACR classification criteria¹⁴³⁻¹⁴⁵. A recent multicentric study analyzed 381 patients with newly suspected GCA who underwent both ultrasound of the temporal and axillary arteries and TAB, within 10 days of starting high-doses of corticosteroids¹⁴⁶. Ultrasound showed superior sensitivity but lower specificity than TAB for diagnosing GCA (59% vs. 39% and 81% vs. 100%, respectively); however, strategies combining clinical judgement with both tests have shown to be more cost-effective, with higher sensitivity/specificity. Performing ultrasound in all cases of suspected GCA, followed by TAB only in patients with negative ultrasound but high-risk of having GCA showed a diagnostic sensitivity of 94% and specificity of 77%. Therefore, it is currently recommended that, in patients with high clinical suspicion of GCA and positive ultrasound, there is no need for additional testing to confirm diagnosis and that, in cases of low clinical probability and negative ultrasound, alternative diagnoses must be considered¹⁴⁷.

Ultrasound should be performed in a timely manner and by experienced ultrasonographers¹⁴⁸. A non-

-compressible 'halo' sign, defined as a homogenous, hypoechoic wall thickening, well delineated towards the luminal side, visible both in longitudinal and transverse planes, is the most important ultrasound finding suggestive of GCA¹⁴⁹. The halo sign has been reported to disappear after a mean of 2-3 weeks following corticosteroid initiation¹⁵⁰⁻¹⁵² and the sensitivity for its detection rapidly decreases under treatment¹⁵². Fast-track clinics with rapid access to ultrasound are therefore recommended and have already shown to improve clinical outcomes, particularly visual loss¹⁵³⁻¹⁵⁴.

In around 50% of patients with GCA, ultrasound assessment has documented large-vessel involvement, particularly of the axillary arteries, which can occur in the absence of temporal arteries involvement and persist for a much longer time, therefore increasing the diagnostic yield for GCA¹⁵⁵⁻¹⁵⁸.

CRYSTAL-RELATED ARTHRITIDES

Recommendation 11 - Ultrasound detects monosodium urate and calcium pyrophosphate dehydrate crystals deposition in articular and periarticular structures. It may be used to support the diagnosis of gout and calcium pyrophosphate dehydrate crystals deposition disease and for differential diagnosis with other arthritides.

Ultrasound is a useful diagnosis method for gout when the gold standard (demonstration of crystals in synovial fluid) is not available¹⁵⁹⁻¹⁶⁰. The highly sparkling reflectivity of monosodium urate (MSU) and calcium pyrophosphate dehydrate (CPPD) crystals can be easily detected by US, even when only minimal deposits within cartilage and/or tendon sheets are present¹⁰⁴.

There are both gout non-specific and specific US findings¹⁶¹⁻¹⁶². The OMERACT group established definitions for the specific findings, namely "double contour sign" (DCS), "aggregates" and "tophi"161-164 that can be found in all gout stages. Several studies and meta-analysis tested the sensitivity and specificity of DCS and tophi when compared to direct crystal observation by synovial fluid analysis. The prevalence of those US findings ranged from 22-92% for DCS and from 48 to 80% for tophi presence, depending on the US technique applied and on the disease stage (more frequent in longstanding disease)165. Both DCS and tophi are highly specific for gout (98-100%)^{161, 166,167}. DCS has shown good to excellent intra- and interobserver agreement and tophi detected by US has shown good construct validity when compared with MRI^{161,162,167}. Tophi and erosions in gout are more easily identified by US than by radiography¹⁶⁵.

Recently, a collaborative European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) and American College of Rheumatology (ACR) international project developed new preliminary classification criteria for gout, including an imaging domain that improved the performance when compared with clinical criteria alone (sensitivity 92% and specificity 89%, compared with 85% and 78%, respectively)¹⁶⁸.

Regarding gout follow-up, a correlation was found between uricemia level and US findings through the vanishing of specific gout signs (mainly tophi and DCS) after effective urate-lowering therapy^{162,165,169}.

Considering CPPD disease, the most specific US findings are: 1) hyperechoic dots or lines within the medium layer of cartilage (almost pathognomonic of chondrocalcinosis), rather than on the surface, as seen in gout; 2) hyperechoic foci ("punctate pattern") in the synovial fluid, menisci and triangular fibrocartilage; 3) linear calcification (often with acoustic shadow) or ovoid- shaped areas in tendons; and 4) homogeneous hyperechoic nodular or oval deposits in bursae or articular recesses^{159,160,170}.

In two literature reviews, US sensitivity and specificity were calculated using the direct observation of CPP crystals in the synovial fluid as gold standard and found to be high: 90% and > 95%, respectively^{160,170-172}. When compared to conventional radiography, US showed a good correlation in the detection of calcifications^{159,170}.

In conclusion, US in the acute phase of crystal-related arthritides is useful to identify crystal deposition in areas of synovitis, tenosynovitis and, and allows US--guided aspiration of synovial fluid of less accessible involved structures¹⁵⁹⁻¹⁶¹. In the inter-critical or asymptomatic chronic stages, US can detect specific signs of gout (DCS, aggregates or tophi) and of CPPD disease (calcified deposits within cartilage and soft tissues) and distinguish between them. Moreover, US can help to differentiate tophi from other subcutaneous nodules¹⁰⁴.

SOFT TISSUE RHEUMATISM

Recommendation 12 - Ultrasound may be used for the diagnosis and differential diagnosis in patients with loco-regional symptoms with doubtful clinical examination. It allows the assessment of periarticular tissues, including muscle, tendon, ligament, fascia, aponeurosis, retinaculum, bursa, nerves and subcutaneous tissue.

The use of US for the diagnosis and treatment of peri-

articular disease is broad. Soft tissue rheumatism refers to non-systemic, focal pathologic syndromes involving the periarticular tissues, including muscle, tendon, ligament, fascia, aponeurosis, retinaculum, bursa, nerve and subcutaneous tissue¹⁷³⁻¹⁷⁵. In this section, we will review the usefulness of ultrasound in the diagnosis of soft tissue rheumatism per anatomical area, although, as agreed by the working group, the recommendation is broader.

Shoulder: US is mostly used when physical examination is nonconclusive. It is particularly useful to diagnose rotator cuff tears, performing better for full--thickness tears (sensitivity of 95%, and specificity 96%) than for partial-thickness tears (sensitivity of 72%, and specificity 93%). Regarding subacromial bursitis, sensitivity ranges from 79% to 81%, and specificity from 94% to 98%. For tendinopathy, sensitivity ranges from 67% to 93%, specificity from 88% to 100%. Sensitivity for calcifying tendinosis is about 100%, with specificity ranging from 85% to 98%¹⁷⁶. Evidence is contradictory regarding whether US is superior to MRI for diagnosing partial cuff tears, but seems inferior to MRI arthrography, using surgery (open or arthroscopic) as gold standard^{177,178}. There is some evidence on the use of US to diagnose supraspinatus and infraspinatus muscle atrophy, to evaluate surgical shoulder¹⁷⁹⁻¹⁸¹ and to evaluate subacromial impingement, although the dynamic study is highly operator dependent¹⁸²⁻¹⁸⁴. The experience of the sonographer seems decisive in the accuracy of the diagnosis of rotator cuff tears¹⁸⁵.

Adhesive capsulitis is hardly diagnosed by US, but coracohumeral ligament thickening is a known marker of this disease¹⁸⁶.

US can diagnose biceps tendon tenosynovitis and distinguish inflammatory from noninflammatory pathologies using PD¹⁸⁷. US can also be used to diagnose biceps tendon rupture, dislocation and tendinosis^{188,189}, and deltoid and pectoralis tears¹⁹⁰.

Although it is usually not used for evaluating shoulder nerves, US can be useful in the diagnosis of paralabral cysts compressing the suprascapular nerve and in detecting teres minor atrophy, frequently related with axillary nerve entrapment^{191,192}.

Elbow: There is some evidence of the utility of US on the diagnosis of several soft tissue rheumatisms, such as lateral and medial epicondylitis, olecranon bursitis, triceps tendinosis and enthesopathy^{193,194}. US proved useful in identifying the point of maximum tenderness of the extensor carpi radialis brevis tendon

at the epicondyle insertion¹⁹⁵. For the diagnosis of lateral epicondylitis, US is a sensitive (72% to 88%) but rather nonspecific (36% to 48.5%), inferior to MRI in an old study¹⁹⁶⁻¹⁹⁸. PD correlates with pain¹⁹⁹.

In a case–control study of medial epicondylitis, US demonstrated good agreement with physical examination with 95% sensitivity, 92% specificity, 90% positive predictive value, and 95% negative predictive value²⁰⁰.

Although there is evidence that the cross-sectional area and length of thickening of the ulnar nerve can correlate with symptoms and electrophysiological aspects of ulnar neuropathy^{201,202}, the role of US for the diagnosis of this pathology is far from established²⁰³. The cubital-to-humeral nerve area ratio is a useful diagnostic methodology²⁰⁴. US can demonstrate ulnar nerve subluxation, a condition predisposing to ulnar nerve neuropathy²⁰⁵.

Wrist: Several tendons and tendon sheaths may be involved in wrist pathology. The most commonly soft tissue pathology is the De Quervain's tenosynovitis, for which US reinforces its diagnosis and eases surgery planification²⁰⁶⁻²⁰⁸. In addition, it is possible to identify impingement of extensor tendons in screws of patients with distal radius fracture treated with a volar plate²⁰⁹.

There is a widespread use of US for the diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS)²⁰⁸⁻²¹⁴. The most frequently used US parameter include: increased median nerve cross section area (CSA), calculation of the difference between the site of lower CSA (entrapment area) and greatest nerve swelling or its ratio²¹⁵. Ultrasound can even be helpful in the diagnosis of CTS in patients with normal electromyography²¹⁶ and can also provide additional diagnostic value in patients with a bifid median nerve and in rheumatoid arthritis patients²¹⁷⁻²¹⁸.

Wrist ganglia can be thoroughly characterized by US²¹⁹.

Hand: Ultrasound can characterize accurately the flexor and extensor system of the fingers and seems accurate for specifically diagnosing ganglions and slightly less for solid lesions such as giant cell tumors of the tendon sheath²¹⁹⁻²²². US also allows the evaluation of the flexor tendon echostructure, being a good method to characterize trigger fingers²²³⁻²²⁷.

Hip: The greater trochanteric pain syndrome is very frequent, and its etiological diagnosis is sometimes difficult. Trochanteric bursitis is rare and the role of US for the diagnosis of gluteal tendinopathy is far from established, although it seems the most appropriate firstline imaging method²²⁸⁻²³⁰. Ultrasound can also be used to establish adductor tendon disease, tears of the rectus femoris, tendinosis of tensor fascia lata, ischial bursitis and labral lesions²³¹⁻²³⁷. US is also useful in the diagnosis of some extra-articular causes of snapping hip such as iliotibial band and iliopsoas snapping, which seem to be the most prevalent cause of this syndrome²³⁸⁻²⁴². Morel-Lavallée lesions appear by US as hypoechoic or anechoic lesions, compressible, and located between the deep fat and overlying fascia²⁴³. US can also be useful in the diagnosis of hamstring muscles and insertional lesions²⁴⁴ and can be as useful as MRI in depicting acute hamstring injuries²⁴⁵.

Knee: US can be useful in the diagnosis of the Jumper's knee, namely through the detection of Doppler signal in the patellar tendon²⁴⁶ and can be even superior to MRI in diagnosing this pathology²⁴⁷, showing high inter-tester reliability²⁴⁸. It also helps in the diagnosis of patellar calcifications²⁴⁹. Quadriceps and patellar tendon tears can also be easily identified by US²⁵⁰⁻²⁵⁷, as well as enthesitis, although with some lack of specific etiological findings^{258,259}. In addition, meniscal extrusion can also be identified by US, namely in osteoarthritis patients²⁶⁰. US is also useful in the diagnosis of medial collateral ligament lesion²⁶¹. Older studies show worse diagnostic accuracy in detecting ligamentous and meniscal knee pathology²⁶²⁻²⁶⁴. In a 2001 study US demonstrated the presence of Baker's cyst with 100% accuracy using MRI as gold standard²⁶⁵. There are also some reports on the usage of US for iliotibial band friction syndrome²⁶⁶.

Ankle and foot: US could identify tibialis posterior tenosynovitis with good sensitivity and specificity when compared with MRI, as well as tendon instability²⁶⁷⁻²⁶⁹. It has also shown to be useful for diagnosing instability and anatomical variation of peroneal tendons²⁷⁰⁻²⁷². Besides, US seems useful for identifying the cause of heel pain, particularly Achilles tendinopathy, according to two case-control studies, and the presence of Doppler findings is useful for diagnosing this entity²⁷³⁻²⁷⁷. A meta-analysis proposed that a fascia plantaris with a thickness >4 mm is suggestive of pathology (plantar fasciitis)²⁷⁸. US also allows the characterization of ganglia of the ankle and foot²⁷⁹. US proved useful in identifying deltoid ligament injuries in patients with bimalleolar fractures, but mostly to clarify lateral ligament and syndesmosis lesions²⁸⁰⁻²⁸⁸. According to a meta-analysis, for Morton's neuroma, US sensitivity is equal to MRI²⁸⁹. In rheumatoid arthritis patients, US can detect plantar bursitis as a cause of metatarsalgia²⁹⁰. In short, for periarticular pathology of ankle and foot, US represents an accurate, safe and relatively low-cost technique²⁹¹.

OSTEOARTHRITIS

Recommendation 13 - In osteoarthritis, ultrasound can be used to confirm the diagnosis and distinguish it from other arthritides, despite conventional radiography still being the gold standard. The presence of synovitis or Doppler signal indicates active inflammation. Ultrasound should not be used as a routine imaging in the follow-up and prognosis of osteoarthritis.

The diagnosis of osteoarthritis (OA) is clinical and less prevalent than radiographic OA. The relevance of radiographic asymptomatic OA is unknown. Imaging is not required to make the diagnosis in patients with typical presentation of OA nor is a substitute for a detailed clinical history and thorough examination. Imaging methods should be used when an alternative diagnosis is considered, or in atypical presentations, to help confirm the diagnosis and/or make alternative or additional diagnosis. Nowadays, there is no sonographic definition of osteoarthritis²⁹²⁻²⁹⁶.

US is useful to analyse inflammatory changes (synovial hypertrophy, fluid, Doppler signal) and structural changes (osteophytes, cartilage thickness, erosions) and to differentiate the involvement of articular from periarticular structures. It can detect more osteophytes and possibly more erosions than radiography in the hands but doesn't visualize subchondral cysts. In the majority of erosive hand OA inflammation can be identified. In a swollen knee, the presence of meniscal extrusion and joint space narrowing can suggest OA²⁹⁷⁻³⁰⁶.

Cartilage quantification by US is objective, reliable and valid when compared with conventional radiography, but evidence of its applicability is lacking³⁰¹.

In OA there seems to be a weak correlation between US findings, radiographic grade and symptoms³⁰⁷. Regarding response to treatment, evidence is contradictory. The presence of hip synovitis, and ultrasoundguided aspiration of Baker's cyst in patients with knee OA are predictors of response to local steroids injection; oppositely there is evidence that the presence of knee synovitis in knee OA is a negative predictor of local steroid injection³⁰⁸⁻³¹³. For hand and foot OA, searching for predictors of response to intraarticular steroid or hyaluronic acid injection failed³¹⁴⁻³¹⁶. For hand OA, inflammatory features do not diminish after administration of parenteral steroids³¹⁷.

As a conclusion, in OA, US seems useful mostly for differential diagnosis and to identify concomitant soft tissue rheumatism but less useful to predict treatment response.

ULTRASOUND-GUIDED PROCEDURES

Recommendation 14: Ultrasound guidance may improve accuracy of articular and periarticular injections or aspirations, and it is particularly recommended in structures difficult to access.

USG injection of articular or periarticular structures seems to improve accuracy of the procedure compared to blinded or landmark guided (LMG) injections. Several studies compared USG and LMG injections or aspirations using different accuracy assessments. A better outcome was found in various meta-analysis, including studies with shoulder injections (better results for USG injections of the glenohumeral joint, acromioclavicular joint and biceps tendon sheath, but not for the subacromial space), hip joint, knee joint (injection or arthrocentesis) and elbow joint (in a single trial)³¹⁸⁻³²¹.

Pain related to the procedure appears to be smaller when performing USG procedure, as found in several studies with knee injection or arthrocentesis and in a trial with injection of tenosynovitis in different locations of patients with inflammatory chronic arthritis^{320,322}.

Regarding efficacy, several meta-analyses showed greater improvement in pain or function scores with USG injections of the subacromial-subdeltoid bursa, biceps tendon sheath, carpal tunnel syndrome, wrist and plantar fascia^{318,323-326}. Two trials using injections in different locations of arthritis or tenosynovitis in patients with inflammatory rheumatic diseases also found better results with USG injections^{322,327}. However, single studies with injections of the glenohume-ral joint in patients with adhesive capsulitis, trigger finger or Morton's neuroma failed to show advantage of the USG arm^{318,328,329}.

Generally, most studies comparing USG with LMG procedures include a small number of patients, are methodologically heterogeneous or apply subjective outcomes. Although in most cases better efficacy is found in the USG injection arms, this advantage has not been consistent. Moreover, cost-benefit analyses have not been performed in most trials. Nevertheless, studies that evaluated accuracy and applied objective outcomes, found better results when performing USG procedures. Therefore, the group recognized that performing procedures guided by US offers some advantages, particularly in injections or aspirations of structures that are anatomically or technically difficult to access.

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT

Sixty-six rheumatologists voted anonymously online and the results are shown in Table I. All but one recommendation achieved at least an average of 7.5 of level of agreement. The recommendation regarding the use of US to evaluate the muscle in inflammatory myopathies achieved only 6,9 of average level of agreement and only 48,5% of the voters rated the recommendation 8 or higher. This may be explained by the fact that Portuguese rheumatologists, even those performing US are unfamiliar with the use this technique in this setting. All other recommendations achieve level of agreement higher or equal to 7.5, however, only one recommendation had more than 90% responses 8 or higher, recommendation 14, regarding the use of ultrasound guided procedures, which are now widely used throughout the Portuguese rheumatology practice. Many recommendations had less than 80% responses graded 8 or higher (6 out of 14) which may be related to the fact that, although the recommendations were produced by US rheumatology experts, the online survey could be responded by any rheumatologist. This dispersion of responses may be related with the asymmetrical use of US in rheumatology clinical practice in Portugal.

CONCLUSION

The use of US in rheumatology had an enormous growth in the last decade. It is now part of the optimal rheumatology care in inflammatory joint diseases, having a role in the diagnosis, prognosis and response to treatment, namely in RA and SpA, but also in other rheumatic diseases, such as SLE, SjS, SSc and inflammatory myopathies. In PMR, US is now included in the classification criteria. Depending on the clinical setting, US is determinant for the accurate diagnosis of loco-regional complains, giving, in most cases, a precise anatomical definition of the cause of pain. More recently, this diagnostic method has also shown its importance in crystal-induced arthritides with distinctive, almost pathognomonic, findings that are very important in the correct differential diagnosis. However, the role of US in rheumatology now goes beyond the musculoskeletal system, being increasingly used for the diagnosis of SjS (characteristic salivary gland findings) and GCA (typical halo sign in the temporal and/or axillary arteries). These recommendations tried to take into account latest literature evidence, but also the current US practice in the Portuguese rheumatology. For this reason, some topics that are in development, such as US of the lung and elastography in SSc, nailfold US in PsA and USG biopsies were not included in this review. The potential development of these techniques may determine a revision of the current recommendations in the future. In addition, it is very important to highlight that US has a very long learning curve; therefore experience in US of the local rheumatologists performing the exam needs to be considered when applying these recommendations.

CORRESPONDENCE TO Joaquim Polido Pereira Rheumatology Research Unit Instituto de Medicina Molecular Av. Prof. Egas Moniz, Lisboa, Portugal E-mail: polidopereira@gmail.com

REFERENCES

- Colebatch A, Edwards C, Østergaard M, van der Heijde D, Balint PV, D'Agostino MA et al. EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging of the joints in the clinical management of rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2013; 72:804–814.
- Mandl P, Navarro-Compán V, Terslev L, Aegerter P, van der Heijde D, D'Agostino MA, et al; European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR). EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging in the diagnosis and management of spondyloarthritis in clinical practice. Ann Rheum Dis. 2015 Jul;74(7):1327-39. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-206971. Epub 2015 Apr 2.
- Brown AK, O'connor PJ, Roberts TE, Wakefield RJ, Karim Z, Emery P. Recommendations for musculoskeletal ultrasonography by rheumatologists: setting global standards for best practice by expert consensus. Arthritis Rheum. 2005 Feb 15;53(1):83-92. Review.
- Backhaus M, Burmester GR, Gerber T, Grassi W, Machold KP, Swen WA, et al; Working Group for Musculoskeletal Ultrasound in the EULAR Standing Committee on International Clinical Studies including Therapeutic Trials. Guidelines for musculoskeletal ultrasound in rheumatology. Ann Rheum Dis. 2001 Jul;60(7):641-649
- Iagnocco A, Porta F, Cuomo G, Delle Sedie A, Filippucci E, Grassi W, et al; Musculoskeletal Ultrasound Study Group of the Italian Society of Rheumatology. The Italian MSUS Study Group recommendations for the format and content of the report and documentation in musculoskeletal ultrasonography in rheumatology. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2014 Feb;53(2): 367-73.

Торіс	Reccomendation	Agreement Mean; SD (percentage of responses 8 or higher)
Rheumatoid arthritis	1 - In RA, US is superior to clinical examination in the detection of joint inflammation and should be used when there is clinical doubt. US may be used for differential diagnosis between RA and other arthropathies.	8.2;4.5 (72.7%)
	2 - In RA, US can detect synovitis even when disease is in clinical remission. Ultrasound may be used to assess subclinical inflammation and response to treatment.	8.6;3.4 (81.8%)
	3 - In RA, the presence of synovitis, tenosynovitis and erosions detected by ultrasound predicts joint damage and may be used to assess prognosis.	8.8;2.1 (87.9%)
Spondyloarthritis	4 - In spondyloarthritis, ultrasound may be used for the diagnosis and monitoring of arthritis, bursitis, tenosynovitis and enthesitis. There is currently no evidence to recommend US in the assessment of axial disease involvement.	8.5;3.2 (81.8%)
	5 – Musculoskeletal ultrasound may be used for the diagnosis and monitoring of arthritis, bursitis tenosynovitis or enthesitis in patients with psoriatic arthritis. It is not recommended to evaluate axial involvement or structural damage.	8.7;2.8 (81.8%)
Systemic Lupus Erythematosus, Sjögren's Syndrome, Systemic Sclerosis	6 - In systemic lupus erythematosus, Sjögren's Syndrome and systemic sclerosis patients, US may be used to assess musculoskeletal involvement, being more sensitive in the detection of inflammatory findings than physical examination.	8.2;4.1 (69.7%)
and Inflammatory Myopathies	7 - US can be used to assess salivary glands' involvement in Sjögren's Syndrome and may be performed to support the diagnosis.	8.2;2.8 (69.7%)
	8 - In inflammatory myopathies, ultrasound may be useful to detect muscle changes and identify biopsy site, despite the lack of strong evidence.*	6.9;5.5 (48.5%)
Polymyalgia Rheumatica	9 - US can be used to confirm the diagnosis of polymyalgia rheumatica and to differentiate from inflammatory arthropathies or periarticular diseases.	7.6;4.6 (63.6%)
Vasculitis	10 - In GCA a non-compressible 'halo' sign is the most important US finding for diagnosis. It is recommended that patients with suspected GCA, or GCA flare, undergo rapid access US of at least the temporal and axillary arteries, performed in a high-quality equipment by sonographers with expertise in vascular US.	8.8;2.3 (84.8%)
Crystal-related arthropathies	11 - US detects monosodium urate and CPPD crystals deposition in articular and periarticular structures. It may be used to support the diagnosis of gout and CPPD disease and for differential diagnosis with other arthropathies.	8.2;2.5 (85.5%)
Soft tissue rheumatism	12 - US may be used for the diagnosis and differential diagnosis in patients with loco-regional symptoms with doubtful clinical examination. It allows the assessment of periarticular tissues, including muscle, tendon, ligament, fascia, aponeurosis, retinaculum, bursa, nerves and subcutaneous tissue.	8.4;2.1 (88.7%)

TABLE I. PORTUGUESE RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE USE OF ULTRASSONOGRAPHY IN RHEUMATOLOGY

TABLE I. CONTINUATION			
Торіс	Reccomendation	Agreement Mean; SD (percentage of responses 8 or higher)	
Osteoarthritis	13 - In osteoarthritis, US can be used to confirm the diagnosis and distinguish it from other arthropathies despite conventional radiography still being the gold standard. The presence of synovitis or Doppler signal indicates active inflammation. US should not be used as a routine imaging in the follow-up and prognosis of osteoarthritis.	7.5;6.0 (72.6%)	
Ultrasound-guided procedures	14 - US guidance may improve accuracy of articular and periarticular injections or aspirations, and it is particularly recommended in structures difficult to access.	8.9;1.1 (95.2%)	

RA – rheumatoid arthritis; US – ultrasound; GCA – giant cell arteritis; CPPD - calcium pyrophosphate dehydrate *Recommendation 8 did not achieve enough agreement to be supported.

doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/ket356. Epub 2013 Nov 5.

- Zufferey P, Tamborrini G, Gabay C, Krebs A, Kyburz D, Michel B, et al. Recommendations for the use of ultrasound in rheumatoid arthritis: literature review and SONAR score experience. Swiss Med Wkly. 2013 Dec 20;143:w13861. doi: 10.4414/smw.2013.13861. Review.
- McAlindon T, Kissin E, Nazarian L, Ranganath V, Prakash S, Taylor M, et al. American College of Rheumatology report on reasonable use of musculoskeletal ultrasonography in rheumatology clinical practice. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2012 Nov;64(11):1625-40. doi: 10.1002/acr.21836.
- Klauser AS, Tagliafico A, Allen GM, Boutry N, Campbell R, Court-Payen M, et al. Clinical indications for musculoskeletal ultrasound: a Delphi-based consensus paper of the European Society of Musculoskeletal Radiology. Eur Radiol. 2012 May;22(5):1140-8. doi: 10.1007/s00330-011-2356-3. Epub 2012 Mar 28.
- Finnoff JT, Hall MM, Adams E, Berkoff D, Concoff AL, Dexter W, et al. American Medical Society for Sports Medicine (AMSSM) position statement: interventional musculoskeletal ultrasound in sports medicine. PM R. 2015 Feb;7(2):151-68.e12. doi: 10.1016/j.pmrj.2015.01.003.
- Pineda C, Reginato AM, Flores V, Aliste M, Alva M, Aragón-Laínez RA, et al; Pan-American League of Associations for Rheumatology (PANLAR) Ultrasound Study Group. Pan-American League of Associations for Rheumatology (PANLAR) recommendations and guidelines for musculoskeletal ultrasound training in the Americas for rheumatologists. J Clin Rheumatol. 2010 Apr;16(3):113-8. doi: 10.1097/ RHU.0b013e3181d60053.
- Terslev L, Hammer HB, Torp-Pedersen S, Szkudlarek M, Iagnocco A, D'Agostino MA, et al. EFSUMB minimum training requirements for rheumatologists performing musculoskeletal ultrasound. Ultraschall Med. 2013 Oct;34(5):475-7. doi: 10.1055/s-0033-1335143. Epub 2013 May 21.
- Cosgrove D, Piscaglia F, Bamber J, Bojunga J, Correas JM, Gilja OH, et al; EFSUMB. EFSUMB guidelines and recommendations on the clinical use of ultrasound elastography. Part 2: Clinical applications. Ultraschall Med. 2013 Jun;34(3):238-

53. doi: 10.1055/s-0033-1335375. Epub 2013 Apr 19.

- Möller I, Loza E, Uson J, Acebes C, Andreu JL, Battle E, et al. Recomendaciones para el uso de la ecografía y la ressonância magnética en pacientes con artritis reumatoide. Reumatol Clin. 2016 14: 9-19
- Filippucci E, Iagnocco A, Salaffi F, Cerioni A, Valesini G, Grassi W. Power Doppler sonography monitoring of synovial perfusion at the wrist joints in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with adalimumab. Ann Rheum Dis 2006; 65: 1433–1437.
- 15. Szkudlarek M, Klarlund M, Narvestad E, Court-Payen M, Strandberg C, Jensen KE, et al. Ultrasonography of the metacarpophalangeal and proximal interphalangeal joints in rheumatoid arthritis: A comparison with magnetic resonance imaging, conventional radiography and clinical examination. Arthritis Res Ther. 2006; 8:R52.
- Krejza J, Kuryliszyn-Moskal A, Sierakowski S, et al. Ultrasonography of the periarticular changes in patients with early active rheumatoid arthritis. Med Sc Monit 1998; 4:366–369
- Ribbens C, André B, Marcelis S, Kaye O, Mathy L, Bonnet V et al. Rheumatoid hand joint synovitis: gray-scale and power Doppler US quantifications following anti–tumor necrosis factor– treatment: pilot study. Radiology 2003; 229:562–9.
- Terslev L, von der Recke P, Savnik A, Koenig MJ, Bliddal H. Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of Doppler ultrasound in rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2008; 35:49–53.
- Wakefield RJ, Freeston JE, O'Connor P, Reay N, Budgen A, Hensor EM, et al. The optimal assessment of the rheumatoid arthritis hindfoot: A comparative study of clinical examination, ultrasound and high field MRI. Ann Rheum Dis. 2008; 67:1678
- 20. Amin MF, Ismail FM, El Shereef RR. The role of ultrasonography in early detection and monitoring of shoulder erosions, and disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis patients; comparison with MRI examination. Acad Radiol. 2012;19:693–700
- 21. Hmamouchi I, Bahiri R, Srifi N, Aktaou S, Abouqal R, Hajjaj-Hassouni N. A comparison of ultrasound and clinical examination in the detection of flexor tenosynovitis in early arthritis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2011;12:91

- 22. Haavardsholm EA, Ostergaard M, Hammer HB, Bøyesen P, Boonen A, van der Heijde D et al. Monitoring anti-TNF alpha treatment in rheumatoid arthritis: responsiveness of magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasonography of the dominant wrist joint compared with conventional measures of disease activity and structural damage. Ann Rheum Dis 2009; 68:1572–1579.
- Riente L, Delle Sedie A, Scirè CA, Filippucci E, Meenagh G, Iagnocco A et al. Ultrasound imaging for the rheumatologist. XXXI. Sonographic assessment of the foot in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2011;29:1–5.
- 24. Kunkel GA, Cannon GW, Clegg DO. Combined structural and synovial assessment for improved ultrasound discrimination of rheumatoid, osteoarthritic, and normal joints: a pilot study. Open Rheumatol J. 2012;6:199–206. 98. Millot F, Clavel G, Etchepare F, Gandjbakhch F, Grados F, Saraux A, et al. Musculoskeletal ultrasonography in healthy subjects and ultrasound criteria for early arthritis (the ESPOIR cohort). J Rheumatol. 2011;38:613–620
- Sheane BJ, Beddy P, O'Connor M, Miller S, Cunnane G. Targeted ultrasound of the fifth metatarsophalangeal joint in an early inflammatory arthritis cohort. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2009; 61:1004–1008.
- 26. Gutierrez M, Filippucci E, Ruta S, Salaffi F, Blasetti P, di Geso L, et al. Interobserver reliability of high-resolution ultraso-nography in the assessment of bone erosions in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: Experience of an intensive dedicated training programme. Rheumatology. 2011; 50:373–380.
- Brown AK, Conaghan PG, Karim Z, Quinn MA, Ikeda K, Peterfy CG, et al. An explanation for the apparent dissociation between clinical remission and continued structural deterioration in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2008; 58:2958–2967.
- Foltz V, Gandjbakhch F, Etchepare F, Rosenberg C, Tanguy ML, Rozenberg S, et al. Power Dopplerultrasound, butnotlow-fieldmagnetic resonance imaging, predicts relapse and radiographic disease progression in rheumatoid arthritis patients with low levels of disease activity. Arthritis Rheum. 2012; 64:67–76.
- Yoshimi R, Hama M, Takase K, Ihata A, Kishimoto D, Terauchi K, et al. Ultrasonography is a potent tool for the prediction of progressive joint destruction during clinical remission of rheumatoid arthritis. Mod Rheumatol. 2013; 23:456–465.
- Naredo E, Valor L, de la Torre I, Martinez-Barrio J, Hinojosa M, Aramburu F, et al. Ultrasound joint inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis in clinical remission: how many and which joints should be assessed? Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2013; 65:512–517
- Backhaus TM, Ohrndorf S, Kellner H, Strunk J, Hartung W, Sattler H, et al. The US7 score is sensitive to change in a large cohort of patients with rheumatoid arthritis over 12 months of therapy. Ann Rheum Dis. 2013; 72:1163–1169.
- 32. Boesen M, Boesen L, Jensen KE, Cimmino MA, Torp-Pedersen S, Terslev L, et al. Clinical outcome and imaging changes after intraarticular (IA) application of etanercept or methylprednisolone in rheumatoid arthritis: magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasound-Doppler show no effect of IA injections in the wrist after 4 weeks. J Rheumatol. 2008; 35:584–591
- 33. Dougados M, Jousse-Joulin S, Mistretta F, d'Agostino MA, Backhaus M, Bentin J, et al. Evaluation of several ultrasono-

graphy scoring systems for synovitis and comparison to clinical examination: results from a prospective multicentre study of rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010; 69:828–833.

- 34. Hama M, Uehara T, Takase K, Ihata A, Ueda A, Takeno M, et al. Power Doppler ultrasonography is useful for assessing disease activity and predicting joint destruction in rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving tocilizumab—preliminary data. Rheumatol Int. 2012; 32:1327–1333.
- Hammer HB, Sveinsson M, Kongtorp AK, Kvien TK. A 78joints ultrasonographic assessment is associated with clinical assessments and is highly responsive to improvement in a longitudinal study of patients with rheumatoid arthritis starting adalimumab treatment. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010; 69:1349–1351.
- Mandl P, Balint PV, Brault Y, Backhaus M, D'Agostino MA, Grassi W, et al. Metrologic properties of ultrasound versus clinical evaluation of synovitis in rheumatoid arthritis: results of a multicenter, randomized study. Arthritis Rheum. 2012; 64:1272–1282.
- 37. Conaghan PG, O'Connor P, McGonagle D, Astin P, Wakefield RJ, Gibbon WW et al. Elucidation of the relationship between synovitis and bone damage: a randomized magnetic resonance imaging study of individual joints in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2003; 48:64–71.
- Lillegraven S, Bøyesen P, Berner Hammer H, Østergaard M, Uhlig T, Sesseng S et al. Tenosynovitis of the extensor carpi ulnaris tendon predicts erosive progression in early rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2011; 70:2049–2050
- 39. Kamishima T, Tanimura K, Shimizu M, Matsuhashi M, Fukae J, Kon Y et al. Monitoring anti-interleukin 6 receptor antibody treatment for rheumatoid arthritis by quantitative magnetic resonance imaging of the hand and power Doppler ultrasonography of the finger. Skeletal Radiol 2011; 40:745–755.
- 40. Hoving JL, Buchbinder R, Hall S, Lawler G, Coombs P, McNealy S et al. A comparison of magnetic resonance imaging, sonography, and radiography of the hand in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2004; 31:663–675.
- 41. Funck-Brentano T, Gandjbakhch F, Etchepare F, Jousse-Joulin S, Miquel A, Cyteval C, et al. Prediction of radiographic damage in early arthritis by sonographic erosions and power doppler signal: a longitudinal observational study. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2013;65:896–902.
- 42. Hooper L, Bowen CJ, Gates L, Culliford DJ, Ball C, Edwards CJ, et al. Prognostic indicators of foot-related disability in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results of a prospective threeyear study. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2012; 64: 1116–1124.
- 43. Hoving JL, Buchbinder R, Hall S, Lawler G, Coombs P, McNealy S, et al. A comparison of magnetic resonance imaging, sonography, and radiography of the hand in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol. 2004; 31:663–675.
- Reynolds PP, Heron C, Pilcher J, Kiely PD. Prediction of erosion progression using ultrasound in established rheumatoid arthritis: a 2-year follow-up study. Skeletal Radiol. 2009; 38:473–478.
- 45. Naredo E, Collado P, Cruz A, Palop MJ, Cabero F, Richi P, et al. Longitudinal power Doppler ultrasonographic assessment of joint inflammatory activity in early rheumatoid arthritis:

predictive value in disease activity and radiologic progression. Arthritis Rheum. 2007; 57:116–124.

- 46. Balint PV, Kane D, Wilson H, McInnes IB, Sturrock RD. Ultrasonography of entheseal insertions in the lower limb in spondyloarthropathy. Ann Rheum Dis 2002; 61:905-910
- Slobodin G, Rozenbaum M, Boulman N, Rosner I: Varied presentations of enthesopathy. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2007; 37:119-126
- Genc H, Cakit BD, Tuncbilek I, Erdem HR: Ultrasonographic evaluation of tendons and enthesal sites in rheumatoid arthritis: Comparison with ankylosing spondylitis and healthy subjects. Clin Rheumatol 2005. 24:272-277
- 49. Fournié B, Margarit-Coll N, Champetier de Ribes TL, Zabraniecki L, Jouan A, Vincent V, Chiavassa H, et al: Extrasynovial ultrasound abnormalities in the psoriatic finger. Prospective comparative power-Doppler study versus rheumatoid arthritis. Joint Bone Spine 2006. 73:527-531
- 50. Frediani B, Falsetti P, Storri L, Allegri A, Bisogno S, Baldi F, et al. Ultrasound and clinical evaluation of quadricipital tendon enthesitis in patients with psoriatic arthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Rheumatol 2002. 21:294-298
- Fiocco U, Cozzi L, Rubaltelli L, Rigon C, De Candia A, Tregnaghi A, et al. Long-term sonographic follow-up of rheumatoid and psoriatic proliferative knee joint synovitis. Br J Rheumatol 1996. 35:155-163
- 52. Falsetti P, Frediani B, Acciai C, Baldi F, Filippou G, Galeazzi M, et al. Ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging of heel fat pad inflammatory-oedematous lesions in rheumatoid arthritis 2006. Scand J Rheumatol. 35:454-458
- Falsetti P, Frediani B, Acciai C, Baldi F, Filippou G, Marcolongo R. Heel fat pad involvement in rheumatoid arthritis and in spondyloarthropathies: An ultrasonographic study. Scand J Rheumatol 2004. 33:327-331
- 54. Falsetti P, Frediani B, Filippou G, Acciai C, Baldi F, Storri L, et al. Enthesitis of proximal insertion of the deltoid in the course of seronegative spondyloarthritis. An atypical enthesitis that can mime impingement syndrome. Scand J Rheumatol 2002. 31:158-162
- 55. Wiell C, Szkudlarek M, Hasselquist M, Møller JM, Vestergaard A, Nørregaard J, et al. Ultrasonography, magnetic resonance imaging, radiography, and clinical assessment of inflammatory and destructive changes in fingers and toes of patients with psoriatic arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 2007. 9:R119
- Mandl P, Niedermayer DS, Balint PV. Ultrasound for enthesitis: handle with care! Ann Rheum Dis April 2012 Vol 71 No 4
- Newman JS, Adler RS: Power Doppler sonography: Applications in musculoskeletal imaging. Semin Musculoskelet Radiol 1998. 2:331-340
- Newman JS, Adler RS, Bude RO, Rubin JM: Detection of softtissue hyperemia: Value of power Doppler sonography. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1994. 163:385-389
- D'Agostino MA, Said-Nahal R, Hacquard-Bouder C, Brasseur JL, Dougados M, Breban M. Assessment of peripheral enthesitis in the spondylarthropathies by ultrasonography combined with power Doppler: A cross-sectional study. Arthritis Rheum 2003. 48:523-533
- de Miguel E, Cobo T, Muñoz-Fernández S, Naredo E, Usón J, Acebes JC, et al. Validity of enthesis ultrasound assessment in spondyloarthropathy. Ann Rheum Dis 2009; 68:169–74.
- 61. Kiris A, Kaya A, Ozgocmen S, Kocakoc E. Assessment of ent-

hesitis in ankylosing spondylitis by power Doppler Ultrasonography. Skeletal Radiol 2006. 35:522-528.

- 62. D'Agostino MA, Aegerter P, Bechara K, Salliot C, Judet O, Chimenti MS, et al. How to diagnose spondyloarthritis early? Accuracy of peripheral enthesitis detection by power Doppler ultrasonography. Ann Rheum Dis 2011; 70:1433–1440.
- 63. Aydin SZ, Ash ZR, Tinazzi I, Castillo-Gallego C, Kwok C, Wilson C, et al. The link between enthesitis and arthritis in psoriatic arthritis: a switch to a vascular phenotype at insertions may play a role in arthritis development. Ann Rheum Dis 2013; 72:992–995.
- 64. Terslev L, Naredo E, Iagnocco A, Balint PV, Wakefield RJ, Aegerter P, et al; Outcome Measures in Rheumatology Ultrasound Task Force. Defining enthesitis in spondyloarthritis by ultrasound: results of a Delphi process and of a reliability reading exercise. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2014 May;66(5):741-748.
- de Miguel E, Muñoz-Fernández S, Castillo C, Cobo-Ibáñez T, Martín-Mola E. Diagnostic accuracy of enthesis ultrasound in the diagnosis of early spondyloarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2011; 70:434–439
- 66. Feydy A, Lavie-Brion MC, Gossec L, Lavie F, Guerini H, Nguyen C, et al. Comparative study of MRI and power Doppler ultrasonography of the heel in patients with spondyloarthritis with and without heel pain and in controls. Ann Rheum Dis 2012; 71:498–503.
- 67. Ibrahim G, Groves C, Chandramohan M, Beltran A, Valle R, Reyes B, et al. Clinical and ultrasound examination of the leeds enthesitis index in psoriatic arthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. ISRN Rheumatol 2011; 731917.
- Marchesoni A, De Lucia O, Rotunno L, De Marco G, Manara M. Entheseal power Doppler ultrasonography: a comparison of psoriatic arthritis and fibromyalgia. J Rheumatol 2012; 89:29–31.
- 69. Farouk HM, Mostafa AA, Youssef SS, Elbeblawy MM, Assaf NY, Elokda el SE. Value of entheseal ultrasonography and serum cartilage oligomeric matrix protein in the preclinical diagnosis of psoriatic arthritis. Clin Med Insights Arthritis Musculoskelet Disord 2010; 3:7–14.
- Falcão S, De Miguel E, Castillo C, Branco JC, Martín-Mola E. Doppler ultrasound--a valid and reliable tool to assess spondyloarthritis. Acta Reumatol Port. 2012 Jul-Sep;37(3):212-7.
- Alcalde M, Acebes JC, Cruz M, González-Hombrado L, Herrero-Beaumont G, Sánchez-Pernaute O. A sonographic enthesitic index of lower limbs is a valuable tool in the assessment of ankylosing spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2007. 66:1015-1019
- 72. Milutinovic S, Radunovic G, Veljkovic K, Zlatanovic M, Zlatkovic Svenda M, Perovic Radak M, et al. Development of ultrasound enthesitis score to identify patients with enthesitis having spondyloarthritis: prospective, double-blinded, controlled study. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2015 Nov-Dec;33(6):812--817.
- 73. Naredo E, Batlle-Gualda E, García-Vivar ML, García-Aparicio AM, Fernández-Sueiro JL, Fernández-Prada M, et al. Power Doppler ultrasonography assessment of entheses in spondyloarthropathies. response to therapy of entheseal abnormalities. J Rheumatol 2010; 37:2110–2117.
- 74. D Agostino MA, Olivieri I. Enthesitis. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2006; 20:473-486.
- 75. D Agostino MA, Said-Nahal R, Hacquard-Bouder C, Brasser

JL, Dougados M, Breban M. Assessment of Peripheral Enthesitis in the Spondylarthropathies by Ultrasonography Combined with Power Doppler. A Cross-Sectional Study. Arthritis Rheum 2003; 48:523-533.

- 76. Falcao S, Castillo-Gallego C, Peiteado D, Branco J, Martín Mola E, de Miguel E. Can we use enthesis ultrasound as an outcome measure of disease activity in spondyloarthritis? A study at the Achilles level. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2015 Sep;54(9):1557-1562.
- Hamdi W, Chelli-Bouaziz M, Ahmed MS, Ghannouchi MM, Kaffel D, Ladeb MF, et al. Correlations among clinical, radiographic, and sonographic scores for enthesitis in ankylosing spondylitis. Joint Bone Spine 2011; 78:270–274.
- Borman P, Koparal S, Babao lu S, Bodur H. Ultrasound detection of entheseal insertions in the foot of patients with spondyloarthropathy. Clin Rheumatol 2006; 25:373–377.
- Spadaro A, Iagnocco A, Perrotta FM, Modesti M, Scarno A, Valesini G. Clinical and ultrasonography assessment of peripheral enthesitis in ankylosing spondylitis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2011; 50:2080–2086.
- Aydin SZ, Karadag O, Filippucci E, Atagunduz P, Akdogan A, Kalyoncu U, et al. Monitoring Achilles enthesitis in ankylosing spondylitis during TNF-alpha antagonist therapy: an ultrasound study. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2010; 49:578–582.
- 81. Milosavljevic J, Lindqvist U, Elvin A. Ultrasound and power Doppler evaluation of the hand and wrist in patients with psoriatic arthritis. Acta Radiol 2005; 46:374–385.
- Gutierrez M, Filippucci E, Salaffi F, Di Geso L, Grassi W. Differential diagnosis between rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis: the value of ultrasound findings at metacarpophalangeal joints level. Ann Rheum Dis. 2011 Jun;70(6):1111-1114.
- Lin Z, Wang Y, Mei Y, Zhao Y, Zhang Z. High-Frequency Ultrasound in the Evaluation of Psoriatic Arthritis: A Clinical Study. Am J Med Sci. 2015 Jul;350(1):42-46.
- 84. Schäfer VS, Fleck M, Kellner H, Strunk J, Sattler H, Schmidt WA, et al. Evaluation of the novel ultrasound score for large joints in psoriatic arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis: six month experience in daily clinical practice. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2013 Dec 19;14:358.
- 85. Hu Z, Xu M, Wang Q, Qi J, Lv Q, Gu J. Colour Doppler ultrasonography can be used to detect the changes of sacroiliitis and peripheral enthesitis in patients with ankylosing spondylitis during adalimumab treatment. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2015 Nov-Dec;33(6):844-850.
- Klauser A, Halpern EJ, Frauscher F, Gvozdic D, Duftner C, Springer P, et al. Inflammatory low back pain: high negative predictive value of contrast-enhanced color Doppler ultrasound in the detection of inflamed sacroiliac joints. Arthritis Rheum 2005; 53:440–444.
- Klauser AS, De Zordo T, Bellmann-Weiler R, Feuchtner GM, Sailer-Höck M, Sögner P, et al. Feasibility of second-generation ultrasound contrast media in the detection of active sacroiliitis. Arthritis Rheum 2009;61:909–916.
- Mohammadi A, Ghasemi-rad M, Aghdashi M, Mladkova N, Baradaransafa P. Evaluation of disease activity in ankylosing spondylitis; diagnostic value of color Doppler ultrasonography. Skeletal Radiol 2013; 42:219–124.
- Lins C, Santiago M. Ultrasound evaluation of joints in systemic lupus erythematosus: a systemic review. Eur Radiol 2015, 25: 2688-2692

- Zayat AS, Md Yusof MY, Wakefield RJ, Conaghan PG, Emery P, Vital EM. The role of ultrasound in assessing musculoskeletal symptoms of systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic literature review. Rheumatology 2015, 54: 1-10
- 91. Sedie A, Riente L. Ultrasound in connective tissue diseases. Clinical Exp Rheumatol 2014; 32 (Suppl. 80): S53-S60
- 92. Piga M, Gabba A, Caulia A, Garau P, Vaca A, Mathieu A. Rituximab treatment for "rhupus syndrome": clinical and power-doppler ultrasonographic monitoring of response. A longitudinal pilot study. Lupus 2013; 22:624-628
- Mosca M, Tani C, Filice ME, Carli L, Delle Sedie A, Vagnani S, et al. TNF-alpha inhibitors in systemic lupus erythematosus. A case report and a systematic literature review. Mod Rheumatol 2013. Jul;25(4):642-645.
- 94. Elhai M, Guerini H, Bazeli R, Avouac J, Freire V, Drapé JL, et al. Ultrasonographic hand features in systemic sclerosis and correlates with clinical, biologic and radiographic findings. Arthritis Care Res, 2012, 64; 8: 1244-1249
- Iagnocco A, Ceccarelli F, Vavala C, Gattamelata A, Scirocco C, Rutigliano IM et al. Ultrasound in the assessment of musculoskeletal involvment in Systemic sclerosis. Med Ultrason 2012, vol 14; 3: 231-234
- Gutierrez M, Pineda C, Cazenave T, Piras M, Erre GL, Draghessi A et al. Ultrasound in systemic sclerosis. A multi-target approach from joint to lung. Clin Rheumatol 2014; 33: 1039--1047
- Riente L, Scirè C A, Sedie A, Baldini C, Filippucci E, Meenagh G et al. Ultrasound imaging for the rheumatologist XXII. Sonographic evaluation of hand joint involvement in primary Sjogren's syndrome. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2009; 27:747--750
- Iagnocco A, Modesti M, Priori R, Alessandri C, Perella C, Takanen S et al. Subclinical synovitis in primary Sjogren's syndrome: an ultrasonographic study. Rheumatology 2010; 49: 1153-1157
- Jousse-Joulin S, Morvan J, Devauchell-Pensec V, Saraux A. Ultrasound assessment of the entheses in primary Sjogren's syndrome. Ultrasound in Med. & Biol, 2013, vol 39, No 12: 2485-2487
- 100. Amezcua-Guerra L, Hofmann F, Vargas A, Rodriguez-Henriquez P Solano C, Hernández-Díaz C et al. Joint involvement in primary Sjogren's syndrome: an ultrasound "Target area approach to arthritis". Biomed Res Int, 2013:640265
- 101. Fujimara T, Fujimoto T, Hara R, Shimmyo N, Kobata Y, Kido A el al. Subclinical articular involvement in primary Sjogren's syndrome assessed by ultrasonography and its negative association with anti-centromere antibody. Mod Rheumatol, 2015. DOI:10.3109/14397595.2015. 1045259
- Iagnocco A, Coari G, Palombi G, Valesini. Knee joint synovitis in Sjogren s syndrome. Sonographic study. Scand J Rheumatol 2002; 31:291-295
- 103. Milic V, Petrovic R, Boricic I, Marinkovic-Eric J, Radunovic GL, Jeremic PD et al. Diagnostic value of salivary gland ultrasonographic scoring system in Primary Sjogren syndrome: a comparison with scintigraphy and biopsy. J Rheumatol 2009; 36: 1495-500
- 104. Kang T, Horton L, Emery P, Wakefield R. Value of ultrasound in Rheumatologic Diseases. J Korean Med Sci 2013; 28: 497--450
- Cornec D, Jousse-Joulin S, Saraux A, Devauchelle-Pensec V. Salivary gland ultrasound to diagnose Sjogren s Syndrome. A

claim to standardize the procedure. Rheumatology 2015; 54: 199-200

- Carotti M, Ciapetti A, Jousse-Joulin S, Salaffi F. Ultrasonography of the salivary glands: the role of grey-scale and colour/power Doppler. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2014; 32: S61-S70
- 107. Baldini C, Luciano N, Mosca M, Bombardieri S. Salivary gland ultrasonography in Sjogren s syndrome:Clinical usefulness and future prespectives. IMAJ 2016; 18:193-196
- 108. Wernicke D, Hess H, Gromnica-Ihle E, Krause A, Schmidt WA. Ultrasonography of salivary glands- a highly specific imaging procedure for diagnosis of Sjogren s syndrome. J Rheumatol 2008; 35:285-293
- 109. Gazeau P, Cornec D, Jousse-Joulin S, Guellec D, Saraux A, Devauchelle-Pensec V. Time- course of ultrasound abnormalities of major salivary glands in suspected Sjogren s syndrome. Joint Bone Spine 2017.02.007
- Delli K, Dijkstra PU, Stel AJ, Bootsma H, Vissink A, Spijkervet FK. Diagnostic properties of ultrasound of major salivary glands in Sjogrené syndrome: a meta-analysis. Oral diseases 2015; 21: 792-800
- 111. Tzioufas A and Moutsopoulos M. Ultrasonography of salivary glands: an evolving approach for the diagnosis of Sjogren s syndrome. Nature Clin Pract Rheum 2008: 9: 454-455
- 112. Cornec D, Jousse-Joulin S, Pers J, Marhadour T, Cochener B, Boisramé-Gastrin S et al. Contribution of salivary gland ultrasonography to the diagnosis of Sjogren s syndrome. Toward new diagnostic criteria? Arthritis Rheum 2013; 65:216--225
- 113. Cornec D, Jousse-Joulin S, Marhadour T, Pers JO, Boisramé-Gastrin S, Renaudineau Y et al. Salivary gland ultrasonography improves the diagnostic performance of the 2012 American College of Rheumatology Classification criteria for Sjogren s syndrome. Rheumatology 2014, 1-4
- Theander E and Mandl T. Primary Sjogren s syndrome: Diagnostic and prognostic value of salivary gland ultrasonography using a simplified scoring system. Arthritis Car Res 2014; 66: 1102-1107
- 115. Jousse-Joulin S, Devauchelle-Pensec V., Morvan J, Guias B, Pennec Y, Pers JO et al. Ultrasound assessment of salivary glands in patients with primary Sjogren s Syndrome treated with rituximab: Quantitative and Doppler waveform analysis. Biologics: Targets & therapy 2007: 1(3):311-319
- 116. Jousse-Joulin S, Devauchelle-Pensec V., Cornec D, Marhadour T, Bressollette L, Gestin S et al. Ultrasonographic assessment of salivary gland response to rituximab in Primary Sjogren s Syndrome. Arthritis Rheumatol 2015; 67(6): 1623--1628
- 117. Jousse-Joulin S, Milic V, Jonsson M, Plagou A, Theander E, Luciano N et al. Is salivary gland ultrasonography a useful tool in Sjogren s Syndrome? A systematic review. Rheumatology 2015; 55: 789-800
- 118. Jonsson M and Baldini C. Major salivary gland ultrasosography in the diagnosis of Sjogren s syndrome. A place in the diagnostic criteria? Rheum Dis Clin N Am 2016 (42): 501--517
- 119. Weber MA, Krix M, Jappe U, Huttner HB, Hartmann M, Meyding-Lamadé U et al. Pathologic Skeletal Muscle Perfusion in patients with Myiositis: Detection with quantitative contrastenhanced US- initial results. Radiology 2005, 238: 640-649.
- 120. Adler R. and Garofalo G. Ultrasound in the evaluation of the inflammatory myopathies. Curr Rheumatol Rep 2009, 11:

302-308

- 121. Reimers C, Fleckenstein M. Muscle imaging in inflammatory myopathies. Curr Opin Rheumatol 1997, 4:475-485
- 122. Chaturvedi V. Musculoskeletal ultrasound in rheumatology practice 2014, 62: 36-40
- Kang T, Horton L, Emery P, Wakefield R. Value of ultrasound in Rheumatologic Diseases. J Korean Med Sci 2013; 28: 497--450
- 124. Meng C, Adler R, Peterson M and Kagen L. Combined use of power-doppler and gray-scale sonography: a new technique for the assessment of inflammatory myopathy. J Rheumatol 2001; 28:1271-1282
- 125. Weber M, Jappe U, Essig M, Krix M, Ittrich C, Huttner HB et al. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound in Dermatomyositis and Polymyositis. J Neurol, 2006, 253: 1625-1632
- 126. Yoshida K, Nishioka M, Matsushima S, Joh K, Oto Y, Yoshiga M et al. Power Doppler ultrasonography for detection of increased vascularity in the fascia: a potential early diagnostic tool in fasciitis of dermatomyositis. Arthritis and Rheumatol 2016; 68:2986-2991
- Camellino D, Cimmino A. Imaging of Polymyalgia rheumatica: indications on its pathogenesis, diagnosis and prognosis. Rheumatology 2012; 51: 77-86
- 128. Sakellariou G, Iagnocco A, Riente L, Ceccarelli F, Carli L, Di Geso L e tal. Ultrasound imaging for the rheumatologist XLIII. Ultrasonographic evaluation of shoulders and hips in patients with polymyalgia rheumatica: a systematic literature review. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2013; 31: 1-7
- 129. Mackie SL, Koduri G, Hill CL, Wakefield R. Accuracy of musculoskleletal imaging for the diagnosis of polymyalgia rheumatic: systematic review. RMD Open 2015;1:1-14
- 130. Falsetti P, Frediani B, Storri L, Bisogno S, Baldi F, Campanella V et al. Evidence for synovitis in active polymyalgia rheumatica: sonographic study in a large series of patients. J Rheumatol 2002; 29: 123-130
- 131. Salvarani C, Cantini F, Olivieri I, Barozzi L, Macchioni L, Niccoli L et al. Proximal bursitis in active polymyalgia rheumatica. Ann Intern Med 1997; 127. 27-31
- 132. Cantini F, Salvarani C, Olivieri I, Niccoli L, Macchioni P, Boiardi L et al. Inflamed shoulder structures in polymyalgia rheumatica with normal erythrocyte sedimentation rate. Arthritis Rheuma 2001; 44: 1151-1115
- Cantini F, Salvarani C, Olivieri I, Niccoli L, Padula A, Macchioni L et al. Shoulder ultrasonography in the diagnosis of polymyalgia rheumatica: a case-control study. J Rheumatol 2001; 28: 1049-1055
- 134. Koski JM. Ultrasonography evidence of synovitis in axial joints in patients with polymyalgia rheumatica. BJ Rheumatol 1992; 31: 201-203
- Coari G, Paoletti F, Iagnocco A. Shoulder involvement in rheumatic diseases. Sonographic findings. J Rheumatol 1999; 26:668-673
- 136. Sedie AD, Riente L, Filippuci E, Iagnocco A. Ultrasound imaging for the rheumatologist XV. Ultrasound imaging in vasculitis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2008; 26: 391-394
- 137. Jimenez-Palop M, Naredo E, Humbrado L, Medina J, Uson J, Francisco F et al. Ultrasonographic monitoring of response to therapy in polymyalgia rheumatica. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69: 879-882
- 138. Balser S, Lebra E, Ehrenstein BP, Fleck M, Hartung W. Evaluation of joint involvement in patients suffering from early

22

polymyalgia rheumatica using high resolution ultrasound. Arthritis Rheum 2012; 64: S53

- Cantini F, Niccoli L, Nannini C, Padula A, Olivieri I, Boiardi L et al. Inflammatory changes of hip synovial structures in polymyalgia rheumatica. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2005; 23: 462--468
- 140. Macchioni P, Catanoso M, Pipitone N, Boiardi L, Salvarani C. Longitudinal examination with shoulder ultrasound of patients with polymyalgia rheumatica. Rheumatology 2009; 48:1566-1569
- 141. Dasgupta B, Cimmino MA, Kremers HM, Schmidt WA, Schirmer M, Salvarani C et al. 2012 Provisional classification criteria for polymyalgia rheumatica: a European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology collaborative initiative. Arthritis Rheum, 2012; 64: 943-954
- 142. Monti S, Floris A, Ponte CB, Schmidt WA, Diamantopoulos AP, Pereira C, Vaggers S, Luqmani RA. The proposed role of ultrasound in the management of giant cell arteritis in routine clinical practice. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2018 Jan 1;57(1):112-119.
- 143. Karassa FB, Matsagas MI, Schmidt WA, Ioannidis JP. Metaanalysis: test performance of ultrasonography for giant-cell arteritis. Ann Intern Med. 2005;142(5):359-369.
- 144. Ball EL, Walsh SR, Tang TY, Gohil R, Clarke JM. Role of ultrasonography in the diagnosis of temporal arteritis. Br J Surg.. 2010;97(12):1765-1771.
- 145. Arida A, Kyprianou M, Kanakis M, Sfikakis PP. The diagnostic value of ultrasonography-derived edema of the temporal artery wall in giant cell arteritis: a second meta-analysis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2010;11:44.
- 146. Luqmani R, Lee E, Singh S, Gillett M, Schmidt WA, Bradburn M, et al. The Role of Ultrasound Compared to Biopsy of Temporal Arteries in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Giant Cell Arteritis (TABUL): a diagnostic accuracy and cost-effectiveness study. Health Technol Assess. 2016;20(90):1-238.
- 147. Dejaco C, Ramiro S, Duftner C, Besson FL, Bley TA, Blockmans D, et al. EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging in large vessel vasculitis in clinical practice. Ann Rheum Dis. 2018 May;77(5):636-643. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212649. Epub 2018 Jan 22.]
- 148. Chrysidis S, Duftner C, Dejaco C, Schäfer VS, Ramiro S, Carrara G, et al. Definitions and reliability assessment of elementary ultrasound lesions in giant cell arteritis: a study from the OMERACT Large Vessel Vasculitis Ultrasound Working Group. RMD Open. 2018 May 17;4(1):e000598. doi: 10.1136/rmdopen-2017-000598. eCollection 2018.
- 149. Schmidt WA, Kraft HE, Vorpahl K, Volker L, Gromnica-Ihle EJ. Color duplex ultrasonography in the diagnosis of temporal arteritis. N Engl J Med. 1997 Nov 6;337(19):1336-1342.
- 150. Karahaliou M, Vaiopoulos G, Papaspyrou S, Kanakis MA, Revenas K, Sfikakis PP. Colour duplex sonography of temporal arteries before decision for biopsy: a prospective study in 55 patients with suspected giant cell arteritis. Arthritis Res Ther 2006;8: R116.
- 151. De Miguel E, Roxo A, Castillo C, Peiteado D, Villalba A, Martín-Mola. The utility and sensitivity of colour Doppler ultrasound in monitoring changes in giant cell arteritis. Clin Exp Rheum 2012;30:S34-38.
- 152. Hauenstein C, Reinhard M, Geiger J, Markl M, Hetzel A, Treszl A, Vaith P, Bley TA. Effects of early corticosteroid treatment on magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasonography

findings in giant cell arteritis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2012;51:1999-2003.

- 153. Patil P, Williams M, Maw WW, Achilleos K, Elsideeg S, Dejaco C, et al. Fast track pathway reduces sight loss in giant cell arteritis: results of a longitudinal observational cohort study. Clin EXP Rheumatol. 2015;33(2 Suppl 89):S-103-106.
- 154. Diamantopoulos AP, Haugeberg G, Lindland A, Myklebust G. The fast-track ultrasound clinic for early diagnosis of giant cell arteritis significantly reduces permanent visual impairment: towards a more effective strategy to improve clinical outcome in giant cell arteritis? Rheumatology (Oxford). 2016;55(1):66-70.
- 155. Schmidt WA, Seifert A, Gromnica-Ihle E, Krause A, Natusch A. Ultrasound of proximal upper extremity arteries to increase the diagnostic yield in large-vessel giant cell arteritis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2008;47(1):96-101.
- Schmidt WA, Moll A, Seifert A, Schicke B, Gromnica-Ihle E, Krause A. Prognosis of large-vessel giant cell arteritis. Rheumatol Oxf Engl 2008; 47:1406–1408.
- CzihalM, ZankerS, RademacherA, Tatò F, Kuhlencordt PJ, Schulze-Koops H. Sonographic and clinical pattern of extra cranial and cranial giant cell arteritis. Scand J Rheumatol 2012; 41:231-236.
- 158. Diamantopoulos AP, Haugeberg G, Hetland H, Soldal DM, Bie R, Myklebust G. Diagnostic value of color Doppler ultrasonography of temporal arteries and large vessels in giant cell arteritis: a consecutive case series. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2014;66:113-119.
- 159. De Miguel E. Papel de la Ecografia en las artritis microcristalinas. Reumatol Clin 2008; 4 Supl 3: 50-54
- 160. Sedie A D, Riente L, Iagnocco A, Filippucci E, Meenagh G, Grassi W et al. Ultrasound imaging for the rheumatologist X. Ultrasound imaging in crystal-related arthropathies. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2007; 25: 513-517
- Fodor D, Nestorova R, Vlad V, Micu M. The place of musculoskeletal ultrasonography in gout diagnosis. Med ultrason 2014; 16, no 4: 336-344
- Scirocco C, Rutigliano M, Finucci A, Iagnocco A. Musculoskletal ultrasonography in gout. Mede ultrason 2015, vol 17, no 4: 535-540
- 163. Gutierrez M, Schmidt WA, Thiele R, Keen HI, Kaeley GS, Naredo E e tal. International consensus for ultrasound lesions in gout: results of Delphi process and Web-reliability exercise. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2015; 54:1797-1805
- 164. Puig G, Beltrán L, Mejía Chew C, Torres R, Tebar Márquez D, Pose Reino A. Ultrasonography in the diagnosis of asymptomatic hyperuricemia and gout. Rev Clin Esp. 2016 Nov;216(8):445-450. doi: 10.1016/j.rce.2016.05.007. Epub 2016 Jun 6.
- 165. Ottaviani S, Bardin T, Richette P. Usefulness of ultrasonography for gout. Joint Bone Spine 2012, 79: 441-445
- 166. Schlesinger N. Can ultrasonography make identification of asymptomatic hyperuricemic individuals at risk for developing gouty arthritis more crystal clear? Arthritis Res Therapy 2011, 13:107
- 167. Naredo E, Uson J, Jimenez-Palop M, Martínez A, Vicente E, Brito E et al. Ultrasound-detected musculoskeletal urate crystal deposition: which joints and what findings should be assessed for diagnosing gout? Ann Rheum Dis 2014; 73: 1522--1528
- 168. Codreanu C, Enache L. Is ultrasound changing the way we

understand rheumatology? Including ultrasound examination in the classification criteria of polymyalgia rheumatic and gout. Med ultrason 2015. Vol 17, no 1: 97-103

- 169. Ottaviani S, Gill G, Aubrun A, Palazzo E, Palazzo E, Meyer O, Dieudé P. Ultrasound in gout: A useful tool for following urate-lowering therapy. Joint Bone Spine 2015, 82: 42-44
- 170. Dufauret-Lombard C, Verge-Salle P, Simon A, Bonnet C, Treves R, Bertin P. Ultrasonography in Chondrocalcinosis. Joint Bone Spine 2010, 77: 218-221
- 171. Filippucci E, Gutierrez M, Georgescu D, Salaffi F, Grassi W. Hyaline cartilage involvement in patients with gout and calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease. An ultrasound study. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2009, 17:178-181
- 172. Filippou G, Frediani B, Gallo A, Menza L, Menza L, Falsetti P, Baldi F et al. A "new" technique for the diagnosis of chondrocalcinosis of the knee: sensitivity and specificity of high-frequency ultrasonography. Ann Rheum Dis 2007; 66: 1126-1128
- 173. Valle M, Zamorani MP. Skin and Subcutaneous Tissue. In Bianchi S., Martinoli C. Ultrasound of the Musculoskeletal System. 2007. Springer-Verlag Berlin 19-44
- 174. Valle M, Zamorani MP. Muscle and Tendon. In Bianchi S., Martinoli C. Ultrasound of the Musculoskeletal System. 2007. Springer-Verlag Berlin 45-96
- 175. Valle M, Zamorani MP. Nerve and Blood Vessels. In Bianchi S., Martinoli C. Ultrasound of the Musculoskeletal System. 2007. Springer-Verlag Berlin 97-136
- 176. Ottenheijm RP, Jansen MJ, Staal JB, van den Bruel A, Weijers RE, de Bie RA, et al. Accuracy of diagnostic ultrasound in patients with suspected subacromial disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2010; 91: 1616–1625.
- 177. Dinnes J, Loveman E, McIntyre L, Waugh N. The effectiveness of diagnostic tests for the assessment of shoulder pain due to soft tissue disorders: a systematic review. Health Technol Assess 2003; 7:1–166.
- 178. de Jesus JO, Parker L, Frangos AJ, Nazarian LN. Accuracy of MRI, MR arthrography, and ultrasound in the diagnosis of rotator cuff tears: a meta-analysis. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2009. 192:1701–1707.
- 179. Strobel K, Hodler J, Meyer DC, Pfirrmann CW, Pirkl C, Zanetti M. Fatty atrophy of supraspinatus and infraspinatus muscles: accuracy of US. Radiology 2005; 237:584–589
- 180. Khoury V, Cardinal E, Brassard P. Atrophy and fatty infiltration of the supraspinatus muscle: sonography versus MRI. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008; 190:1105–1111
- 181. Prickett WD, Teefey SA, Galatz LM, Calfee RP, Middleton WD, Yamaguchi K. Accuracy of ultrasound imaging of the rotator cuff in shoulders that are painful postoperatively. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2003; 85-A:1084–1089
- Seitz AL, Michener LA. Ultrasonographic measures of subacromial space in patients with rotator cuff disease: a systematic review. J Clin Ultrasound 2011; 39:146–154.
- 183. Awerbuch MS. The clinical utility of ultrasonography for rotator cuff disease, shoulder impingement syndrome and subacromial bursitis. Med J Aust. 2008 Jan 7;188(1):50-53.
- 184. Bureau NJ, Beauchamp M, Cardinal E, Brassard P. Dynamic sonography evaluation of shoulder impingement syndrome. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2006. 187:216–220
- 185. Cole B, Twibill K, Lam P, Hackett L, Murrell GA. Not all ultrasounds are created equal: general sonography versus mus-

culoskeletal sonography in the detection of rotator cuff tears. Shoulder Elbow. 2016 Oct;8(4):250-7. doi: 10.1177/1758573216658800. Epub 2016 Jul 13.

- 186. Homsi C, Bordalo-Rodrigues M, da Silva JJ, Stump XM. Ultrasound in adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder: is assessment of the coracohumeral ligament a valuable diagnostic tool? Skeletal Radiol 2006. 35:673–678
- 187. Strunk J, Lange U, Kurten B, Schmidt KL, Neeck G. Doppler sonographic findings in the long bicipital tendon sheath in patients with rheumatoid arthritis as compared with patients with degenerative diseases of the shoulder. Arthritis Rheum 2003; 48:1828–1832.
- 188. Armstrong A, Teefey SA, Wu T, Clark AM, Middleton WD, Yamaguchi K et al. The efficacy of ultrasound in the diagnosis of long head of the biceps tendon pathology. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2006 15:7–11
- Farin PU, Jaroma H, Harju A, Soimakallio S. Medial displacement of the biceps brachii tendon: evaluation with dynamic sonography during maximal external shoulder rotation. Radiology 1995. 195:845–848
- 190. Rehman A, Robinson P. Sonographic evaluation of injuries to the pectoralis muscles. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2005. 184: 1205–1211
- 191. Weiss C, Imhoff AB. Sonographic imaging of a spinoglenoid cyst. Ultraschall Med 2000. 21:287–289
- 192. Brestas PS, Tsouroulas M, Nikolakopoulou Z,Malagari K, Drossos C Ultrasound findings of teres minor denervation in suspected quadrilateral space syndrome. J Clin Ultrasound 2006. 34:343–347
- 193. Blankstein A, Ganel A, Givon U, Mirovski Y, Chechick A. Ultrasonographic findings in patients with olecranon bursitis. Ultraschall Med 2006; 27:568–571.
- 194. Klauser AS, Tagliafico A, Allen GM, Boutry N, Campbell R, Court-Payen M, et al. Clinical indications for musculoskeletal ultrasound: a Delphi-based consensus paper of the European Society of Musculoskeletal Radiology. Eur Radiol. 2012 May;22(5):1140-1148.
- 195. Noh KH, Moon YL, Jacir AM, Kim KH, Gorthi V. Sonographic probe induced tenderness for lateral epicondylitis: an accurate technique to confirm the location of the lesion. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2010; 18:836–839.
- 196. Levin D, Nazarian LN, Miller TT, O'Kane PL, Feld RI, Parker L, et al. Lateral epicondylitis of the elbow: US findings. Radiology 2005; 237:230–234.
- 197. Connell D, Burke F, Coombes P, McNealy S, Freeman D, Pryde D et al. Sonographic examination of lateral epicondylitis. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2001. 176:777–782
- Miller TT, Shapiro MA, Schultz E, Kalish PE. Comparison of sonography and MRI for diagnosing epicondylitis J Clin Ultrasound. 2002 May;30(4):193-202.
- Zeisig E, Ohberg L, Alfredson H. Extensor origin vascularity related to pain in patients with Tennis elbow. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2006. 14:659–663
- Park GY. Diagnostic value of ultrasonography for clinical medial epicondylitis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2008; 89: 738–42.
- Mondelli M, Filippou G, Frediani B, Aretini A. Ultrasonography in ulnar neuropathy at the elbow: relationships to clinical and electrophysiological findings. Neurophysiol Clin 2008. 38:217–226
- 202. Park GY, Kim JM, Lee SM. The ultrasonographic and electrodiagnostic findings of ulnar neuropathy at the elbow. Arch

Phys Med Rehabil 2004. 85:1000-1005

- Beekman R, Visser LH, Verhagen WI. Ultrasonography in ulnar neuropathy at the elbow: a critical review. Muscle Nerve. 2011 May;43(5):627-635.
- 204. Gruber H, Glodny B, Peer S. The validity of ultrasonographic assessment in cubital tunnel syndrome: the value of a cubital-to-humeral nerve area ratio (CHR) combined with morphologic features. Ultrasound Med Biol 2010; 36: 376–382
- Jacobson JA, Jebson PJ, Jeffers AW, Fessell DP, Hayes CW. Ulnar nerve dislocation and snapping triceps syndrome: diagnosis with dynamic sonography – report of three cases. Radiology 2001; 220:601–605
- Nagaoka M, Matsuzaki H, Suzuki T. Ultrasonographic examination of de quervain's disease. J Orthop Sci 2000; 5:96–99
- 207. De Maeseneer M, Marcelis S, Jager T Girard C, Gest T, Jamadar D. Spectrum of normal and pathologic findings in the region of the first extensor compartment of the wrist: sonographic findings and correlations with dissections. J Ultrasound Med 2009; 28(6):779–786
- 208. Teefey SA, Middleton WD, Patel V, Hildebolt CF, Boyer MI; The accuracy of high-resolution ultrasound for evaluating focal lesions of the hand and wrist. J Hand Surg 2004; 29:393–399
- 209. Bianchi S, Van Aaken J, Glauser T, Martinoli C, Beaulieu J, Della Santa D. Screw impingement on the extensor tendons in distal radius fractures treated by volar plating: Sonographic appearance. Am J Roentgenol 2008; 191:W199–W203
- Wiesler ER, Chloros GD, Cartwright MS, Smith BP, Rushing J, Walker FO. The use of diagnostic ultrasound in carpal tunnel syndrome. J Hand Surg 2006; 31:726–732
- 211. Sernik RA, Abicalaf CA, Pimentel BF, Braga-Baiak A, Braga L, Cerri GG. Ultrasound features of carpal tunnel syndrome: A prospective case–control study. Skeletal Radiol 2008; 37:49–53
- 212. Klauser AS, Halpern EJ, De Zordo T, Feuchtner GM, Arora R, Gruber J et al. Carpal tunnel syndrome assessment with US: Value of additional cross-sectional area measurements of the median nerve in patients versus healthy volunteers. Radiology 2009; 250:171–177
- 213. Tagliafico A, Pugliese F, Bianchi S, Bodner G, Padua L, Rubino M et al. High-resolution sonography of the palmar cutaneous branch of the median nerve. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008; 191:107–114
- 214. Ghasemi-Esfe AR, Khalilzadeh O, Mazloumi M, Vaziri-Bozorg SM, Niri SG, Kahnouji H et al. Combination of high-resolution and color Doppler ultrasound in diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome. Acta Radiol 2011. 1;52(2):191–197
- 215. Dejaco C, Stradner M, Zauner D, Seel W, Simmet NE, Klammer A et al. Ultrasound for diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome: comparison of different methods to determine median nerve volume and value of power Doppler sonography. Ann Rheum Dis. 2013; 72:1934-1939.
- 216. Koyuncuoglu HR, Kutluhan S, Yesildag A, Oyar O, Guler K, Ozden A. The value of ultrasonographic measurement in carpal tunnel syndrome in patients with negative electrodiagnostic tests. Eur J Radiol 2005; 56(3):365–369
- 217. Karadag O, Kalyoncu U, Akdogan A, Karadag YS, Bilgen SA, Ozbakır S et al. Sonographic assessment of carpal tunnel syndrome in rheumatoid arthritis: prevalence and correlation with disease activity. Rheumatol Int, 2012 Aug;32(8):2313-

-2319.

- 218. Klauser AS, Halpern EJ, Faschingbauer R, Guerra F, Martinoli C, Gabl MF et al. Bifid median nerve in carpal tunnel syndrome: assessment with US cross-sectional area measurement. Radiology 2011; 259(3):808–815
- Teefey SA, Dahiya N, Middleton WD, Gelberman RH, Boyer MI. Ganglia of the hand and wrist: a sonographic analysis. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008; 191(3):716–720
- 220. De Maeseneer M, Marcelis S, Jager T, Lenchik L, Pouders C, Van Roy P. Sonography of the finger flexor and extensor system at the hand and wrist level: findings in volunteers and anatomical correlation in cadavers. Eur Radiol 2008; 18(3):600–607
- 221. Wang Y, Tang J, Luo Y. The value of sonography in diagnosing giant cell tumors of the tendon sheath. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine 2007; 26:1333–1340
- 222. Middleton WD, Patel V, Teefey SA, Boyer MI. Giant cell tumors of the tendon sheath: analysis of sonographic findings. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2004. 183(2):337–339
- 223. Jeyapalan K, Bisson MA, Dias JJ, Griffin Y, Bhatt R. The role of ultrasound in the management of flexor tendon injuries. Journal of Hand Surgery: European Volume 2008; 33: 430–434
- Budovec JJ, Sudakoff GS, Dzwierzynski WW, Matloub HS, Sanger JR. Sonographic differentiation of digital tendon rupture from adhesive scarring after primary surgical repair. J Hand Surg Am 2006; 31:524–529.
- 225. Guerini H, Pessis E, Theumann N, Le Quintrec JS, Campagna R, Chevrot A et al. Sonographic appearance of trigger fingers. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine 2008; 27:1407–1413
- Grassi W, Tittarelli E, Blasetti P, Pirani O, Cervini C. Finger tendon involvement in rheumatoid arthritis: evaluation with high-frequency sonography. Arthritis Rheum 1995;38: 786–794.
- 227. Breidahl WH, Stafford Johnson DB, Newman JS, Adler RS. Power Doppler sonography in tenosynovitis: significance of the peritendinous hypoechoic rim. J Ultrasound Med 1998; 17:103–107.
- McMahon SE, Smith TO, Hing CB. A systematic review of imaging modalities in the diagnosis of greater trochanteric pain syndrome. Musculoskeletal Care. 2012 Dec;10(4):232--239.
- 229. Chowdhury R, Naaseri S, Lee J, Rajeswaran G. Imaging and management of greater trochanteric pain syndrome. Postgrad Med J. 2014 Oct;90(1068):576-581.
- Kong A, Van der Vliet A, Zadow S. MRI and US of gluteal tendinopathy in greater trochanteric pain syndrome. Eur Radiol 2007; 17:1772–1783
- 231. Connell DA, Bass C, Sykes CA, Young D, Edwards E. Sonographic evaluation of gluteus medius and minimus tendinopathy. Eur Radiol 2003; 13:1339–1347
- 232. Fearon AM, Scarvell JM, Cook JL, Smith PN. Does ultrasound correlate with surgical or histologic findings in greater trochanteric pain syndrome? A pilot study. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2010; 468:1838–1844.
- Hölmich P, Bachmann Nielsen M. Ultrasound findings in adductor related groin pain. Ultraschall Med 2006; 27:509–511
- Bianchi S, Martinoli C, Waser NP, Bianchi-Zamorani MP, Federici E, Fasel J. Central aponeurosis tears of the rectus femoris: sonographic findings. Skeletal Radiol 2002; 31:581–586

25

- 235. Bass CJ, Connell DA. Sonographic findings of tensor fascia lata tendinopathy: another cause of anterior groin pain. Skeletal Radiol 2002; 31:143–148
- 236. Kim SM, Shin MJ, Kim KS, Ahn JM, Cho KH, Chang JS, et al. Imaging features of ischial bursitis with an emphasis on ultrasonography. Skeletal Radiol 2002; 31:631–636.
- 237. Sofka CM, Adler RS, Danon MA. Sonography of the acetabular labrum: visualization of labral injuries during intraarticular injections. J Ultrasound Med 2006; 25:1321–1326.
- 238. Winston P, Awan R, Cassidy JD, Bleakney RK. Clinical examination and ultrasound of self-reported snapping hip syndrome in elite ballet dancers. Am J Sports Med 2007; 35:118–126
- Cardinal E, Buckwalter KA, Capello WN, Duval N. US of the snapping iliopsoas tendon. Radiology 1996; 198:521–522
- 240. Pelsser V, Cardinal E, Hobden R, Aubin B, Lafortune M. Extraarticular snapping hip: sonographic findings. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2001; 176:67–73
- 241. Deslandes M, Guillin R, Cardinal E, Hobden R, Bureau NJ. The snapping iliopsoas tendon: new mechanisms using dynamic sonography. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008; 190:576–581
- 242. Hashimoto BE, Green TM, Wiitala L. Ultrasonographic diagnosis of hip snapping related to iliopsoas tendon. J Ultrasound Med 1997; 16:433–435
- Neal C, Jacobson JA, Brandon C, Kalume-Brigido M, Morag Y, Girish G. Sonography of Morel-Lavallee lesions. J Ultrasound Med 2008; 27:1077–1081
- 244. Davis KW. Imaging of the hamstrings. Semin Musculoskelet Radiol 2008; 12:28–41
- 245. Connell DA, Schneider-Kolsky ME, Hoving JL, Malara F, Buchbinder R, Koulouris G et al. Longitudinal study comparing sonographic and MRI assessments of acute and healing hamstring injuries. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2004; 183:975–984
- 246. Hoksrud A, Ohberg L, Alfredson H, Bahr R. Color Doppler ultrasound findings in patellar tendinopathy (jumper's knee). Am J Sports Med 2008; 36:1813–1820
- 247. Warden SJ, Kiss ZS, Malara FA, Ooi AB, Cook JL, Crossley KM. Comparative accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasonography in confirming clinically diagnosed patellar tendinopathy. Am J Sports Med. 2007 Mar;35(3):427-436. Epub 2007 Jan 29.
- Black J, Cook J, Kiss ZS, Smith M. Intertester reliability of sonography in patellar tendinopathy. J Ultrasound Med 2004; 23:671–675
- 249. Fornage B, Touche D, Deshayes JL, Segal P. Diagnosis of calcification of the patellar tendon. Echo-radiographic comparison. J Radiol 1984; 65:355–359
- Amlang MH, Zwipp H. Damage to large tendons: Achilles, patellar and quadriceps tendons. Chirurg 2006; 77:637–749
- Matava MJ. Patellar Tendon Ruptures. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 1996; 4:287–296
- Karlsson J, Kälebo P, Goksör LA, Thomée R, Swärd L. Partial rupture of the patellar ligament. Am J Sports Med 1992; 20:390–395
- Kålebo P, Swärd L, Karlsson J, Peterson L. Ultrasonography in the detection of partial patellar ligament ruptures (jumper's knee). Skeletal Radiol 1991; 20:285–289
- LaRocco BG, Zlupko G, Sierzenski P. Ultrasound diagnosis of quadriceps tendon rupture. J Emerg Med 2008; 35:293–295
- 255. Heyde CE, Mahlfeld K, Stahel PF, Kayser R. Ultrasonography as a reliable diagnostic tool in old quadriceps tendon ruptu-

res: a prospective multicentre study. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2005; 13:564–568

- 256. La S, Fessell DP, Femino JE, Jacobson JA, Jamadar D, Hayes C. Sonography of partial-thickness quadriceps tendon tears with surgical correlation. J Ultrasound Med 2003; 22:1323–1329
- 257. Bianchi S, Zwass A, Abdelwabah IF, Banderali A. Diagnosis of tears of the quadriceps tendon of the knee: value of sonography. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1994; 162:1137–1140
- 258. Wakefield RJ, McGonagle D, Tan AL, Evangelisto A, Emery P. Ultrasound detection of knee patellar enthesitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2004; 63:753–754
- 259. Kamel M, Eid H, Mansour R. Ultrasound detection of knee patellar enthesitis: a comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. Ann Rheum Dis2004; 63:213–214.
- Ko CH, Chan KK, Peng HL. Sonographic imaging of meniscal subluxation in patients with radiographic knee osteoarthritis. J Formos Med Assoc 2007; 106:700–707
- Lee JI, Song IS, Jung YB, Kim YG, Wang CH, Yu H et al. Medial collateral ligament injuries of the knee: ultrasonographic findings. Ultrasound Med 1996; 15:621–625
- 262. Tomasella G, Turra S, Olmeda A, Soliman A, Brunino LG. Ultrasonography in the study of lesions of the menisci and the collateral ligaments of the knee. Findings in 48 surgically treated patients. Radiol Med 1991. 81:822–826
- Friedl W, Glaser F. Dynamic sonography in the diagnosis of ligament and meniscal injuries of the knee. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 1991; 110:132–138
- De Flaviis L, Nessi R, Leonardi M, Ulivi M. Dynamic ultrasonography of capsulo-ligamentous knee joint traumas. J Clin Ultrasound 1988; 16:487–492
- 265. Ward EE, Jacobson JA, Fessell DP, Hayes CW, van Holsbeeck M. Sonographic detection of Baker's cysts: comparison with MR imaging. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2001 Feb;176(2):373--380.
- 266. Gyaran IA, Spiezia F, Hudson Z, Maffulli N. Sonographic measurement of iliotibial band thickness: an observational study in healthy adult volunteers. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2011 Mar;19(3):458-61. doi: 10.1007/s00167-010-1269-z. Epub 2010 Oct 2.
- Premkumar A, Perry MB, Dwyer AJ, Gerber LH, Johnson D, Venzon D, et al. Sonography and MR imaging of posterior tibial tendinopathy. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2002; 178:223–32.
- Kong A, Van Der Vliet A Imaging of tibialis posterior dysfunction. Br J Radiol 2008; 81(970):826–836
- 269. Arnoldner MA, Gruber M, Syré S, Kristen KH, Trnka HJ, Kainberger F, et al. Imaging of posterior tibial tendon dysfunction--Comparison of high-resolution ultrasound and 3T MRI. Eur J Radiol. 2015 Sep;84(9):1777-1781.
- 270. Raikin SM, Elias I, Nazarian LN. Intrasheath subluxation of the peroneal tendons. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2008 90(5):992–999
- 271. Neustadter J, Raikin SM, Nazarian LN. Dynamic sonographic evaluation of peroneal tendon subluxation. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2004. 183(4):985–988
- 272. Karlsson J, Wiger P. Longitudinal Split of the Peroneus Brevis Tendon and Lateral Ankle Instability: Treatment of Concomitant Lesions. J Athl Train 2002; 37(4):463–466
- Leung JL, Griffith JF. Sonography of chronic Achilles tendinopathy: a case-control study. J Clin Ultrasound 2008; 36:27–32.

- 274. Pang BS, Ying M. Sonographic measurement of Achilles tendons in asymptomatic subjects: variation with age, body height, and dominance of ankle. J Ultrasound Med 2006; 25:1291–1296
- 275. Richards PJ, Dheer AK, McCall IM. Achilles tendon (TA) size and power Doppler ultrasound (PD) changes compared to MRI: a preliminary observational study. Clin Radiol 2001; 56:843–850
- 276. Blankstein A, Cohen I, Diamant L, Heim M, Dudkiewicz I, Israeli A, et al. Achilles tendon pain and related pathologies: diagnosis by ultrasonography. Isr Med Assoc J 2001; 3:575–8.
- 277. Yang X, Pugh ND, Coleman DP, Nokes LD. Are Doppler studies a useful method of assessing neovascularization in human Achilles tendinopathy? A systematic review and suggestions for optimizing machine settings. J Med Eng Technol 2010; 35:365–372.
- 278. McMillan AM, Landorf KB, Barrett JT, Menz HB, Bird AR. Diagnostic imaging for chronic plantar heel pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Foot Ankle Res 2009;2:32.
- Ortega R, Fessell DP, Jacobson JA, Lin J, Van Holsbeeck MT, Hayes CW; Sonography of ankle Ganglia with pathologic correlation in 10 pediatric and adult patients. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2003; 180(2):541–542
- Chen PY, Wang TG, Wang CL; Ultrasonographic examination of the deltoid ligament in bimalleolar equivalent fractures. Foot Ankle Int 2008; 29:883–886
- Hsu CC, Tsai WC, Chen CP, Chen MJ, Tang SF, Shih L; Ultrasonographic examination for inversion ankle sprains associated with osseous injuries. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2006; 85:785–792
- Campbell DG, Menz A, Isaacs J; Dynamic ankle ultrasonography. A new imaging technique for acute ankle ligament injuries. Am J Sports Med 1994(22):855–858
- Tiling T, Bonk A, Höher J, Klein J; Acute injury to the lateral ligament of the ankle joint in the athlete. Chirurg 1994; 65:920–933
- Brasseur JL, Luzzati A, Lazennec JY, Guérin-Surville H, Roger B, Grenier P; Ultrasono-anatomy of the ankle ligaments. Surg Radiol Anat 1994; 16:87–91
- 285. Morvay Z, Varga E; Ultrasonic examination of ankle and knee ligament injuries Orv Hetil 1991; 20;132:135–137
- Singh AK, Malpass TS, Walker G. Ultrasonic assessment of injuries to the lateral complex of the ankle. Arch Emerg Med 1990; 7:90–94
- Chien AJ, Jacobson JA, Jamadar DA, Brigido MK, Femino JE, Hayes CW. Imaging appearances of lateral ankle ligament reconstruction. Radiographics 2004; 24:999–1008
- 288. Milz P, Milz S, Steinborn M, Mittlmeier T, Putz R, Reiser M. Lateral ankle ligaments and tibiofibular syndesmosis. 13-MHz high-frequency sonography and MRI compared in 20 patients. Acta Orthop Scand 1998; 69:51–55
- Bignotti B, Signori A, Sormani MP, Molfetta L, Martinoli C, Tagliafico A. Ultrasound versus magnetic resonance imaging for Morton neuroma: systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Radiol. 2015 Aug;25(8):2254-62. doi: 10.1007/s00330-015-3633-3. Epub 2015 Mar 26.
- 290. Koski JM. Ultrasound detection of plantar bursitis of the forefoot in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol. 1998 Feb;25(2):229-230.
- 291. Riente L, Delle Sedie A, Iagnocco A, Filippucci E, Meenagh G, Valesini G et al Ultrasound imaging for the rheumatologist

V. Ultrasonography of the ankle and foot. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2006; 24:493–498

- 292. Keen HI, Wakefield R, Conaghan PG. Optimising ultrasonography in rheumatology. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2014 Sep-Oct;32(5 Suppl 85):S-13-6. Epub 2014 Oct 30.
- 293. Wenham CY, Grainger AJ, Conaghan PG. The role of imaging modalities in the diagnosis, differential diagnosis and clinical assessment of peripheral joint osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2014 Oct;22(10):1692-1702.
- Keen HI, Wakefield RJ, Conaghan PG. A systematic review of ultrasonography in osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2009 May;68(5):611-619.
- 295. Iagnocco A. Imaging the joint in osteoarthritis: a place for ultrasound? Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2010 Feb;24(1):27-38. doi: 10.1016/j.berh.2009.08.012.
- 296. Sakellariou G, Conaghan PG, Zhang W, Bijlsma JWJ, Boyesen P, D'Agostino MA, et al. EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging in the clinical management of peripheral joint osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2017 Sep;76(9):1484-1494.
- 297. Diagnosis and Follow up Keen HI, Lavie F, Wakefield RJ, D'Agostino MA, Hammer HB, Hensor E, et al. The development of a preliminary ultrasonographic scoring system for features of hand osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2008 May;67(5):651-655.
- 298. Diagnosis Knee Bruyn GA, Naredo E, Damjanov N, Bachta A, Baudoin P, Hammer HB, et al; Ultrasound Task Force. An OMERACT reliability exercise of inflammatory and structural abnormalities in patients with knee osteoarthritis using ultrasound assessment. Ann Rheum Dis. 2016 May;75(5): 842-846.
- Vlychou M, Koutroumpas A, Malizos K, Sakkas LI. Ultrasonographic evidence of inflammation is frequent in hands of patients with erosive osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2009 Oct;17(10):1283-1287.
- 300. Kortekaas MC, Kwok WY, Reijnierse M, Huizinga TW, Kloppenburg M. In erosive hand osteoarthritis more inflammatory signs on ultrasound are found than in the rest of hand osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2013 Jun;72(6):930-934.
- 301. Möller B, Bonel H, Rotzetter M, Villiger PM, Ziswiler HR. Measuring finger joint cartilage by ultrasound as a promising alternative to conventional radiograph imaging. Arthritis Rheum. 2009 Apr 15;61(4):435-441.
- 302. Iagnocco A, Filippucci E, Ossandon A, Ciapetti A, Salaffi F, Basili S, et al. High resolution ultrasonography in detection of bone erosions in patients with hand osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol. 2005 Dec;32(12):2381-2383.
- Riecke BF, Christensen R, Torp-Pedersen S, Boesen M, Gudbergsen H, Bliddal H. An ultrasound score for knee osteoarthritis: a cross-sectional validation study. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2014 Oct;22(10):1675-1691.
- Möller I, Bong D, Naredo E, Filippucci E, Carrasco I, Moragues C, et al Ultrasound in the study and monitoring of osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2008;16 Suppl 3:S4-7.
- 305. Kawaguchi K, Enokida M, Otsuki R, Teshima R. Ultrasonographic evaluation of medial radial displacement of the medial meniscus in knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2012 Jan;64(1):173-180.
- 306. Kortekaas MC, Kwok WY, Reijnierse M, Kloppenburg M. Inflammatory ultrasound features show independent associations with progression of structural damage after over 2 years of follow-up in patients with hand osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum

Dis. 2015 Sep;74(9):1720-1724.

- 307. Hall M, Doherty S, Courtney P, Latief K, Zhang W, Doherty M. Synovial pathology detected on ultrasound correlates with the severity of radiographic knee osteoarthritis more than with symptoms. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2014 Oct;22(10): 1627-1633.
- 308. Chao J, Wu C, Sun B, Hose MK, Quan A, Hughes TH, et al. Inflammatory characteristics on ultrasound predict poorer longterm response to intraarticular corticosteroid injections in knee osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol. 2010 Mar;37(3):650-5. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.090575.
- 309. Pendleton A, Millar A, O'Kane D, Wright GD, Taggart AJ. Can sonography be used to predict the response to intra-articular corticosteroid injection in primary osteoarthritis of the knee? Scand J Rheumatol. 2008 Sep-Oct;37(5):395-397.
- 310. Bandinelli F, Fedi R, Generini S, Porta F, Candelieri A, Mannoni A, et al. Longitudinal ultrasound and clinical follow-up of Baker's cysts injection with steroids in knee osteoarthritis. Clin Rheumatol. 2012 Apr;31(4):727-731.
- 311. Atchia I, Kane D, Reed MR, Isaacs JD, Birrell F. Efficacy of a single ultrasound-guided injection for the treatment of hip osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2011 Jan;70(1):110-116.
- 312. Rennesson-Rey B, Rat AC, Chary-Valckenaere I, Bettembourg-Brault I, Juge N, Dintinger H, et al. Does joint effusion influence the clinical response to a single Hylan GF-20 injection for hip osteoarthritis? Joint Bone Spine. 2008 Mar;75(2): 182-188.
- Robinson P, Keenan AM, Conaghan PG. Clinical effectiveness and dose response of image-guided intra-articular corticosteroid injection for hip osteoarthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2007 Feb;46(2):285-291.
- 314. Klauser AS, Faschingbauer R, Kupferthaler K, Feuchnter G, Wick MC, Jaschke WR, et al. Sonographic criteria for therapy follow-up in the course of ultrasound-guided intra-articular injections of hyaluronic acid in hand osteoarthritis. Eur J Radiol. 2012 Jul;81(7):1607-1611.
- 315. Mallinson PI, Tun JK, Farnell RD, Campbell DA, Robinson P. Osteoarthritis of the thumb carpometacarpal joint: correlation of ultrasound appearances to disability and treatment response. Clin Radiol. 2013 May;68(5):461-465.
- 316. Drakonaki EE, Kho JS, Sharp RJ, Ostlere SJ. Efficacy of ultrasound-guided steroid injections for pain management of midfoot joint degenerative disease. Skeletal Radiol. 2011 Aug;40(8):1001-6. doi: 10.1007/s00256-010-1094-y. Epub 2011 Jan 28.
- 317. Keen HI, Wakefield RJ, Hensor EM, Emery P, Conaghan PG. Response of symptoms and synovitis to intra-muscular methylprednisolone in osteoarthritis of the hand: an ultrasonographic study. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2010 Jun;49(6): 1093-1100.

- 318. Aly AR, Rajasekaran S, Ashworth N. Ultrasound-guided shoulder girdle injections are more accurate and more effective than landmark-guided injections: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med. 2015;49(16):1042–9.
- Hoeber S, Aly AR, Ashworth N, Rajasekaran S. Ultrasoundguided hip joint injections are more accurate than landmarkguided injections: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med. 2016;50(7):392–396.
- 320. Wu T, Dong Y, Song H xin, Fu Y, Li J hua. Ultrasound-guided versus landmark in knee arthrocentesis: A systematic review. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2016;45(5):627–632.
- 321. Kim TK, Lee JH, Park KD, Lee SC, Ahn J, Park Y. Ultrasound versus palpation guidance for intra-articular injections in patients with degenerative osteoarthritis of the elbow. J Clin Ultrasound. 2013;41(8):479–485.
- 322. Gutierrez M, Di Matteo A, Rosemffet M, Cazenave T, Rodriguez-Gil G, Diaz CH, et al. Short-term efficacy to conventional blind injection versus ultrasound-guided injection of local corticosteroids in tenosynovitis in patients with inflammatory chronic arthritis: A randomized comparative study. Jt Bone Spine. 2016;83(2):161–166.
- 323. Wu T, Song HX, Dong Y, Li JH. Ultrasound-guided versus blind subacromial-subdeltoid bursa injection in adults with shoulder pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2015/11/23. 2015;45(3):374–378.
- 324. Chen P-C, Chuang C-H, Tu Y-K, Bai C-H, Chen C-F, Liaw M-Y. A Bayesian network meta-analysis: Comparing the clinical effectiveness of local corticosteroid injections using different treatment strategies for carpal tunnel syndrome. BMC Musculoskelet Disord [Internet]. 2015;16(1):363.
- 325. Dubreuil M, Greger S, LaValley M, Cunnington J, Sibbitt WLJ, Kissin EY. Improvement in wrist pain with ultrasound-guided glucocorticoid injections: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2013 Apr;42(5):492–497.
- 326. Li Z, Xia C, Yu A, Qi B. Ultrasound- versus palpation-guided injection of corticosteroid for plantar fasciitis: A meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2014;9(3):1–8.
- 327. Sibbitt WL, Band PA, Chavez-Chiang NR, DeLea SL, Norton HE, Bankhurst AD. A randomized controlled trial of the costeffectiveness of ultrasound-guided intraarticular injection of inflammatory arthritis. J Rheumatol. 2011;38(2):252–263.
- 328. Cecen GS, Gulabi D, Saglam F, Tanju NU, Bekler HI. Corticosteroid injection for trigger finger: Blinded or ultrasoundguided injection? Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2015;135(1): 125–131.
- Mahadevan D, Attwal M, Bhatt R, Bhatia M. Corticosteroid injection for Morton's neuroma with or without ultrasound guidance: A randomised controlled trial. Bone Jt J. 2016;98B(4): 498–503.